International Journal of Environmental Sciences
ISSN: 2229-7359
Vol. 11 No. 23s, 2025
https://theaspd.com/index.php

Right to Health and Local Governance: A Constitutional and Administrative Perspective

Dr. Prasant Kumar Swain[1], Dr. Girish Ranjan Sahoo[2], Dr. Swapna Bijayini[3], Dr. Prodipta Barman[4], Sourabh Batar[5], Dr. Saket Kumar[6]
[1]Associate professor, SNIL Siksha 'O' Anusandhan University, Bhubaneswar, Odisha
[2]Senior Assistant Professor, School of Law, Centurion University of Technology and Management Bhubaneswar, Odisha
[3]Assistant professor, Capital Law College, Bhubaneswar, Odisha
[4]Assistant Professor, Brainware University, Kolkata
[5]Assistant Professor, TMCLLS, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad
[6]Research Scholar, Faculty of Law, SGT University, Gurugram

Abstract
The constitutional imagination of India situates the right to health at the intersection of justiciable fundamental rights, non-justiciable Directive Principles of State Policy (DPSPs), and a complex, multi-level administrative architecture spanning the Union, States, and local self-governments. Although "public health and sanitation" are predominantly State List subjects, the 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments constitutionalised decentralized governance, vesting Panchayats and Municipalities with functional responsibilities over primary health, sanitation, and public amenities. Over three decades, decentralization has matured through programmatic missions, fiscal transfers, and judicial innovations around Article 21, transforming the right to health from a welfare aspiration into a rights-claim infused with procedural safeguards, accountability, and measurable standards.
This paper offers a constitutional and administrative analysis of the right to health through the lens of local governance. First, it maps the constitutional scaffolding—Articles 14, 15(3), 15(6), 19(1)(g), 21, 23, and pertinent DPSPs (Arts. 38, 39, 41, 42, 43, 47)—and synthesizes jurisprudence that reads health into the guarantees of life, dignity, equality, and non-arbitrariness. Second, it examines the institutional design of multilevel health governance, including the division of legislative powers (Seventh Schedule), decentralization under the Eleventh and Twelfth Schedules, and the interlocking of programme delivery via National Health Mission (NHM), Ayushman Bharat (PM-JAY and Health & Wellness Centres), and post-pandemic public health infrastructure initiatives. Third, it situates local bodies within administrative law's grammar—reasonableness, proportionality, legitimate expectation, transparency, and participatory decision-making—showing how these principles discipline discretion in planning, contracting, licensing, and public procurement in health and sanitation.
Drawing comparative insights from Brazil and South Africa, the paper argues for a subsidiarity-anchored model of "rights-based health federalism" where functions, funds, and functionaries (the F-F-F triad) align with local needs, social accountability institutions (VHSNCs, Ward Committees) are empowered, and grievance redress—through ombuds processes and judicial remedies—is streamlined. It concludes with a set of normative and operational recommendations: clarifying statutory mandates for local health authorities; hard-wiring measurable service guarantees; embedding community-led social audits; integrating digital health and data protection with ethical guardrails; and strengthening fiscal devolution through formula-linked health-equity grants. Collectively, these reforms can convert constitutional promise into lived health entitlements, especially for marginalized communities.
Keywords: *Right to Health, Article 21, Panchayati Raj, Municipalities, Administrative Law, Decentralization, Public Health, Fiscal Federalism, Social Accountability, India*

1. INTRODUCTION

Public health lies at the heart of constitutional democracies because it mediates the most fundamental promise of the state: to secure conditions in which people can lead lives of dignity. In India, health has traversed a doctrinal journey—from being framed as a policy aspiration under the Directive Principles to being read into the expansive interpretation of Article 21's right to life and personal liberty. This doctrinal arc is mirrored administratively in the state's efforts to build delivery platforms at scale: primary health facilities, frontline public health cadres, safety-net insurance schemes, and municipal sanitation systems. Crucially, the 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments (1992–93) inserted a local governance layer, constitutionally recognizing Panchayats and Municipalities as institutions of self-government and assigning them functions over health, sanitation, water supply, and waste management.

Yet the translation from constitutional promise to service delivery remains uneven. India's health outcomes vary significantly by state, district, and even within city wards. Weak devolution of funds and functionaries, fragmented accountability, and regulatory deficits in public and private provisioning impede the realization of a rights-based health order. The administrative law toolkit—reasonableness, proportionality, due process, legitimate expectation—offers a principled framework to discipline discretion and ensure fair, transparent, and participatory decisions. At the same time, judicial interventions have recognized the state's positive obligations to prevent avoidable morbidity and mortality.

This paper explores the constitutional and administrative underpinnings of the right to health with a particular emphasis on local governance. It proceeds in eight parts: (1) the constitutional architecture; (2) judicial recognition and doctrinal development; (3) legislative and institutional design in a federal system; (4) decentralization under the 73rd and 74th Amendments; (5) the administrative law perspective; (6) fiscal federalism and programmatic platforms; (7) comparative insights; and (8) reform recommendations.

2. Constitutional Architecture of the Right to Health

2.1 Fundamental Rights and Health

Although the Constitution does not enumerate "right to health" as a freestanding fundamental right, the Supreme Court's interpretive expansions of Articles 14 and 21 have placed health within the ambit of enforceable rights. Article 21's guarantee of life and personal liberty has been read to encompass the right to live with human dignity, which includes access to healthcare, safe drinking water, and a healthy environment. Article 14's equality and non-arbitrariness doctrine guards against capricious exclusions in healthcare access and against irrational State action in health policy, licensing, and procurement. Articles 15(3) and 15(6) permit special provisions for women, children, and economically weaker sections, providing normative support for targeted health interventions and social insurance. Article 19(1)(g) (trade and profession) intersects with health regulation—licensing of clinical establishments, drugs and cosmetics, food safety—subject to reasonable restrictions in the interest of the general public.

2.2 Directive Principles and State Obligations

DPSPs furnish the constitutional conscience for public health. Article 38 mandates the State to promote the welfare of the people; Articles 39(e)–(f) protect workers, children, and youth; Article 41 contemplates public assistance in cases of sickness; Article 42 requires humane conditions of work and maternity relief; Article 43 speaks to living wages; and Article 47 obliges the State to raise the level of nutrition and standard of living and to improve public health. Though non-justiciable, these principles guide legislative policy and inform the content of fundamental rights, enabling courts to derive positive obligations concerning preventive and curative health.

2.3 Federal Allocation of Legislative Powers

The Seventh Schedule locates "public health and sanitation; hospitals and dispensaries" in the State List (Entry 6), while several allied matters fall in the Concurrent List—e.g., "lunacy and mental deficiency" (Entry 16), "adulteration of foodstuffs" (Entry 18), "drugs and poisons" (Entry 19), "labour" (Entries 22–24), and "social security and social insurance" (Entry 23). Disaster management, epidemics, and inter-state quarantine typically engage Union powers (e.g., Entry 29 of List III for prevention of communicable diseases) and special legislation (e.g., the Disaster Management Act). This distribution means that the Union frames frameworks and co-finances national missions, states legislate and implement core health functions, and local bodies execute proximate service delivery in primary care, sanitation, and public amenities.

3. Judicial Recognition: From Welfare to Enforceable Entitlements

The Supreme Court and High Courts have progressively converted health into an enforceable entitlement. While the case law is vast, some nodes are illustrative:

Consumer Education & Research Centre v. Union of India (1995)—recognized health and medical care of workers as a fundamental right under Article 21, linking occupational health to dignity and life.

Paschim Banga Khet Mazdoor Samity v. State of West Bengal (1996)—held that failure of a government hospital to provide timely emergency treatment violated Article 21; the Court outlined obligations to establish adequate facilities and referral mechanisms. The judgment is seminal for articulating the State's positive duty to organize healthcare services so that a person in need is not denied timely medical treatment.

State of Punjab v. Mohinder Singh Chawla (1997)—affirmed the right of a government employee to reimbursement for medical expenses, reinforcing that health is a fundamental right under Article 21.

Mohd. Ahmed (Minor) v. Union of India (Delhi HC, 2014)—required the State to provide an expensive enzyme replacement therapy, reasoning that budgetary constraints cannot trump a child's right to life in the face of catastrophic health needs, while also counselling rational prioritization frameworks. The judgment elevates the discourse on resource-allocation duties.

Swaraj Abhiyan v. Union of India (2016)—in the drought-relief context, the Supreme Court underscored State obligations concerning nutrition and health services, drawing on the right to life and the DPSPs.

Puttaswamy (2017)—though centred on privacy, the Court's articulation of informational self-determination and decisional autonomy has direct implications for health data governance and patient consent regimes.

Municipal and environmental health line of cases—including MC Mehta series, have read the right to a healthy environment and pollution control into Article 21, impacting local sanitation, waste management, and water supply—core municipal responsibilities with immediate health externalities.

Cumulatively, the jurisprudence constructs a triple-helix of obligations: (i) availability (infrastructure, drugs, human resources), (ii) accessibility and non-discrimination (geographic, economic, informational access), and (iii) quality and continuity (standards, referral systems, emergency care). Courts have increasingly required reasoned decision-making, evidence-based prioritization, and responsive grievance mechanisms, shaping an administrative ethic for health governance.

4. Legislative and Institutional Design: Health as a Multilevel Enterprise

India's health governance comprises layered statutes and schemes. While state public health and municipal laws anchor sanitary regulation, food safety and drugs control are largely centralized via national laws and regulators. National missions—such as the National Health Mission (NHM)—seek to strengthen primary healthcare through flexible financing, community processes (ASHA workers, Village Health, Sanitation & Nutrition Committees or VHSNCs), and decentralized planning (District Health Action Plans). Ayushman Bharat reconfigures the continuum: Health & Wellness Centres (HWCs) pivot primary care toward comprehensive services (NCD screening, mental health, palliative care), and Pradhan Mantri Jan Arogya Yojana (PM-JAY) extends financial protection for secondary and tertiary hospitalization.

Local bodies are increasingly tasked with public health functions: licensing eateries and slaughterhouses, managing water and sanitation, vector control, solid waste management, and co-managing primary health facilities. City corporations often run maternity homes and dispensaries; Panchayats oversee sanitation assets, water sources, and, in several states, Sub-Centres and HWCs. District health societies—registered under state societies—act as the programmatic convening space across line departments and local bodies.

Public health emergencies demonstrate multilevel coordination: State and district disaster management authorities frame SOPs; municipal commissioners issue public health orders; Panchayats and Ward Committees mobilize community surveillance and IEC (information, education, communication). Such polycentricity can harness local knowledge but also creates fragmentation unless guided by clear statutory mandates, data interoperability, and shared accountability.

5. Decentralization and Local Governance: The 73rd and 74th Amendments

5.1 Constitutional Design

The 73rd and 74th Amendments constitutionalized Panchayats and Municipalities as institutions of self-government, mandated regular elections, reservation for disadvantaged groups and women, establishment of State Finance Commissions (SFCs), District Planning Committees (DPCs), and Metropolitan Planning Committees (MPCs). The Eleventh Schedule (for Panchayats) lists functions including public health, sanitation, water supply, and family welfare; the Twelfth Schedule (for Municipalities) enumerates urban planning, public health, sanitation, solid waste management, water supply, and slum improvement.

5.2 Devolution in Practice: Funds, Functions, Functionaries

Despite constitutional recognition, devolution varies widely across states. In some states, Panchayats co-manage sub-centres, oversee sanitation, and conduct health outreach; in others, health remains tightly held by line departments. Funds flow through a mosaic: assigned taxes (e.g., property tax in urban areas), user charges, State grants, and Union-to-local transfers via Finance Commissions and centrally sponsored schemes. Functionaries—ANMs, ASHAs, sanitary inspectors, public health engineers—often report to line departments, with partial functional control by local bodies. This misalignment can impede accountability and service responsiveness.

5.3 Community Institutions and Social Accountability

Local governance embeds VHSNCs, Rogi Kalyan Samitis, Ward Committees, Mohalla Sabhas (in some cities), and urban community processes that anchor participatory planning, monitoring, and grievance

redress. Social audits—originating in welfare schemes—have begun to extend into health and sanitation (e.g., audits of HWCs, biomedical waste handling, availability of drugs). When empowered with timely, disaggregated data and small, discretionary funds, community institutions improve last-mile responsiveness—vector control, water chlorination, sanitation drives, NCD screening camps, and maternal health outreach.

5.4 Urban Health Challenges

Rapid urbanization intensifies public health risks: informal settlements with inadequate water and sanitation, air pollution, zoonotic disease risks, and overburdened municipal facilities. The National Urban Health Mission (NUHM) targets urban poor populations through Urban Primary Health Centres and outreach via Mahila Arogya Samitis. Municipal laws empower commissioners for public health orders, but long-term improvements require integrated urban planning linking housing, transport, waste, and green spaces—functions listed in the Twelfth Schedule but often under-resourced.

6. Administrative Law Perspective: Disciplining Discretion in Local Health Governance

Administrative law provides the grammar for good governance: it structures how decisions are made, justified, and reviewed. Health and sanitation decisions—clinical establishment licensing, food safety enforcement, procurement of medicines and equipment, empanelment of hospitals under insurance schemes, public health orders during outbreaks, land use and environmental approvals affecting health determinants—are all sites of discretion.

6.1 Reasonableness and Proportionality

Under Article 14's non-arbitrariness doctrine, local authorities must act reasonably. Wednesbury reasonableness and the more contemporary proportionality test require that restrictions (e.g., closures, quarantines, mask mandates, vector control spraying) pursue legitimate aims, use suitable means, minimally impair rights, and maintain a fair balance between public interest and individual liberties. Proportionality is particularly salient in emergencies to avoid overreach while preserving effective disease control.

6.2 Procedural Fairness and Due Process

Licensing and enforcement actions—shutting eateries for insanitary conditions, canceling empanelment, or closing polluting units—must comply with audi alteram partem (notice, opportunity to be heard), reasoned orders, and transparent criteria. Emergency exceptions exist but should be narrowly tailored, time-bound, and subsequently regularized through hearing and review.

6.3 Legitimate Expectation and Consistency

When local bodies publish service guarantees (e.g., turnaround time for birth/death certificates, solid waste pickup schedules, water quality testing), citizens acquire a legitimate expectation that authorities will comply absent overriding reasons. In health provisioning, consistent application of eligibility criteria (for hospital empanelment, patient benefits) prevents unequal treatment and strengthens trust.

6.4 Transparency: RTI and Open Data

The Right to Information Act strengthens transparency in health governance—procurement prices, essential drug lists, stockouts, quality-assurance results, water testing data, and hospital empanelment decisions should be proactively disclosed (Section 4 obligations). Open data portals can publish ward-level indicators (immunization, NCD screening, vector indices), enabling community oversight and evidence-based priority setting.

6.5 Public Procurement and Conflicts of Interest

Municipal and Panchayat procurements for medicines, diagnostics, ambulances, waste services, and PPP contracts must follow competitive, transparent processes with robust conflict-of-interest rules, prequalification criteria, and performance-based contracts. E-tendering, standardized specifications, and pooled procurement can reduce costs and corruption risks.

6.6 Grievance Redress and Ombuds Models

A multi-tier grievance architecture—facility-level helpdesks, municipal/public health control rooms, district-level appellate forums, and an independent Health Ombudsperson—facilitates timely redress. The ombuds model, already present in several welfare domains, can be adapted to health, with powers to order corrective action, publish reasoned decisions, and recommend systemic reforms.

7. Fiscal Federalism, Programmatic Platforms, and the Local State

7.1 Finance Commissions and Health-Linked Grants

Finance Commissions in recent cycles have recommended grants to local bodies for health-adjacent sectors—sanitation, water supply, air quality, and primary health infrastructure—often tied to performance metrics. These grants, along with State Finance Commission devolution and centrally sponsored schemes,

create a braided stream of resources that local bodies can deploy for facilities, outreach, and O&M. The design challenge is to ensure predictable, formula-based flows and protect O&M budgets from ad hoc cuts.

7.2 National Missions and Local Execution

NHM/NUHM and Ayushman Bharat rely on local execution. Panchayats and Municipalities can co-own Health & Wellness Centres, integrate VHSNC micro-plans into District Health Action Plans, and support community processes for NCD screening, TB notification, and maternal health tracking. Urban local bodies can integrate health with city sanitation and water utilities, using ward-level dashboards to align resources with hotspots (e.g., leptospirosis or dengue clusters).

7.3 Primary Health as a Local Public Good

Primary health and sanitation are classic local public goods: benefits are concentrated, spillovers are manageable at local scale, and heterogeneity of preferences is high. Subsidiarity suggests entrusting these functions to the lowest capable level, subject to support for capacity, standards, and equalization transfers to poor jurisdictions. Local stewardship can better tailor outreach to cultural and geographic contexts.

8. Determinants of Health: The Municipal Interface

Many health outcomes hinge on determinants administered by local bodies: potable water, hygienic sanitation, solid and biomedical waste management, safe housing, clean air, vector control, food hygiene, and public spaces for physical activity. The municipal health officer and engineering wings jointly shape these determinants through planning approvals, inspections, and service delivery. Environmental health jurisprudence (air and water quality cases) has pressed municipalities to operationalize polluter-pays, enforce zoning, and create green buffers. The Twelfth Schedule tasks municipalities with planning for social and economic development, enabling integrated approaches where health is embedded into development plans and budgets.

9. Digital Health, Data Protection, and Local Governance

- Digital transformation—electronic health records, telemedicine, health IDs, and public-health surveillance—raises new administrative law questions. Local bodies often serve as data collectors (e.g., birth–death registration, disease notification, water quality). To align with constitutional privacy, data systems must embody:
- Data minimization and purpose limitation (collect only what is necessary for public health aims).
- Lawful basis and informed notice for personal data processing, including consent for elective services.
- Security safeguards proportionate to sensitivity (health data being intrinsically sensitive).
- Role-based access with audit trails for municipal and district staff.
- Community transparency—publishing aggregate, de-identified ward indicators while protecting individual privacy.
- Interoperability—standards that permit data exchange across levels (facility–district–state) without compromising privacy.
- These guardrails are not mere technicalities; they are constitutional imperatives that sustain trust, especially in marginalized communities historically underserved by the state.

10. Comparative Perspectives: Brazil and South Africa

Comparative experiences illuminate how constitutional commitments translate into local action:

Brazil's Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS) constitutionalized universal health, financing municipalities to run primary care (ESF—Family Health Strategy) with strong community health worker networks. Municipalities craft local health plans under national norms, with federal co-financing and performance indicators. The emphasis on primary care, capitation-like funding, and community teams offers lessons for Indian Panchayats and municipalities.

South Africa enshrines the right to health (Section 27) and has jurisprudence on reasonable measures within available resources (e.g., Soobramoney, Treatment Action Campaign). Municipal clinics, environmental health practitioners, and ward health committees are integral to delivery. Courts scrutinize administrative reasonableness and require the state to justify exclusions or delays.

Both systems demonstrate that constitutional rights gain traction when matched with predictable intergovernmental finance, clear local mandates, community health workers, and transparent, reviewable decisions.

11. Persistent Challenges and Structural Gaps

Despite constitutional scaffolding, at least five structural gaps impede realization:

- Fragmented Mandates: Overlapping responsibilities among health, water, sanitation, and urban development agencies create accountability vacuums; citizens cannot locate a single accountable node for outcomes.
- Weak Devolution of Functionaries: Local bodies often lack hiring control over frontline health or sanitation staff; without personnel control, planning and accountability suffer.
- Fiscal Unpredictability: Grant releases can be delayed; O&M funds are squeezed; user charges are politically sensitive, impairing service quality.
- Regulatory Asymmetry: Private healthcare, diagnostics, and pharmacies continue to operate with uneven compliance; clinical establishment norms and price caps are inconsistently enforced; municipal licensing can be perfunctory.
- Data and Quality Governance: Routine data may be incomplete; quality assurance systems for primary care, water, and waste services are not uniformly institutionalized; grievance and feedback loops are weak.

12. Toward a Rights-Based, Subsidiarity-Anchored Model

To bridge the promise–performance gap, the paper proposes a Subsidiarity-Anchored Health Governance Model grounded in constitutional principles and administrative law discipline.

12.1 Clarify Statutory Mandates

- State public health and municipal acts should be modernized to:
- Define local health authority roles (municipal health officer, district public health officer) with explicit duties for surveillance, emergency response, sanitation, and risk communication.
- Codify minimum service guarantees (e.g., HWC operating hours, essential drug lists, laboratory turnaround times, waste collection frequency, water testing schedules) with public dashboards.
- Require reasoned, written decisions for licensing, closures, and empanelment actions; publish criteria and provide appellate forums.

12.2 Align Functions, Funds, Functionaries (F-F-F)

- Assign primary care and environmental health functions clearly to local bodies, with conditional grants covering O&M.
- Devolve personnel authority for frontline roles (sanitation workers, health workers, inspectors), at least for posting and evaluation, with joint control models where full devolution is not feasible.
- Adopt equalization transfers: formula-based grants that consider poverty, disease burden, and infrastructure gaps, protecting poorer jurisdictions.

12.3 Embed Social Accountability

- Statutorily empower VHSNCs and Ward Committees with oversight of HWC and sanitation service charters; mandate quarterly social audits and public hearings.
- Establish Health Ombudspersons at district/metropolitan levels with powers to order corrective action and publish reasoned determinations.

12.4 Institutionalize Proportionality and Transparency

- Enact rules requiring impact justifications for emergency public health measures, periodic review, and sunset clauses.
- Implement open contracting and e-procurement for health and sanitation, publishing award rationales, performance metrics, and payments.

12.5 Data Governance with Privacy by Design

- Adopt privacy-by-design standards for local health information systems; publish ward-level, de-identified indicators.
- Provide portable patient summaries across facilities; unify civil registration, disease notification, and primary care records with robust access controls.

12.6 Integrate Health in Urban and Rural Planning

- Make Health Impact Assessments a routine for major urban projects; align land-use planning with air quality, green spaces, and safe mobility.
- Use design standards for sanitation and water infrastructure resilient to climate risks.

12.7 Catalyse Local Health Innovation

- Support micro-innovations through flexible local grants: mobile screening vans, school-based health clubs, menstrual hygiene initiatives, elderly care days, vector control innovations.
- Encourage public-private partnerships with stringent quality, equity, and transparency conditions.

13. Case Illustrations

13.1 Kerala's Decentralized Health Stewardship

Kerala's long-standing investments in public health and community institutions enabled Panchayats and Municipalities to co-own primary care, run palliative care networks, and integrate disease surveillance with local action. Robust social development committees, women's self-help groups, and local planning contributed to quick community mobilization and targeted outreach. The case illustrates how social capital, functional devolution, and capable local bureaucracy converge to deliver health outcomes.

13.2 Municipal Primary Care Innovations

- Urban contexts like Delhi's mohalla clinics and other cities' UPHC networks show how municipal-proximate facilities improve access for informal settlements when paired with diagnostics, e-records, and referral linkages. The critical ingredients are periodic review, and sunset clauses.
- Implement **open contracting** and **e-procurement** for health and sanitation, publishing award rationales, performance metrics, and payments.

12.5 Data Governance with Privacy by Design

- Adopt **privacy-by-design standards** for local health information systems; publish ward-level, de-identified indicators.
- Provide **portable patient summaries** across facilities; unify civil registration, disease notification, and primary care records with robust access controls.

12.6 Integrate Health in Urban and Rural Planning

- Make **Health Impact Assessments** a routine for major urban projects; align land-use planning with air quality, green spaces, and safe mobility.
- Use **design standards** for sanitation and water infrastructure resilient to climate risks.

12.7 Catalyse Local Health Innovation

- Support **micro-innovations** through flexible local grants: mobile screening vans, school-based health clubs, menstrual hygiene initiatives, elderly care days, vector control innovations.
- Encourage **public-private partnerships** with stringent quality, equity, and transparency conditions.

13. Case Illustrations

13.1 Kerala's Decentralized Health Stewardship

Kerala's long-standing investments in public health and community institutions enabled Panchayats and Municipalities to co-own primary care, run palliative care networks, and integrate disease surveillance with local action. Robust social development committees, women's self-help groups, and local planning contributed to quick community mobilization and targeted outreach. The case illustrates how social capital, functional devolution, and capable local bureaucracy converge to deliver health outcomes.

13.2 Municipal Primary Care Innovations

Urban contexts like Delhi's **mohalla clinics** and other cities' UPHC networks show how municipal-proximate facilities improve access for informal settlements when paired with diagnostics, e-records, and referral linkages. The critical ingredients are predictable O&M, reliable supply chains for drugs and tests, and strong community processes.

13.3 Rural Water and Sanitation as Health Policy

Panchayats that manage water sources, chlorination, and solid/liquid waste effectively reduce diarrhoeal disease and vector breeding. Gram Sabhas that publicly review water-quality reports and allocate resources for repairs demonstrate how transparency and participation convert infrastructure into health gains.

14. Normative Foundations: Equality, Dignity, and Reasoned Governance

A constitutional lens insists that health policy serve **substantive equality**—addressing structural barriers faced by women, children, persons with disabilities, Dalits, Adivasis, religious minorities, migrant workers, and the urban poor. Facilities must be accessible (geographically and culturally), affordable, and of assured quality. **Dignity** requires respectful care, informed consent, and grievance redress. **Reasoned governance** is the administrative expression of these values—decisions must be explainable, reviewable, and proportionate, not cryptic or arbitrary. Local governance, by shortening the distance between decision-makers and the community, can operationalize these commitments—if endowed with authority, resources, and accountability.

15. Recommendations

1. **Modernize State Public Health and Municipal Laws:** Insert clear mandates for local health authorities, codify service guarantees (with measurable standards), and provide proportionate emergency powers with review and appeal.

2. **Hard-Wire Devolution:** Notify activity maps assigning Eleventh/Twelfth Schedule items, transfer appropriate staff or joint-control mechanisms, and issue rules clarifying reporting lines and performance appraisals anchored in service outcomes.
3. **Finance Predictably and Equitably:** Expand formula-linked **health-equity grants** to local bodies, protect O&M, and incentivize improvements via transparent, stable performance frameworks (e.g., immunization coverage, NCD control, water quality compliance, waste segregation rates).
4. **Open Contracting and Quality Assurance:** Mandate e-tenders, publish bid evaluations and contracts, and institute independent quality audits for facilities, water, and waste services. Establish municipal pharmaco-vigilance and diagnostics quality programs.
5. **Strengthen Community Institutions:** Legally empower VHSNCs and Ward Committees, earmark small untied funds, and institutionalize quarterly social audits with follow-up action reports.
6. **Digital Health with Privacy:** Adopt data-minimizing architectures, clear consent flows, role-based access, and public dashboards of de-identified indicators. Provide privacy training for local officials and frontline staff.
7. **Grievance and Ombuds:** Establish district/metropolitan Health Ombudspersons with independence, transparent processes, and powers to order remedial action. Integrate facility helpdesks and municipal call centres into a single, trackable platform.
8. **Capacity Building:** Create a **Local Public Health Cadre** with standardized training in epidemiology, environmental health, and administrative law; offer continuous learning and peer networks.
9. **Integrate Health in Planning:** Require Health Impact Assessments for large projects; create multisectoral city/ district health plans that align urban design, transport, air quality, and housing with health outcomes.
10. **Equity Lens:** Prioritize underserved geographies and groups; monitor disaggregated indicators; deploy mobile units and community health workers where facility density is low.

16. CONCLUSION

The Indian Constitution's commitment to life, dignity, and equality implies a substantive right to health that must be translated into reliable, proximate, and respectful services. Local governance is not a mere administrative convenience; it is a constitutional strategy to realize rights where people live. When Panchayats and Municipalities are entrusted with clear mandates, adequate funds, capable functionaries, and enforceable accountability, they can steward the determinants and services that most immediately shape health: clean water, sanitation, waste management, safe food environments, and primary care. Administrative law provides the discipline to ensure that local discretion is exercised transparently, proportionately, and with reasons—especially in emergencies and enforcement actions.

The path forward lies in **rights-based health federalism** anchored in **subsidiarity**: assign to the lowest capable level what it can best deliver; support with intergovernmental finance and capacity; set national floors for equity and quality; and build thick, participatory accountability through community institutions and ombuds processes. With these reforms, the constitutional promise of health can cease to be a distant aspiration and become a lived entitlement, particularly for those historically left behind. The right to health, thus operationalized, is not only sound law; it is good administration.

REFERENCES:

1. Constitution of India, Parts III–IV; Seventh, Eleventh, and Twelfth Schedules.
2. **Consumer Education & Research Centre v Union of India** (1995) 3 SCC 42.
3. **Paschim Banga Khet Mazdoor Samity v State of West Bengal** (1996) 4 SCC 37.
4. **State of Punjab v Mohinder Singh Chawla** (1997) 2 SCC 83.
5. **Mohd. Ahmed (Minor) v Union of India**, 2014 SCC OnLine Del 1508.
6. **Swaraj Abhiyan v Union of India** (2016) 7 SCC 498.
7. **Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) v Union of India** (2017) 10 SCC 1.
8. **MC Mehta v Union of India** (various orders) (environmental health jurisprudence).
9. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, National Health Policy 2017.
10. National Health Mission/NUHM operational guidelines (various).
11. Ayushman Bharat programme documents: Health & Wellness Centres; PM-JAY.
12. Disaster Management Act 2005; State Public Health Acts and Municipal Acts (various).
13. World Health Organization, The Right to Health (Factsheet and General Comment No. 14 context).
14. Government of India, Finance Commission Reports (relevant cycles) on local body grants.
15. UN-Habitat, The New Urban Agenda (public health and urban determinants).
16. Brazil Federal Constitution (health, SUS) and SUS policy documents (for comparative insight).

17. South African Constitution, Section 27; **Minister of Health v Treatment Action Campaign** (2002) ZACC 15; **Soobramoney v Minister of Health** (1997) ZACC 17.
18. National Centre for Disease Control, surveillance and outbreak SOPs (illustrative).
19. Right to Information Act 2005, Section 4 proactive disclosure obligations.
20. Clinical Establishments (Registration and Regulation) Act 2010 and state rules (where adopted).
21. Food Safety and Standards Act 2006 and regulations (public health linkage).
22. Drugs and Cosmetics Act 1940 and rules (quality control framework).
23. Solid Waste Management Rules 2016; Biomedical Waste Management Rules 2016 (and amendments).
24. Civil Registration System guidelines (birth and death registration, health analytics).
25. Selected High Court decisions on municipal health obligations (illustrative; state-specific).