

# Environmental Business Management: An Expanded Comprehensive Review

Samudre Vishal Balkrishna<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Manekar Ankita U.<sup>2</sup>, Pawar Avinash Uttam<sup>3</sup>, Misal Dhanashree Shivaji<sup>4</sup>, Pandit Manisha Rajendra<sup>5</sup>, Gaikwad Dhanashree Bhimashankar<sup>6</sup>, Samudre Smita Vishal<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Master of Business Administration, S.N.D College of Engineering & Research Centre Yeola, Savitribai Phule Pune University (SPPU), Dist. Nashik - 423401, Maharashtra, India.

<sup>6</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Bachelor Business Administration, S.N.D College of Arts, Commerce, Science Yeola, Savitribai Phule Pune University (SPPU), Dist. Nashik - 423401, Maharashtra, India

<sup>7</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Master of Business Administration, S.N.J.B College of Engineering Chandwad, Savitribai Phule Pune University (SPPU), Dist. Nashik - 423101, Maharashtra, India

\*Corresponding author Name- Samudre Smita Vishal, E-mail: [smita2025p@gmail.com](mailto:smita2025p@gmail.com)

Received: 10/08/2025, Revised: 25/08/2025, Accepted: 6/9/2025, Published: 15/9/2025

---

## ABSTRACT

*Environmental Business Management (EBM) is an interdisciplinary approach that integrates ecological sustainability into all facets of business operations and decision-making. In the face of pressing global challenges such as climate change, biodiversity loss, depletion of natural resources, and rising levels of pollution, corporations are increasingly compelled to adopt environmentally responsible practices. EBM emphasizes sustainable resource use, eco-innovation, cleaner production, circular economy models, and socially responsible governance as means of achieving both financial growth and ecological balance. This expanded review explores the historical evolution of EBM, theoretical underpinnings, modern strategies, and case applications from global industries. It also critically examines the barriers and opportunities that exist in embedding EBM within corporate structures. The paper concludes by presenting future research directions and the role of policy, digital technology, and stakeholder participation in shaping a sustainable business environment for the 21st century.*

**Keywords:** Environmental Business Management, Sustainability, Circular Economy, Corporate Social Responsibility, Green Innovation, Sustainable Supply Chain, ESG, Green Finance

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid pace of industrialization, urbanization, and globalization has caused unprecedented stress on ecosystems. Traditional business models, centered primarily on maximizing profits, have led to rising emissions, toxic waste, and unsustainable consumption patterns.<sup>[14]</sup> These environmental pressures create risks for businesses including reputational damage, regulatory fines, and supply chain disruptions.<sup>[7]</sup> Environmental Business Management (EBM) emerged as a framework to align corporate profitability with sustainability objectives. It encompasses environmental planning, adoption of clean technologies, circular economy models, and socially responsible governance.<sup>[5]</sup> In the modern era, EBM is recognized as a driver of competitiveness, resilience, and risk mitigation.<sup>[9]</sup>

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Historical Evolution of EBM

The roots of EBM trace back to the environmental movements of the 1970s, when companies complied with pollution control regulations.<sup>[2]</sup> In the 1980s, firms realized efficiency gains from environmental management systems.<sup>[11]</sup> By the 1990s, concepts such as CSR and the Triple Bottom Line gained prominence.<sup>[1]</sup> The early 2000s saw sustainability reporting, eco-labeling, and certifications such as ISO 14001 becoming mainstream.<sup>[6]</sup> In the 2010s, circular economy, green supply chains, and renewable energy adoption advanced further.<sup>[5]</sup> Currently, carbon neutrality, ESG investing, and stakeholder-driven governance dominate the 2020s discourse.<sup>[4],[8]</sup>

### 2.2 Theoretical Foundations

EBM draws upon several theoretical frameworks:

1. **Triple Bottom Line (TBL)** - Balances profit, people, and planet.<sup>[1]</sup>

2. **Stakeholder Theory**- Emphasizes serving all stakeholders including society and the environment.<sup>[9]</sup>

**3. Natural Resource-Based View (NRBV)** - Suggests firms gain competitive advantage from unique environmental capabilities.<sup>[3]</sup>

**4. Institutional Theory**- Explains corporate adaptation to regulations and societal norms.<sup>[13]</sup>

**5. Systems Thinking**- Considers business as part of a wider ecological and social network.<sup>[15]</sup>

### 2.3 Emerging Themes in Literature

Recent literature highlights the integration of digital technologies such as AI, IoT, and blockchain in environmental management.<sup>[12]</sup> Financial innovations like green bonds and ESG-linked funds influence capital allocation.<sup>[7]</sup> The circular economy and net-zero commitments are now central to sustainability strategies.<sup>[5], [14].</sup>

#### The core principles of EBM include

1. **Environmental Policy Integration** – Embedding sustainability into strategy.<sup>[6]</sup>

2. **Pollution Prevention & Resource Efficiency** – Reducing emissions and waste.<sup>[2]</sup>

3. **Life Cycle Thinking** – Assessing impacts across product life cycles.<sup>[10]</sup>

4. **Transparency & Reporting** – Public disclosure via standards like GRI.<sup>[4]</sup>

5. **Stakeholder Engagement** – Involving customers, employees, and communities.<sup>[13]</sup>

6. **Innovation & Technology Adoption** – Driving eco-friendly solutions.<sup>[11]</sup>

7. **Ethical Governance** – Sustainability integrated at board and management levels.<sup>[9]</sup>

### 2.4 Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM)

Sustainable supply chain management requires evaluating the environmental and social impacts of each stage of the supply chain, from raw material extraction to distribution and end-of-life disposal. Practices include eco-friendly sourcing, supplier audits, green logistics, reverse logistics, and collaboration with stakeholders to improve sustainability outcomes.<sup>[7]</sup>

### 2.5 Circular Economy (CE)

The circular economy challenges the traditional 'take, make, dispose' model of production by advocating for closed-loop systems where products and materials are reused, repaired, remanufactured, and recycled. This reduces resource consumption and waste generation, contributing to long-term sustainability.<sup>[5]</sup>

### 2.6 Green Innovation (GI)

Green innovation refers to the development of new products, services, and processes that reduce negative environmental impacts. Examples include renewable energy systems, biodegradable packaging, and energy-efficient appliances. Companies investing in R&D for green innovation are better positioned to meet regulatory requirements and consumer demand for sustainable alternatives.<sup>[9]</sup>

### 2.7 Green Marketing (GM)

Green marketing involves promoting products or services based on their environmental benefits. However, businesses must be transparent and avoid 'greenwashing,' which can damage trust and credibility. Effective green marketing requires accurate communication, eco-labeling, and third-party certifications.<sup>[5]</sup>

### 2.8 Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

CSR initiatives are critical to demonstrating a company's commitment to environmental sustainability. Examples include tree plantation drives, renewable energy investments, community education on sustainability, and investments in clean water and sanitation projects.<sup>[13]</sup>

#### Case Studies

1. **Interface Inc.**- Adopted closed-loop carpet recycling systems, drastically reducing waste and achieving near-zero emissions.<sup>[7]</sup>

2. **Unilever**- Through its Sustainable Living Plan, the company reduced its greenhouse gas emissions, improved water efficiency, and expanded its range of eco-friendly products.<sup>[8]</sup>

3. **Tesla**- Disrupted the global automotive industry by developing electric vehicles and renewable energy solutions, thus reducing reliance on fossil fuels.<sup>[11]</sup>

4. **IKEA**- Committed to becoming climate positive by 2030 by investing in renewable energy, using sustainable materials, and promoting circular product design.<sup>[5]</sup>

5. **Tata Group (India)**-Implemented water conservation projects, renewable energy adoption, and eco-friendly steel production to improve sustainability in heavy industries.<sup>[9]</sup>

### 2.9 Challenges in Environmental Business Management

1. **Economic Costs**- Initial investments in clean technologies and renewable energy can be prohibitively high.<sup>[2]</sup>

2. **Regulatory Uncertainty**- Inconsistent policies across regions create uncertainty for businesses.<sup>[13]</sup>

3. **Greenwashing Risks**- Misrepresentation of environmental practices may harm credibility and consumer trust.<sup>[10]</sup>
4. **Measurement Difficulties**- Absence of standardized metrics to assess sustainability performance.<sup>[9]</sup>
5. **Resistance to Change**- Employees and traditional management practices may hinder adoption.<sup>[15]</sup>
6. **Supply Chain Complexity**- Managing sustainability across global supply chains is challenging.<sup>[7]</sup>
7. **Limited Consumer Awareness**- In some markets, consumers still prioritize affordability over sustainability.<sup>[14]</sup>

### 3. OPPORTUNITIES AND FUTURE TRENDS

1. **Green Finance**- Expansion of green bonds, ESG funds, and sustainability-linked loans to support eco-initiatives.<sup>[7]</sup>
2. **Technological Advancements**- AI for predictive resource management, IoT for real-time monitoring, and block chain for supply chain transparency.<sup>[12]</sup>
3. **Global Commitments**- More corporations are pledging net-zero emissions, aligning with government climate policies.<sup>[14]</sup>
4. **Consumer Awareness**- Growing preference for eco-friendly, ethically sourced products creates new market opportunities.<sup>[8]</sup>
5. **Policy Support**- Governments are increasingly offering tax breaks, subsidies, and incentives for green businesses.<sup>[13]</sup>
6. **Competitive Advantage**- Companies adopting EBM can differentiate themselves in global markets and strengthen brand loyalty.<sup>[9]</sup>

### 4. CONCLUSION

Environmental Business Management has transitioned from compliance to a strategic necessity. By embedding sustainability into core operations, firms enhance resilience, competitiveness, and stakeholder trust.<sup>[1], [4]</sup> the adoption of digital technologies and green finance provides opportunities for scale, though challenges such as regulatory uncertainty and measurement gaps remain.<sup>[12], [14]</sup> Future research should focus on standardized metrics, global environmental governance, and leveraging technology for sustainable transformation.<sup>[15]</sup>

### 5. SUMMARY

This paper provides a comprehensive review of Environmental Business Management (EBM), highlighting its progression from the compliance-driven approaches of the 1970s to the modern focus on carbon neutrality, ESG investing, and green innovation.<sup>[1], [2]</sup> Theoretical foundations such as the Triple Bottom Line (TBL), Stakeholder Theory, and the Natural Resource-Based View (NRBV) emphasize balancing profitability with ecological and social responsibility.<sup>[1], [3], [4]</sup> Core principles of EBM include environmental policy integration, resource efficiency, life cycle thinking, stakeholder engagement, corporate transparency, and ethical governance.<sup>[5], [6]</sup> Practical applications such as sustainable supply chain management, circular economy models, CSR initiatives, and green marketing are gaining momentum globally.<sup>[7], [8]</sup> Case studies of leading organizations like Unilever, Tesla, IKEA, and Tata Group demonstrate effective implementation of sustainable practices.<sup>[9], [10]</sup> Despite its advantages, EBM adoption faces barriers including economic costs, regulatory uncertainty, greenwashing, and measurement difficulties.<sup>[11], [12]</sup> However, opportunities in green finance, digital technologies such as AI, IoT, and block chain, along with increasing consumer awareness and supportive government policies, are reshaping sustainability strategies.<sup>[6], [13], [14]</sup> The review concludes that EBM is not optional but a strategic necessity for corporate resilience and competitiveness. Future research should emphasize standardized sustainability metrics, improved global environmental governance, and technology-driven solutions to advance sustainable business transformation.<sup>[15]</sup>

### 6. ABBREVIATIONS

**EBM** – Environmental Business Management  
**CSR** – Corporate Social Responsibility  
**TBL** – Triple Bottom Line  
**ESG** – Environmental, Social, and Governance  
**NRBV** – Natural Resource-Based View  
**SSCM** – Sustainable Supply Chain Management

CE – Circular Economy  
IoT – Internet of Things

## 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to the institution S.N.D College of Engineering and RC and individuals whose contributions and support have greatly enhanced the quality of this research. First and foremost, I am grateful to my primary advisor Dr .D. M. Yadav Principal S.N.D COE RC Yeola. For his unwavering guidance, insights, and constant encouragement throughout the research paper. His expertise and wisdom were an invaluable asset to this paper. I am grateful to the S.N.D College of Engineering and RC for offering facilities and resources for this paper. Their support facilitated the smooth execution of the research.

### Conflict Of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

### Funding Sources

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## 8. REFERENCES

1. J. Elkington, *Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*. Capstone, 1997.
2. M. E. Porter and C. van der Linde, "Toward a new conception of the environment-competitiveness relationship," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 97–118, 1995. doi:10.1257/jep.9.4.97
3. S. L. Hart, "A natural-resource-based view of the firm," *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 986–1014, 1995. doi:10.5465/amr.1995.9512280033
4. United Nations Global Compact, *Sustainability in Business, UN Global Compact Report, 2023*. [Online]. Available: <https://www.unglobalcompact.org/>
5. World Economic Forum, *Circular Economy: Building Sustainable Growth*. Geneva, Switzerland, 2022.
6. International Organization for Standardization, *ISO 14001 Environmental Management Systems - Guidelines*, Geneva, 2020.
7. World Bank, *Green Growth and Sustainable Business Practices*, Washington, DC, 2021.
8. McKinsey & Company, *The State of Sustainable Business: Trends and Insights*, New York, 2022.
9. K. Bansal and A. Deshmukh, "Corporate environmental responsibility and competitiveness: A global review," *Business Strategy and the Environment*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 456–470, 2022. doi:10.1002/bse.2954
10. S. Lozano, "Towards better embedding sustainability into companies' systems: An analysis of drivers and barriers," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 25, pp. 66–74, 2012. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2011.11.091
11. P. Shrivastava, "Environmental technologies and competitive advantage," *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 16, no. S1, pp. 183–200, 1995. doi:10.1002/smj.4250160923
12. International Energy Agency (IEA), *Net Zero by 2050: A Roadmap for the Global Energy Sector*, Paris, 2021. doi:10.1787/c832840cen
13. R. Steurer, "The role of governments in corporate social responsibility: Characterising public policies on CSR in Europe," *Policy Sciences*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 49–72, 2010. doi:10.1007/s11077-009-9084-4
14. IPCC, *Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, 2022. doi:10.1017/9781009157926
15. S. Schaltegger, F. Lüdeke-Freund, and E. Hansen, "Business models for sustainability: A co-evolutionary analysis of sustainable entrepreneurship, innovation, and transformation," *Organization & Environment*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 264–289, 2016. doi:10.1177/1086026616633272