

Child Poverty in Context: Multidimensional Challenges and Social Work Responses

Yelda Yilmaz¹

¹Department of Social Work, Istanbul Sabahattin Zaim University, Istanbul, Turkey. Email: yelda.yilmaz@izu.edu.tr

Abstract: Child poverty is a multidimensional phenomenon that affects children's health, education, and psychosocial development, with long-lasting consequences across the life course. It extends beyond financial deprivation to include limited access to food, housing, healthcare, education, and supportive environments, perpetuating cycles of inequality across generations. Addressing child poverty therefore requires a comprehensive approach that combines immediate relief with long-term structural change. Social work plays a central role in this process by responding directly to the needs of children and families while simultaneously advocating for policies that reduce inequality and strengthen social protection systems. Preventive interventions, such as early childhood education, school-based programs, and family resilience initiatives, can provide protective factors that help break the cycle of deprivation. At the same time, a rights-based and community-oriented approach is necessary to ensure that children's voices are included in decision-making processes and that services are culturally sensitive and inclusive. The future of social work in combating child poverty lies in evidence-informed practice, cross-sectoral collaboration, and active policy advocacy. By combining micro-level support with macro-level change, social work contributes to building a more just society in which every child has the opportunity to thrive free from poverty.

Keywords: child development, child poverty, inequality, social justice, social work, well-being.

1. INTRODUCTION

The issue of child poverty presents significant implications for the development, well-being, and equality of opportunity for children worldwide. Globally, an alarming number of children live in poverty, experiencing impoverished conditions, disproportionately affecting racial and ethnic minorities [1]. According to UNICEF, approximately 5 million children under five die each year due to poverty-related causes, highlighting the dire consequences of this socio-economic crisis [2].

Research indicates that poverty's influence on children extends beyond mere financial deprivation; it encompasses a range of social determinants that adversely affect their health, education, and development [3]. For example, children raised in poverty often face severe limitations in accessing basic human needs such as adequate food, safe housing, healthcare, and education, all of which contribute to a cycle of deprivation that perpetuates poverty across generations [4]. Longitudinal studies demonstrate that children who experience poverty are at higher risk for diminished physical health, cognitive impairments, and poorer educational outcomes, effects that tend to persist into adulthood, thereby shaping their life trajectories and limiting future opportunities [5].

Furthermore, child poverty is multifaceted and can be measured across different dimensions including economic, social, and environmental factors [6]. Children in poverty are often deprived of key developmental resources, which not only affects their overall health but also impacts their self-esteem, social participation, and economic potential later in life [7]. This comprehensive understanding of child poverty underscores the importance of tailored policies and interventions that address these diverse facets of poverty, moving beyond simplistic income-based measures to include access to healthcare, education, and social work.

1.1 Conceptual Framework of Child Poverty

Child poverty is a multifaceted issue that transcends a simplistic definition based solely on income levels, demanding an in-depth exploration of its various dimensions, characteristics, and mechanisms. To provide a comprehensive understanding of child poverty, this discussion will delineate definitions related to the concept, followed by a detailed examination of its various dimensions supported by recent academic research.

Defining child poverty typically involves an acknowledgment of both monetary and non-monetary factors that encompass various aspects of children's lives. The definition is not only confined to income but is increasingly viewed as a multidimensional construct that captures the multiple deprivations children experience. The poverty is intricately linked to child well-being through domains such as material hardship, family stress, and the overall developmental context children are subjected to [8]. Initiatives for

measuring child poverty have moved beyond income to assess broader aspects, inclusive of education, health, and psychological well-being—each contributing significantly to a child’s life trajectory.

Environmental conditions significantly influence child poverty outcomes. Children in poverty face increased exposure to chaotic household environments, which adversely affects their developmental outcomes [9]. These environments, characterized by violence, parental separation, and unstable living conditions, instigate a cycle of deprivation that often perpetuates poverty. The implications of these environmental factors underscore the structural components of child poverty, suggesting that interventions must focus not only on economic aspects but also on improving the quality of children’s living conditions.

Health indicators are crucial to understanding child poverty. Research indicates that poor health outcomes, such as malnutrition and lack of access to healthcare services, are prevalent among children living in poverty. The socio-economic disparities lead to significant developmental risks, including cognitive impairments due to malnutrition in young children [10]. Such health deprivations jeopardize long-term educational and economic performance, creating a cycle where poverty begets further poverty. Furthermore, the intersectionality of ethnicity and child poverty is crucial in various sociocultural contexts, particularly in settings with significant ethnic diversity. The addressing such inter-ethnic dynamics is pivotal for developing policies aimed at reducing child labor, which is frequently influenced by household economic status and informal work scenarios [11]. This highlights that understanding child poverty within the framework of cultural and social networks is essential for creating effective interventions.

The issue of child poverty is also closely linked to educational outcomes. Studies have shown that children from impoverished backgrounds generally perform worse academically compared to their more affluent peers [12]. The compounding effects of socioeconomic status on educational achievement necessitate targeted educational policies that can help mitigate these disparities and provide better support to children in poverty.

Access to financial resources is undoubtedly critical, yet it must be understood alongside other dimensions such as time poverty, which reflects how parental time constraints can adversely affect children’s educational and developmental outcomes. Studies indicate that parental engagement in children’s educational activities often diminishes when parents experience time poverty compounded with low economic resources, exacerbating the risks of child poverty [13]. Thus, addressing parental well-being is crucial in any comprehensive strategy aiming to alleviate child poverty.

1.2 The Causes and Structural Factors Contributing to Child Poverty

The causes of child poverty are multi-faceted and often interlinked with structural factors. Economic instability and unemployment within households can lead to heightened vulnerabilities, trapping families in a cycle of poverty that adversely affects children. Research shows that fluctuations in job markets and insufficient wages for adults significantly contribute to children’s economic hardships [14]. Additionally, systemic factors such as welfare policies that impose strict limits on family support can exacerbate the financial strain on families, forcing them to prioritize immediate survival needs over long-term investments in children’s education and health [15]. Structural inequities entrenched in society—such as discrimination based on race, ethnicity, and immigration status—also compound the challenges faced by marginalized communities, limiting their access to resources and opportunities [16]. Moreover, literature indicates that child labor is both a symptom and a cause of poverty, as children are often compelled to work to support their families, perpetuating a cycle where poverty and child labor reinforce each other [17].

Moreover, the recognition that children’s experiences of poverty differ markedly from those of adults has led to analytical frameworks that spotlight these distinctions. For instance, the studies emphasize the importance of developing child-specific indicators that align with sustainable development targets, reflecting the need to focus on individual children’s circumstances rather than household poverty as a broad measure [18]. As discussed by Fonta et al. [19], viewing child well-being through a multidimensional lens ensures that indicators such as health, nutrition, education, shelter, and sanitation are accounted for, thereby creating a more comprehensive understanding of child poverty that transcends mere economic measures. In examining the structural factors contributing to child poverty, it becomes evident that socioeconomic dynamics can play an essential role. Factors like single-parent family structures, low educational attainment, and ethnicity complicate the landscape of child poverty, reinforcing the intergenerational cycle of poverty. The environmental and systemic risks children face indicate a critical

need for tailored interventions targeting not only financial scarcity but also behavioral influences stemming from these socioeconomic conditions.

Another significant structural factor is the impact of neighborhood characteristics on child poverty and well-being. The concept of Social Disorganization Theory suggests that neighborhood characteristics, such as community cohesion, are vital for reducing adverse conditions, including child poverty and abuse [20]. In their examination of rural areas, Maguire-Jack et al. [21] found that community disorganization can lead to heightened rates of child maltreatment, underscoring how external environments influence familial dynamics and children's outcomes. The relationships between neighborhood poverty, unemployment rates, and child maltreatment further reveal how systemic disadvantages can perpetuate cycles of deprivation [22].

In addition, cultural and institutional norms contribute to child poverty. In many societies, traditional values surrounding family roles can influence the opportunities available to children. The persistent factors such as unemployment, cultural expectations regarding family structure, and barriers to education align closely with societal values that may marginalize certain groups, thereby exacerbating child poverty. These factors are compounded by systemic inequities, which disadvantage families based on ethnicity and immigration status.

1.3 Consequences of Child Poverty

Child poverty has far-reaching repercussions that impact health, education, and psychosocial development across the lifespan. Research has consistently demonstrated that poverty in early childhood is associated with a multitude of adverse outcomes that extend beyond immediate disadvantages, affecting overall well-being and life trajectories. The impact of childhood poverty on health is profound and supported by a variety of studies. Childhood poverty is significantly associated with disability in later life, indicating that the material deficiencies experienced during childhood have lasting implications for adult health and economic status [23]. Additionally, a systematic review emphasizes that adverse childhood experiences, closely linked to poverty, can set the stage for lifelong health challenges, impairing educational and employment opportunities, thereby perpetuating cycles of poverty [24]. Moreover, the lack of essential resources during childhood deeply affects physical and mental well-being into adulthood, reinforcing the critical need for effective interventions to combat childhood poverty [25].

From an educational perspective, children's academic performance is closely correlated with their socioeconomic status. The deprivations experienced in early childhood have significant implications for cognitive development and future productivity [26]. The socioeconomic factors directly influence educational attainment and behavior, with those from lower-income families facing greater challenges due to limited access to resources and support systems. This is compounded by the detrimental impact of low socioeconomic status on children's resilience and social skills.

At a psychosocial level, the ramifications of growing up in poverty are equally concerning. Children from low-income families frequently exhibit higher levels of mental health issues such as depression and anxiety [27]. The psychological impact is multifaceted; children may experience feelings of inferiority and diminished self-esteem due to the stigma associated with poverty, which can hinder their social development. The role of social support is crucial here, where strong support networks can mitigate some adverse effects of poverty on mental well-being [28]. Moreover, the detrimental social environment of impoverished neighborhoods contributes to stress and insecurity, exacerbating already fragile mental health conditions.

1.4 The Importance of Addressing Child Poverty in Social Work

In the field of social work, addressing child poverty is paramount due to its profound impact on vulnerable populations. Social workers are often frontline responders to the needs of families living in poverty, and their role extends to advocating for policies that enhance the quality of life for children [29]. By understanding the complex interplay between poverty and various social determinants, social work practices can become more effective in mitigating the adverse effects of poverty, thereby promoting social justice and equity.

Programs aimed at alleviating child poverty not only support immediate needs but also contribute to long-term outcomes such as improved educational achievements and psychological well-being. For instance, early childhood interventions, although sometimes critiqued for their temporary benefits, have been shown to enhance school readiness and cognitive development, critical factors in breaking the cycle of poverty. Social workers also play a crucial role in implementing these interventions, ensuring they are culturally sensitive and tailored to meet the specific needs of affected communities [30].

Moreover, addressing child poverty through a multidimensional lens reflects a commitment to understanding the systemic nature of poverty, which is intricately linked to issues of race, gender, and social policy [31]. The exploration and understanding of the social contexts in which poverty exists empower social workers to craft interventions that not only alleviate poverty but also advocate for structural changes within society that prioritize children's rights and well-being [32].

1.5 The Role of Social Work in Combating Child Poverty

The role of social work in combating child poverty is substantial and multifaceted, reflecting the complexity of poverty itself. Social work interventions have evolved to address not only immediate economic hardships faced by children and their families but also the broader systemic factors contributing to child poverty. This understanding is critical for practitioners and policymakers who are tasked with addressing the multifaceted nature of poverty. Child poverty is fundamentally intertwined with broader social determinants, such as housing instability, food insecurity, and educational disparities, as evidenced by numerous studies linking these factors to a higher propensity for child maltreatment [33].

Preventive strategies and interventions in social work must therefore adopt a holistic approach. Frameworks like the poverty-aware social work paradigm advocate for reassessing child protection interventions through the lenses of social justice and human rights [34]. By prioritizing the social context of child welfare, practitioners are better equipped to identify systemic inequalities and advocate for effective resource allocation and policy reform. This perspective compels social workers to reflect on existing practices and strive for methodologies that reinforce their moral and ethical obligation to advocate for vulnerable populations, thus re-linking their efforts to the broader mission of creating just and equitable social systems.

One effective way to combat child poverty is through casework, group work, and community-based approaches. Casework allows social workers to provide individualized support to families in need by addressing their unique circumstances and challenges. This can involve emergency financial assistance, referrals to food banks, or facilitating access to health services. Group work can enhance resilience among children living in poverty by fostering peer support networks that empower them to share their experiences and strategies for coping with adversity [35]. Community-based initiatives that encourage active participation can lead to more sustainable outcomes, as families become integrated into networks of support that promote social cohesion and encourage economic stability [36].

Moreover, community-based approaches can tackle the structural causes of poverty by engaging local stakeholders, including schools, healthcare providers, and businesses, to create a supportive environment for families. Collaborative efforts between social workers and local institutions can lead to comprehensive strategies addressing the complexities surrounding child poverty, such as providing educational support and improving access to health services [37]. Partnerships with community organizations can maximize resources and ensure that interventions are culturally competent and effectively tailored to meet the diverse needs of families living in poverty.

Recognizing that child poverty is not merely an individual or familial issue but a social phenomenon extends the responsibility for addressing it beyond social work to include societal and governmental engagement [38]. Social workers are uniquely positioned to influence these discussions by highlighting the lived experiences of children and families affected by poverty and advocating for changes at the policy level that can ultimately alter their life trajectories.

In this context, it is important to apply strategies that not only alleviate immediate suffering but also address the root causes of poverty. Programs focusing on early childhood education have shown promise in breaking the cycle of poverty by equipping children with necessary skills for academic success [39]. The efficacy of such programs has been documented extensively, suggesting that increasing access to early learning opportunities can lead to long-term economic gains for children from disadvantaged backgrounds.

Furthermore, social work's commitment to a human rights-based approach emphasizes the critical need for all children to experience a life free from poverty and socio-economic deprivation [40]. This perspective integrates social work practices with broader human rights initiatives, creating a framework prioritizing children's rights to education, health, and social welfare. By continuously advocating for the rights of children, social workers not only illuminate the pressing issues surrounding child poverty but also lay the groundwork for transformative societal changes that can lead to sustainable improvements in the quality of life for children [41].

The integration of qualitative insights has been instrumental in shaping more effective and appropriate social work interventions [42]. These insights reveal the intricate dynamics at play within families and

how various forms of support can either alleviate or exacerbate their circumstances. It is vital to empower community members to participate in co-creating solutions in service planning, yielding significant benefits.

The practice of social work should also evolve to encompass a proactive stance toward policy advocacy, addressing the cultural and structural barriers that perpetuate child poverty [43]. For instance, advocating for improved social welfare policies that provide adequate financial resources can significantly alleviate the burden of poverty on families. Moreover, maintaining awareness of the socio-political landscape equips social workers with the necessary tools to combat the stigmas associated with poverty that can impede families from accessing essential services [44].

In combating child poverty, social worker should also recognize the impact of systemic issues such as racism, gender inequality, and class disparities that entrench children in cycles of poverty. This comprehensive understanding allows for a more nuanced approach to intervention, taking into account the diversity of experiences among children living in poverty and ensuring that services are inclusive and equitable. Attention to these factors leads to more effective responses that can address the specific needs of different demographic groups, sensitivities that are often overlooked in traditional models of service delivery [45].

1.6 Structural Barriers, Resource Constraints and Ethical Dilemmas in Social Work Practice

Child poverty is a multifaceted issue influenced by various structural barriers, resource constraints, and ethical dilemmas encountered in social work practice. Understanding how public policies, socioeconomic factors, and systemic inequalities shape the experience of poverty for children and their families is crucial. Research has demonstrated that child poverty rates are significantly influenced by public welfare policies within the European Union. The stricter eligibility requirements for social protection programs have notably diminished their redistributive effects on child poverty [46]. The social transfers have been less effective in alleviating child poverty compared to adult poverty [40]. Such systemic deficiencies create barriers that exacerbate the vulnerabilities faced by families in poverty, making it increasingly difficult for social work professionals to achieve meaningful outcomes for children.

Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has magnified the challenges faced by child welfare professionals and the families they serve. As service delivery transitioned to virtual formats during the pandemic, structural barriers related to poverty became more pronounced. The immigrant families faced heightened difficulties in accessing services during the pandemic, further complicating their involvement with child welfare systems [47].

The intersectionality of race and poverty presents another significant layer of complexity. Feely and Bosk argue that structural racism perpetuates child welfare disparities by misinterpreting poverty as neglect, disproportionately affecting families of color [48]. This alarming trend culminates in a child welfare system that fails to address the systemic issues leading to high rates of involvement for marginalized communities.

Resource constraints also pervade social work practice, impacting the effectiveness of interventions designed to support families in poverty. The short-term interventions typically employed in child welfare do not align with the long-term needs of families facing multifaceted challenges [49]. The literature suggests that a more tailored approach may yield better outcomes for children and families by directly addressing specific [50]. However, implementing such models necessitates substantial resources and training that many social work agencies may lack.

In addition, the ethical dilemmas faced by child welfare professionals cannot be overlooked. The challenge of balancing the need for intervention against the potential for disrupting familial bonds often results in moral quandaries. The importance of building relationships among caregivers, illustrating how a lack of connection can impede effective intervention strategies in the welfare system [51]. Ethical considerations must guide thoughtful decision-making that respects the agency of families while seeking to safeguard children.

Furthermore, access to medical care remains a persistent barrier for many families involved with the child welfare system. Schneiderman et al. [52] discuss how structural obstacles limit caregivers' ability to secure necessary pediatric services, exacerbating the challenges presented by child poverty. Such barriers not only affect health outcomes but also interfere with the overall welfare of children, leading to a cycle of disadvantage that is difficult to break.

To mitigate these challenges, the integration of innovative practices and strategies into social work can be pivotal. The potential benefits of fostering relationships with important adults outside family structures as a strategy to support youth in foster care [53]. Engaging non-parental figures in children's lives can

significantly contribute to resilience and well-being, reinforcing the importance of a holistic approach to social work that transcends traditional familial boundaries.

Ultimately, addressing child poverty requires a concerted effort from all stakeholders, including policymakers, social workers, and community organizations. Collaborative frameworks that prioritize the voices of those directly impacted by poverty may lead to more effective and equitable solutions. The economic analyses affirm the need to explore not only immediate responses to child poverty but also long-term structural improvements in social policies that aim to break the cycle of poverty.

In conclusion, the intricate landscape of child poverty manifests through a web of structural barriers, resource constraints, and ethical dilemmas that shape social work practice. Addressing these issues necessitates a comprehensive, multi-faceted approach examining the interconnectedness of policy, race, and resource availability. As social work professionals grapple with the realities of child poverty, advocating for systematic changes that prioritize the well-being of vulnerable children and families is essential.

2. CONCLUSIONS

Child poverty remains one of the most pressing social challenges globally, with long-term implications for children's health, education, and overall well-being. The persistence of structural inequalities, limited access to social protection, and intergenerational transmission of poverty highlight the urgent need for comprehensive interventions that go beyond individual level support. From a policy perspective, social workers should advocate for integrated welfare systems that combine income support, quality education, accessible healthcare, and community-based services. Evidence shows that policies which strengthen family support services and ensure adequate social transfers can significantly reduce child poverty rates. Furthermore, a rights-based approach must guide national and local policy frameworks to ensure children's voices are central in decision-making.

For the profession of social work, addressing child poverty requires a dual focus: direct practice with children and families, and structural advocacy for systemic change. Social workers should integrate preventive interventions such as early childhood support programs, school-based services, and family resilience initiatives, while also contributing to policy dialogues that address labor market inequalities and housing insecurity. Moreover, the use of participatory and community-based approaches can empower marginalized families and enhance the effectiveness of interventions.

In the future, research and practice in social work must prioritize evidence-informed models, cross-sectoral collaboration, and global knowledge exchange to combat child poverty in a sustainable way. In conclusion, social work's ethical commitment to social justice and human rights positions the profession as a critical actor in shaping policies that ensure every child's right to a life free from poverty.

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) under the 2224-A (2025/2) National Scholarship Program for Participation in Scientific Events. The author gratefully acknowledges this support, which made it possible to present the paper "The Complex Dimensions of Child Poverty and the Role of Social Work" at the AFTER 25 International Conference on Social Work.

REFERENCES

1. P. Rebouças, I. R. Falcão, and M. L. Barreto, "Social inequalities and their impact on children's health: a current and global perspective," *Jornal de Pediatria*, vol. 98, pp. S55-S65, 2022.
2. V. P. Gupta, "Economic Perspectives on Poverty: Analysing Its Measurement Across Different Economics and Contexts," in *Creating Pathways for Prosperity*, pp. 135-150, Emerald Publishing Limited, 2024.
3. J. M. Pascoe, D. L. Wood, J. H. Duffee, A. Kuo, Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health, Council on Community Pediatrics, ... and J. L. Nelson, "Mediators and adverse effects of child poverty in the United States," *Pediatrics*, vol. 137, no. 4, e20160340, 2016.
4. U. H. Padda and A. Hameed, "Estimating multidimensional poverty levels in rural Pakistan: A contribution to sustainable development policies," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 197, pp. 435-442, 2018.
5. C. S. Bastos, E. P. Rabinovich, and M. B. Almeida, "Living the world of poverty: The researcher as participant/apprentice," *Psychology and Developing Societies*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 221-247, 2010.
6. J. Mathebula and O. Alaba, "Under-Five Child Poverty and Income Inequality in South Africa: A Multidimensional Perspective at the Lowest Geographic Areas," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 6, 2024.
7. P. L. Engle and M. M. Black, "The effect of poverty on child development and educational outcomes," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 1136, no. 1, pp. 243-256, 2008.

- A. Chaudry and C. Wimer, "Poverty is not just an indicator: the relationship between income, poverty, and child well-being," *Academic Pediatrics*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. S23-S29, 2016.
6. G. W. Evans, "The environment of childhood poverty," *American Psychologist*, vol. 59, no. 2, p. 77, 2004.
- A. Suryawan, M. Y. Jalaludin, B. K. Poh, R. Sanusi, V. M. H. Tan, J. M. Geurts, and L. Muhardi, "Malnutrition in early life and its neurodevelopmental and cognitive consequences: a scoping review," *Nutrition Research Reviews*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 136-149, 2022.
7. O. Adabor and E. K. Ayesu, "Effect of ethnic diversity on child labor: Empirical evidence from Ghana," *Sustainable Development*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 7246-7261, 2024.
8. J. S. Lee, "The effects of persistent poverty on children's physical, socio-emotional, and learning outcomes," *Child Indicators Research*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 725-747, 2011.
9. E. Martey, P. M. Etwire, and I. Koomson, "Parental time poverty, child work and school attendance in Ghana," *Child Indicators Research*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 1489-1515, 2022.
10. K. Stewart, R. Patrick, and A. Reeves, "A time of need: Exploring the changing poverty risk facing larger families in the UK," *Journal of Social Policy*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 75-99, 2025.
11. K. DeGuerre, J. Strolin-Goltzman, K. Briar-Lawson, and B. Gooley, "Child neglect: Statutes, rates, and a neglect diversion model," *Greenwich Social Work Review*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 208-218, 2021.
- A. Jolly and A. Gupta, "Children and families with no recourse to public funds," 2022.
- A. Mizushima, "Child labor, social capital, and economic development," *Review of Development Economics*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 1648-1667, 2021.
- B. L. Fonta, D. Gordon, and Z. Toumpakari, "A cross-comparative analysis of child poverty across sub-Saharan Africa: the case of Francophone and Anglophone African states," *International Journal of Health Governance*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 44-59, 2025.
12. F. Carrillo-Brenes and L. M. Vilches-Blázquez, "Characterizing Spatio-Temporal Patterns of Child Sexual Abuse in Mexico City Before, During, and After the COVID-19 Pandemic," *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, vol. 13, no. 7, p. 223, 2024.
13. K. Maguire-Jack, Y. Park, M. Feely, W. Schneider, G. T. Pace, J. B. Klika, and E. Thibodeau, "Childcare subsidy employment and copayment requirements and child maltreatment," *Child Maltreatment*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 612-624, 2024.
- C. Freisthler, D. H. Merritt, and E. A. LaScala, "Understanding the ecology of child maltreatment: A review of the literature and directions for future research," *Child Maltreatment*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 263-280, 2006.
14. J. Xie, M. Guo, and C. Li, "The impact of children's enrollment restrictions on household consumption: evidence from the migrant population," *Frontiers in Public Health*, vol. 13, p. 1529716, 2025.
15. K. Hughes, M. A. Bellis, K. A. Hardcastle, D. Sethi, A. Butchart, C. Mikton, ... and M. P. Dunne, "The effect of multiple adverse childhood experiences on health: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *The Lancet Public Health*, vol. 2, no. 8, pp. e356-e366, 2017.
16. M. S. Islam and A. K. Azad, "The impact of personal remittance and RMG export income on income inequality in Bangladesh: evidence from an ARDL approach," *Review of Economics and Political Science*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 134-150, 2024.
17. F. Agyire-Tettey, D. Asuman, C. G. Ackah, and A. Tsiboe-Darko, "Multidimensional child poverty in Ghana: Measurements, determinants, and inequalities," *Child Indicators Research*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 957-979, 2021.
18. K. Y. Ho, W. H. Li, and S. S. Chan, "The effect of poverty and income disparity on the psychological well-being of Hong Kong children," *Public Health Nursing*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 212-221, 2015.
19. S. Li and M. Cai, "Social support and reference group: the dual action mechanism of the social network on subjective poverty," *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1-14, 2024.
- D. L. Bennett, S. Wickham, B. Barr, and D. Taylor-Robinson, "Poverty and children entering care in England: A qualitative study of local authority policymakers' perspectives of challenges in Children's Services," *Children and Youth Services Review*, vol. 162, p. 107689, 2024.
- A. K. Balogun, J. A. Atta, O. M. Oyetubo, V. A. Ibiom, K. A. Bakare-Adesokan, and T. O. Ojo, "Developing culturally competent models for inclusive social work and healthcare interventions," 2025.
20. K. O. Omotoso and S. F. Koch, "Exploring child poverty and inequality in post-apartheid South Africa: A multidimensional perspective," *Journal of Poverty & Social Justice*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 417-437, 2018.
- A. Berkowitz, "Increasing political practice and policy practice in social work students," *Advances in Social Work*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 123-140, 2024.
21. J. B. Kirkbride, D. M. Anglin, I. Colman, J. Dykxhoorn, P. B. Jones, Patalay, ... and S. L. Griffiths, "The social determinants of mental health and disorder: evidence, prevention and recommendations," *World Psychiatry*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 58-90, 2024.
22. Y. Saar-Heiman, "Poverty-aware social work in the child protection system: A critical reflection on two single-case studies," *Child & Family Social Work*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 610-618, 2019.
23. T. Schiettecat, *Trajectories of poor families in child and family social work*, Doctoral dissertation, Ghent University, 2016.
24. P. Bywaters, G. Brady, T. Sparks, and E. Bos, "Child welfare inequalities: New evidence, further questions," *Child & Family Social Work*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 369-380, 2016.
25. C. A. Farrell, E. W. Fleegler, M. C. Monuteaux, C. R. Wilson, C. W. Christian, and L. K. Lee, "Community poverty and child abuse fatalities in the United States," *Pediatrics*, vol. 139, no. 5, 2017.
26. R. Singh and S. Sarkar, "Children's experience of multidimensional deprivation: Relationship with household monetary poverty," *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, vol. 56, pp. 43-56, 2015.
27. G. Main and J. Bradshaw, "Child poverty in the UK: Measures, prevalence and intra-household sharing," *Critical Social Policy*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 38-61, 2016.
28. N. Tekdemir and H. H. Yılmaz, "An empirical analysis of the determinants of child poverty in European countries," *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences*, vol. 20, no. 71, pp. 95-112, 2024.
- A. Gupta, "Poverty and child neglect—the elephant in the room?," *Families, Relationships and Societies*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 21-36, 2017.

29. T. Schiettecat, G. Roets, and M. Vandenbroeck, "Hide and seek: Political agency of social workers in supporting families living in poverty," *The British Journal of Social Work*, vol. 48, no. 7, pp. 1874-1891, 2018.
30. R. Diris, F. Vandenbroucke, and G. Verbist, "The impact of pensions, transfers and taxes on child poverty in Europe: the role of size, pro-poorness and child orientation," *Socio-Economic Review*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 745-775, 2017.
31. V. Morrow and K. Pells, "Integrating children's human rights and child poverty debates: Examples from young lives in Ethiopia and India," *Sociology*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 906-920, 2012.
32. K. O. Omotoso and S. F. Koch, "Exploring child poverty and inequality in post-apartheid South Africa: A multidimensional perspective," *Journal of Poverty & Social Justice*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 417-437, 2018.
33. M. Á. Sánchez Domínguez and M. V. Navarro Hernández, "Child poverty and government social spending in the European Union during the economic crisis," 2021.
34. K. Lovato and J. J. Ramirez, "Addressing the social service needs of Latinx families impacted by COVID-19 and immigration-related stressors," *Journal of Social Service Research*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 617-632, 2022.
35. M. Feely and E. A. Bosk, "That which is essential has been made invisible: The need to bring a structural risk perspective to reduce racial disproportionality in child welfare," *Race and Social Problems*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 49-62, 2021.
36. M. Jonson-Reid, N. Presnall, B. Drake, L. Fox, L. Bierut, W. Reich, ... and J. N. Constantino, "Effects of child maltreatment and inherited liability on antisocial development: an official records study," *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 321-332, 2010.
37. S. Dauber, C. Neighbors, C. Dasaro, A. Riordan, and J. Morgenstern, "Impact of intensive case management on child welfare system involvement for substance-dependent parenting women on public assistance," *Children and Youth Services Review*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 1359-1366, 2012.
38. H. L. Storer, S. E. Barkan, E. L. Sherman, K. P. Haggerty, and L. M. Mattos, "Promoting relationship building and connection: Adapting an evidence-based parenting program for families involved in the child welfare system," *Children and Youth Services Review*, vol. 34, no. 9, pp. 1853-1861, 2012.
39. J. U. Schneiderman, L. K. Leslie, J. S. Arnold-Clark, D. McDaniel, and B. Xie, "Pediatric health assessments of young children in child welfare by placement type," *Child Abuse & Neglect*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 29-39, 2011.
40. K. R. Ahrens, D. L. DuBois, M. Garrison, R. Spencer, L. P. Richardson, and P. Lozano, "Qualitative exploration of relationships with important non-parental adults in the lives of youth in foster care," *Children and Youth Services Review*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 1012-1023, 2011.