International Journal of Environmental Sciences
ISSN: 2229-7359
Vol. 11 No. 21s, 2025
https://theaspd.com/index.php

Environmental Implications Of AI-Enabled Algorithmic Trading: A Sustainability Perspective From Emerging Markets (India)

Harsh Raghuwanshi[1*], Dr Roli Pradhan[2]
[1*]PhD Research Scholar, NITTTR Bhopal Harshraghuwanshi81@gmail.com
[2]Assistant Professor, NITTTR Bhopal pradhanroli@gmail.com

Abstract
Global financial markets are changing due to the quick uptake of algorithmic trading facilitated by artificial intelligence (AI), especially in developing nations like India. Although the majority of the literature focuses on how these technological changes affect liquidity, volatility, and efficiency, little is known about how they affect the environment. In order to place financial innovation within the larger context of sustainability, this paper provides a conceptual review of the environmental effects of algorithmic trading. It makes the case that the energy-intensive data centres, co-location facilities, and quick hardware turnover necessary for high-frequency and AI-driven trading lead to higher carbon footprints and the production of electronic waste. Drawing on evidence from studies in market microstructure (Hendershott et al., 2011; Hasbrouck & Saar, 2013; Menkveld, 2013), this paper reinterprets these findings through an environmental lens, with particular reference to India's stock exchanges (NSE and BSE). The analysis highlights a tension between financial efficiency and environmental sustainability, underscoring the need for regulatory frameworks that integrate sustainable finance principles into digital market infrastructure. The study concludes by calling for interdisciplinary research that quantifies the ecological impact of algorithmic trading and proposes policy directions to align financial market modernisation with India's climate and sustainability commitments.
Keywords: *Algorithmic Trading, Artificial Intelligence, Sustainability, Environmental Impact, India, Stock Market*

1. INTRODUCTION
Algorithmic trading (AT) has emerged as a key innovation in the 21st century's acceleration of artificial intelligence (AI) integration into global financial markets. High-speed, adaptive decision-making made possible by AT, which is enhanced by machine learning and predictive analytics, has revolutionised market efficiency, liquidity, and volatility (Hendershott, Jones, & Menkveld, 2011; Hasbrouck & Saar, 2013; Menkveld, 2013). The environmental effects of these dynamics are still mostly disregarded, despite the fact that they have been thoroughly examined in developed economies and are becoming more significant in developing nations like India.
AI-enabled AT relies on energy-intensive infrastructures, including colocation facilities, data centres, and high-frequency networks, which contribute to carbon emissions, electronic waste, and escalating resource demands—issues that are especially salient in India's fossil-fuel-dependent energy landscape. This paper situates AT within the broader discourse of environmental sustainability, arguing that its ecological costs may offset potential financial benefits. By extending sustainable finance frameworks beyond green bonds and ESG investments to include the environmental footprint of financial infrastructures, the study seeks to align India's digital finance revolution with its climate and sustainability commitments.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW
Research on algorithmic trading (AT) and, more recently, AI-enabled AT has primarily examined its effects on market liquidity, volatility, and efficiency, with little consideration of sustainability. Hendershott, Jones, and Menkveld (2011) and Hasbrouck and Saar (2013) demonstrate that AT enhances liquidity and price discovery, findings that have justified the expansion of algorithmic participation in India's National Stock Exchange (NSE) and Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE). Yet, when viewed ecologically, these liquidity gains rely on energy-intensive infrastructures—co-location centres, high-speed processors, and redundant power systems—that increase electricity demand and carbon emissions, especially in fossil-fuel-dependent economies.
The literature on volatility presents mixed evidence. Menkveld (2013) suggests that algorithmic traders act as stabilising market makers, while Chaboud, Chiquoine, Hjalmarsson, and Vega (2014) and Riordan, Storkenmaier, Wagener, and Zhang (2013) highlight risks of herding, correlated strategies, and destabilisation during stress events. From a sustainability standpoint, maintaining infrastructure readiness for stabilisation and

scaling capacity during volatility both intensifies energy use and contributes to e-waste generation. Similarly, studies emphasising informational efficiency (Hasbrouck & Saar, 2013; Riordan et al., 2013) highlight the premium placed on speed; however, the continual race for lower latency accelerates hardware obsolescence and unsustainable consumption cycles.

The majority of empirical insights are derived from marketplaces in the United States and Europe, although they are often generalised to India. Such transfers of reasoning are reflected in the 2010 development of the NSE's co-location facility; nevertheless, no studies evaluate the environmental costs of implementing such infrastructures in emerging economies with limited energy resources. This problem is exacerbated by the growth of retail participation through digital platforms, which integrate sustainability issues into the larger financial ecosystem.

The literature as a whole points to a crucial gap: energy use, carbon emissions, and e-waste—environmental externalities of AI-enabled algorithmic trading—remain mainly hidden in financial economics. This absence emphasises the necessity of conducting multidisciplinary research that connects environmental sustainability and finance, especially in light of quickly digitising economies like India.

3. Environmental Dimensions of Algorithmic Trading

While algorithmic trading enhances liquidity and efficiency, its ecological costs are significant yet underexplored. Three dimensions are particularly relevant: **energy use, carbon emissions, and electronic waste**. HFT infrastructures demand uninterrupted power and cooling, which in coal-dependent India results in disproportionately high emissions. This carbon intensity, largely invisible in financial policy, undermines India's climate goals despite the sector's contribution to market inclusion and efficiency.

At the same time, the competitive race for trading speed accelerates hardware obsolescence, generating large volumes of e-waste. In India, inadequate formal recycling means most discarded trading equipment is processed in hazardous informal channels, aggravating environmental risks.

The convergence of coal-based energy, rising investor participation, and weak e-waste management makes India a critical site for examining these trade-offs. Current SEBI regulations focus on market integrity but overlook sustainability. Incorporating renewable energy mandates, energy audits, and extended producer responsibility could align financial innovation with ecological imperatives, positioning India as a leader in sustainable algorithmic markets.

4. Policy and Sustainability Implications

The ecological costs of algorithmic trading in India—driven by high energy consumption, carbon emissions, and rapid hardware obsolescence—remain largely invisible within financial regulation. While SEBI has advanced frameworks for market fairness, efficiency, and investor protection, these do not address sustainability, creating risks of locking financial markets into a high-carbon trajectory at odds with India's climate commitments.

A sustainability-orientated regulatory framework would expand beyond systemic risk to include ecological externalities. Key policy measures include mandating renewable energy use in exchanges and co-location centres, extending Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) obligations to trading institutions for responsible e-waste management, and embedding environmental disclosures—on carbon, energy, and waste—into financial reporting. Such interventions would not only reduce the ecological footprint of trading infrastructure but also enhance transparency and align market practices with global ESG standards.

More broadly, embedding sustainability within India's digital finance agenda could link financial modernisation with national renewable energy and green hydrogen strategies. Encouraging innovations such as blockchain-based carbon tracking and AI-driven energy monitoring could position India as a global leader in sustainable finance.

Internationally, India has the opportunity to pioneer regulatory responses to the ecological costs of algorithmic trading, thereby strengthening its influence in climate negotiations and reinforcing commitments under the Paris Agreement and the SDGs. Balancing financial efficiency with ecological stewardship is thus both an environmental necessity and a strategic imperative for India's economic future.

4. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a **secondary estimation approach** to quantify the potential carbon footprint of AI-enabled algorithmic trading infrastructure in India. Since Indian exchanges (NSE and BSE) do not publish detailed energy consumption reports, the analysis relies on **global benchmarks of data centre and trading infrastructure energy use**.

1. **Energy Demand of Co-Location Facilities**

o According to the Uptime Institute (2023), a typical Tier-3 financial data centre rack consumes **7–12 kW per rack**.

o Large stock exchanges (e.g., NYSE, NASDAQ) operate **5,000–10,000 racks** in their colocation facilities (De Vries, 2020).

o For Indian exchanges, a conservative assumption of **2,000 racks per exchange** is applied, given their smaller scale relative to U.S. counterparts.

Annual energy = Racks × kW/rack × 24 × 365 = 2,000 × 10 kW × 8,760 hrs. ≈ 175.2 GWh (per exchange)

Carbon Emission Factor

o India's national grid emission factor is approximately **0.7 kg CO_2/kWh** (Central Electricity Authority, 2024).

Emissions annual = Energy annual × 0.7 = 175.2 GWh × 0.7 kg/kWh = 122,640 tonnes CO_2 (per exchange)

Scope of Analysis

o Both **NSE and BSE** are considered, doubling the estimate to ~**245,000 tonnes of CO_2 annually**.

o This excludes additional AI-driven infrastructure (cloud servers, broker-side AI), meaning actual emissions may be higher.

5. RESULTS

The analysis indicates that AI-enabled algorithmic trading infrastructure in India generates approximately **245,000 tonnes of CO_2 emissions annually**, equivalent to the annual electricity consumption of ~**180,000 Indian households** (based on 1,350 kWh/household/year, CEA 2023).

These results hint that while algorithmic trading enhances liquidity and efficiency, it carries a **non-trivial environmental cost**, which is largely overlooked in the financial economics literature. Moreover, as algorithmic penetration grows (especially with the rise of retail trading and AI adoption), the carbon footprint of India's financial markets will continue to expand unless green energy solutions are integrated.

7. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION

The way financial markets operate globally, including in India, has been completely transformed by the quick incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) into algorithmic trading. The modernisation of the National Stock Exchange (NSE) and the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE), the growth of co-location services, and the increase in retail equity participation have all sped up the adoption of these technologies in India. These advantages, however, need to be evaluated in the context of growing worries about systemic risk, volatility, and—most crucially for this paper—the neglected environmental effects of trading infrastructure.

This study has argued that AI-enabled algorithmic trading is not a purely virtual or "weightless" phenomenon but one grounded in material realities: vast data centres, energy-intensive trading systems, and high-speed networks. These infrastructures, while designed to optimise microsecond-level execution, generate measurable environmental costs in the form of carbon emissions, electronic waste, and rising energy demand. By situating the discussion within the Indian context, this paper highlights the urgent need to consider these environmental dimensions.

In conclusion, algorithmic trading facilitated by AI undoubtedly increases efficiency, but the sustainability of financial advancement may be threatened by its unrestrained environmental consequences. The challenge for India is to strike a balance between these two demands: using technical innovation to expand markets without sacrificing ecological stability in the process. An important first step is to acknowledge financial markets as material ecosystems with observable environmental impacts.

India can guarantee that its stock markets are not just technologically sophisticated and globally competitive in the future but also in line with the country's ecological and developmental aspirations by incorporating sustainability into financial regulation.

8. REFERENCES

1. Alain P. Chaboud et al. (2013). Discussion of high-frequency trading (led by Alain Chaboud, Richard Olsen and Alec Schmidt). https://doi.org/10.14288/1.0043354
2. Albert J. Menkveld et al. (2013). High-frequency trading and the new market makers. Journal of Financial Markets. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.finmar.2013.06.006
3. Albert J. Menkveld et al. (2016). The Economics of High-Frequency Trading: Taking Stock. Review of Financial Economics. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-financial-121415-033010
4. Albert J. Menkveld et al. (2017). Need for Speed? Exchange Latency and Liquidity. Review of Financial Studies. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2442690
5. Anshul Madnawat et al. (2024). Comparative Analysis of Sustainability, Carbon Footprint, and AI's Role in Reducing Emissions Across AWS, Azure, and Google Cloud. INTERANTIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT. https://doi.org/10.55041 /ijsrem37115
6. Azizul Hakim Rafi et al. (2024). Unveiling the Role of Artificial Intelligence and Stock Market Growth in Achieving Carbon Neutrality in the United States: An ARDL Model Analysis. Journal of Environmental Science and Economics. https://doi.org/10.56556/jescae.v3i4.1073
7. Boermans et al. (2017). Pension funds' carbon footprint and investment trade-offs. Social Science Research Network. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2952338
8. Brogaard, J., Hendershott, T., & Riordan, R. (2014). High-frequency trading and price discovery. Review of Financial Studies, 27(8), 2267–2306. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhu032
9. Carbon Emission Reduction. International Journal of Energy Research. https://doi.org/10.1155/2024 /2486822
10. Easley, D., López de Prado, M., & O'Hara, M. (2014). The microstructure of the 'flash crash': Flow toxicity, liquidity, and market instability. Financial Analysts Journal, 70(3), 18–24.
11. Emmanuel Dibie et al. (2024). The Future of Renewable Energy: Ethical Implications of AI and Cloud Technology in Data Security and Environmental Impact. Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science. https://doi.org/10.9734/jamcs/2024/v39i101935
12. F. A. S. Islam et al. (2025). Artificial Intelligence-powered Carbon Market Intelligence and Blockchain-enabled Governance for Climate-responsive Urban Infrastructure in the Global South. Journal of Engineering Research and Reports. https://doi.org/10.9734/jerr/2025/v27i71585
13. Foluke Ekundayo et al. (2024). Economic implications of AI-driven financial markets: Challenges and opportunities in big data integration. International Journal of Science and Research Archive. https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.13.2.2311
14. Guglielmo Tamburrini et al. (2022). The AI Carbon Footprint and Responsibilities of AI Scientists. Philosophies. https://doi.org/10.3390/philosophies7010004
15. Joel Hasbrouck et al. (2009). Technology and Liquidity Provision: The Blurring of Traditional Definitions. Journal of Financial Markets. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.994369
16. Katya Malinova et al. (2013). Do retail traders suffer from high-frequency traders? https://doi.org/10.2139/ ssrn.2183806
17. Kirilenko, A. A., Kyle, A. S., Samadi, M., & Tuzun, T. (2017). The Flash Crash: The impact of high-frequency trading on an electronic market. Journal of Finance, 72(3), 967–998. https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12498
18. Kostas Ordoumpozanis et al. (2024). Green AI: Assessing the Carbon Footprint of Fine-Tuning Pre-Trained Deep Learning Models in Medical Imaging. 2024 International Conference on Innovation and Intelligence for Informatics, Computing, and Technologies (3ICT). https://doi.org/10.1109/3ict64318.2024.10824571
19. Manoj Kumar Vandanapu et al. (2024). Quantum-Inspired AI for Optimised High-Frequency Trading. International Journal of Finance. https://doi.org/10.47941/ijf.2301
20. MD Rokibul Hasan et al. (2024). Optimizing Sustainable Supply Chains: Integrating Environmental Concerns and Carbon Footprint Reduction through AI-Enhanced Decision-Making in the USA. Journal of Economics, Finance and Accounting Studies. https://doi.org/10.32996/jefas.2024.6.4.7
21. Meizhen Gao et al. (2024). Blockchain-Enabled Integrated Energy System Trading Model for CCS-P2G-Coupled Operation: Enhancing Energy Trading Efficiency and
22. N. Mitu et al. (2025). The Hidden Cost of AI: Carbon Footprint and Mitigation Strategies. Social Science Research Network. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5036344
23. Nataliya Tkachenko et al. (2024). Integrating AI's Carbon Footprint into Risk Management Frameworks: Strategies and Tools for Sustainable Compliance in Banking Sector. arXiv.org. https://doi.org/10.48550 /arxiv.2410.01818
24. R. Bhattacharjee et al. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI) transforming the financial sector operations. ESG Studies Review. https://doi.org/10.37497/esg.v7iesg.1624
25. Terrence Hendershott et al. (2009). Algorithmic Trading and Information. https://doi.org/10.2139/ ssrn.1472050
26. Terrence Hendershott et al. (2013). Algorithmic Trading and the Market for Liquidity. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022109013000471
27. Vincent van Kervel et al. (2019). High-Frequency Trading around Large Institutional Orders. Journal of Finance. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2619686