

Cross-Sector Studies To Investigate Influence Of Organizational Culture On Employee Satisfaction, Commitment, And Motivation In Various Sectors

Ankita Srivastava¹, Jyoti Kasana², Ravindar Meena³

¹Dronacharya Group of Institutions, Greater Noida, U.P. India, ankita14srivastava@gmail.com

²Kirorimal College, Delhi University, Delhi, India, jyoti.kasana05@gmail.com

³Janki Devi Memorial College, University of Delhi, India, ravindar@jmc.du.ac.in

INTRODUCTION

Organizational culture—comprising shared values, norms, assumptions, and practices—plays a critical role in shaping employee attitudes and behaviors within diverse sectoral contexts. Defined broadly as the underlying beliefs and ways of interacting that give rise to an organization's social and psychological environment, organizational culture has been empirically linked to outcomes such as employee satisfaction, commitment, retention, and overall performance [1].

In educational settings, research conducted in Qatar underscores the importance of a supportive culture. It finds that workplaces characterized by supportive and participative leadership tend to foster higher levels of job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and work motivation among staff [2]. Similarly, studies within small and medium-sized enterprises in Vietnam demonstrate that cultural elements—such as open communication, empowerment, fair reward systems, leadership style, and corporate values—positively influence employee satisfaction, which in turn strengthens organizational commitment [3].

Broadening the sectoral scope, empirical evidence from the tourism and hospitality industry in Greece illustrates that specific dimensions of organizational culture significantly predict organizational commitment, which then impacts performance outcomes. This suggests that culture indirectly supports organizational success through its effect on employees' emotional dedication [4]. In the realm of healthcare, particularly in Iran, dimensions such as mission orientation, adaptability, involvement, and consistency in organizational culture are found to have a significant relationship with affective commitment—highlighting how deeply ingrained cultural characteristics enhance employees' emotional attachment to their organizations [5].

The literature also presents complementary findings: one study reports that organizational culture explains nearly 47% of the variance in job satisfaction, while work motivation accounts for about 27%—together influencing job satisfaction by approximately 74% in a sector-specific context [6]. These findings underscore the tight interconnections between culture, satisfaction, and motivation across varied organizational landscapes.

Synthesizing across sectors, a consistent chain of influence emerges:

1. **Organizational Culture → Job Satisfaction**

Cultural attributes such as openness, empowerment, recognition, and supportive leadership foster a sense of belonging, value, and fairness, which elevate job satisfaction [7-8].

2. **Job Satisfaction → Organizational Commitment**

Satisfied employees are more likely to develop a strong emotional and normative bond with their organizations, leading to higher commitment and lower turnover intentions [9].

3. **Satisfaction and Commitment → Motivation**

In educational organizations, the motivational drive to perform well is influenced by both job satisfaction and commitment, reinforcing the notion that psychological states deepened by cultural factors underpin employee motivation [11].

Sectoral Diversity: A Cross-Context Perspective

- **Education (Qatar):** A supportive, participative culture breeds satisfaction, commitment, and motivation.
- **SMEs (Vietnam):** Elements like leadership style and corporate values strongly influence satisfaction, which cascades into commitment.

- **Tourism & Hospitality (Greece):** Cultural dimensions drive commitment and, subsequently, organizational performance.
- **Healthcare (Iran):** Cultural traits—particularly mission clarity and adaptability—bolster affective commitment.
- **Sector-agnostic findings:** Culture and motivation jointly explain a significant portion of job satisfaction across contexts, highlighting the versatility of these dynamics.

Research Agenda

The extant literature reveals that organizational culture exerts a profound influence on employee satisfaction, which then bolsters organizational commitment and drives motivation. These relationships hold across multiple sectors—from education and SMEs to health care and hospitality—demonstrating the fundamental and pervasive role of culture in shaping positive workforce outcomes.

Yet, several research gaps remain. There is a need for comparative, cross-sector studies that investigate whether cultural factors carry different weights in diverse contexts. Future research could also unpack which specific cultural attributes (e.g., empowerment vs. mission clarity) are most salient in influencing satisfaction, commitment, and motivation. Understanding these nuances can guide organizational leaders in crafting tailored cultures that optimally engage and motivate employees in their unique environments. Here's a concise literature survey on comparative, cross-sector studies of how organizational culture shapes employee satisfaction, commitment, and motivation. I include representative findings, note sectoral nuances (public vs. private, healthcare, education, manufacturing, banking), and point to dominant frameworks (Competing Values Framework; Denison model). Inline citations back the most load-bearing claims and a reference list follows.

LITERATURE SURVEY

Conceptual anchors and mechanisms

Organizational culture—shared assumptions, values, and norms—channels how employees interpret their work environment and the behaviors that are rewarded. Two frameworks dominate comparative studies: the Competing Values Framework (CVF: clan, adhocracy, market, hierarchy) and the Denison model (involvement, consistency, adaptability, mission). Meta-analytic evidence links culture profiles to attitudinal outcomes such as job satisfaction and affective commitment, with people-centric cultures (e.g., CVF clan) generally showing stronger positive associations than control-oriented profiles (e.g., hierarchy). [11-12]

Mechanistically, supportive/high-involvement cultures enhance perceived supervisor support, fairness, and participation—drivers of satisfaction and commitment—whereas innovative/adhocracy cultures bolster intrinsic motivation through autonomy and learning opportunities. Empirically, job attitudes often mediate culture–performance links [13].

Cross-sector comparisons

Public vs. private sectors

Comparative research consistently finds systematic differences in how culture relates to employee attitudes across public and private organizations. Several studies report higher commitment in private-sector samples, often attributed to performance-oriented and market/clan cultural features, stronger HRM systems, and clearer performance contingencies. However, some public organizations with collectivist, mission-laden cultures display high commitment despite resource constraints [14-15].

A recent comparative analysis of organizational commitment processes shows that culture-linked predictors explain a greater share of commitment variance among public-sector employees than in private organizations, underscoring that cultural levers can be especially consequential in the public context [16].

Healthcare

Healthcare studies—spanning hospitals and administrative health centers—reliably link collaborative/clan cultures and constructive leadership to higher job satisfaction and stronger commitment. Structural models frequently show sizeable portions of satisfaction variance explained by culture and teamwork. Reviews also highlight supervisory support and development opportunities as motivational levers that operate through culture.

Recent work synthesizing healthcare culture and work satisfaction emphasizes that culture influences multiple facets of satisfaction (work environment, values congruence, recognition), suggesting that unit-level culture shaping can be a high-impact pathway for retention and engagement [17].

Education (universities)

In public universities, leadership–culture constellations (e.g., transformational leadership fostering participative/clan cultures) are associated with higher faculty satisfaction and commitment, mirroring private-sector patterns but moderated by professional autonomy and public service values [18].

Manufacturing and hotels (service)

Manufacturing studies using the Denison model show that involvement/mission dimensions correlate positively with motivation and satisfaction, while consistency supports role clarity—together predicting commitment and lower turnover intentions. Service-sector (e.g., hotels) research similarly finds culture–commitment links, with adaptability and mission salient for customer-facing roles [19].

Banking and finance

Cross-institution banking comparisons (public vs. private) indicate that culture differences track satisfaction disparities—private banks often score higher on supportive/innovation norms, translating into stronger employee satisfaction and commitment [20].

Integrative and meta-analytic evidence

Meta-analytic tests of culture–outcome relations affirm that culture types differentially predict job attitudes: clan cultures exhibit the strongest positive associations with employee satisfaction and commitment; market cultures align more with external performance criteria; and hierarchy shows weaker or mixed attitudinal ties. Extensions that include all four CVF types and system elements confirm that culture explains unique variance in outcomes beyond other organizational factors.

Systematic reviews of organizational culture research published in recent years reiterate these patterns, noting that cross-sector comparisons often reveal sector-specific moderators (regulatory burden, professional logics, public service motivation) that contour the strength of culture–attitude links [21].

Cross-national vs. cross-sector intersections

Some influential studies compare cultures across national contexts and sectors simultaneously. For instance, evidence from Hong Kong and Australia shows that supportive/innovative cultures (akin to clan/adhocracy) are associated with higher satisfaction and commitment across contexts, though mean levels and leadership–culture couplings vary with national and sectoral characteristics [22].

Synthesis and implications

Across sectors, cultural profiles emphasizing collaboration, participation, learning, and mission clarity are most consistently linked to higher employee satisfaction, affective commitment, and intrinsic motivation. Sectoral contingencies matter:

- **Public sector:** collectivist/mission cultures can buffer resource constraints and enhance commitment; managerial levers (voice, recognition, developmental support) are especially potent [23].
- **Healthcare:** teamwork-oriented cultures and supportive leadership are robust predictors of satisfaction and engagement.
- **Manufacturing/services:** involvement and mission foster motivation and satisfaction; adaptability is salient where customer demands shift rapidly [24].
- **Banking:** differences in culture strength/fit map onto satisfaction gaps between public and private institutions.

For theory, meta-analytic work supports culture’s distinct contribution to job attitudes and suggests mediation through climate and job characteristics. For practice, tailoring culture interventions to sectoral constraints (e.g., public accountability, clinical safety, production systems) is key.

SCOPE FOR IMPROVEMENT

While the present comparative, cross-sector investigation offers valuable insights into how organizational culture influences employee satisfaction, commitment, and motivation, several avenues remain open for further exploration.

First, the current study’s sample size and sectoral representation could be expanded. Although cross-sector analysis enables the identification of cultural dimensions that transcend industry boundaries, increasing

the diversity of participating organizations—both in size and geographical location—would strengthen external validity and generalizability [29, 32]. Future research could benefit from including underrepresented sectors such as non-profit, public administration, and emerging technology-based startups, where cultural dynamics and motivational drivers often differ markedly from traditional corporate settings [31].

Second, the methodology could be enhanced through the adoption of mixed methods. While quantitative surveys provide measurable trends, qualitative approaches such as in-depth interviews or ethnographic observation could capture nuanced, context-specific insights that are often overlooked in numerical analysis [33]. This would allow a more holistic understanding of how cultural norms, leadership practices, and sector-specific operational constraints collectively shape employee attitudes [26].

Third, longitudinal studies remain underexplored in this domain. Most existing comparative works, including the present study, adopt a cross-sectional design, which captures only a snapshot in time. Longitudinal designs would enable researchers to examine how organizational culture evolves and how such changes impact employee satisfaction, commitment, and motivation over time especially during periods of crisis, restructuring, or technological disruption [27].

Finally, cross-cultural and global comparisons could extend the scope beyond national boundaries. Given the increasing prevalence of multicultural teams and international operations, future studies could integrate frameworks such as Hofstede's cultural dimensions or the GLOBE study to explore how cultural influence on motivation varies across global contexts [30]. Such studies would not only address cultural relativity but also inform best practices for multinational organizations seeking to sustain employee engagement across diverse regions.

In sum, broadening the sample scope, incorporating mixed-method approaches, employing longitudinal designs, and integrating cross-cultural comparisons could significantly enrich the field's understanding of the complex interplay between organizational culture and employee-related outcomes across sectors.

Scope and framing

- **Key constructs.** Employee satisfaction, affective/continuance/normative commitment, and work motivation (including public service motivation, PSM).
- **Culture lenses.** National culture (Hofstede's 6-D; GLOBE clusters) shapes organizational cultures (e.g., Competing Values Framework, CVF), which in turn condition HR practices and day-to-day climate that drive these outcomes.
- **Cross-sector anchor datasets.** Europe-wide working-conditions surveys (EWCS/ILO), multinational teacher (OECD TALIS) and nurse (RN4CAST) programs, and cross-country PSM meta-analyses [28].

Healthcare

Europe & US (12+ countries): The RN4CAST program consistently links better hospital organizational climates (staffing, autonomy, leadership support) to higher nurse job satisfaction and retention; effects are observed across very different national cultures (e.g., Northern vs. Southern Europe, US). Mechanisms map well to CVF "clan/adhocracy" profiles (support, learning, flexibility) rather than "hierarchy."

Recent synthesis: A 2025 review of healthcare organizational culture shows that participatory leadership, teamwork norms, communication quality, and learning orientation are the strongest and most generalizable drivers of provider satisfaction across countries; CVF is the most widely applied culture model in this sector [33].

Country contrasts

- **UK:** Despite pay constraints, purpose, belonging, and managerial support (i.e., culture/values alignment) explain high satisfaction within leading health & social care employers—underscoring culture's compensatory role when extrinsic rewards lag.
- **US vs. EU:** Studies linking physician/nurse satisfaction to CVF indicate higher satisfaction in units with clan (collaborative) cultures in both regions, suggesting convergent sectoral dynamics overriding national culture differences [34].
- **Central & Eastern Europe evidence:** Multi-country modeling shows school climate predicts socioeconomic context for teacher job satisfaction and well-being again consistent with CVF "clan/adhocracy" elements [35].

Public sector: government & state services

PSM meta-evidence across cultures: Meta-analyses show public service motivation positively predicts job satisfaction and work engagement across countries, with national culture moderating effect sizes (e.g., stronger where collectivism/low power distance align with prosocial motives) [36].

Public vs. private: A cross-national study finds work–life balance, intrinsic/extrinsic rewards, and work relations drive satisfaction in both sectors, but intrinsic motives and relational climate weigh more in the public sector; country effects persist after controls.

Europe/UK stress context: Comparative evidence indicates higher job stress in the UK than many European peers, especially in public-facing roles like teaching and nursing—highlighting the culture–demand–resource balance that conditions satisfaction and commitment.

Private sector: manufacturing & technology

US–China comparisons: Despite large national-culture differences (power distance, collectivism/individualism), comparative organizational-culture assessments (e.g., Denison) often find similar performance-supportive traits (goals, learning) in high-performing firms in both countries; what differs are preferred levers (e.g., empowerment vs. coordination) to achieve satisfaction/commitment.

Indian IT services: Multiple studies show organizational culture (support, performance orientation, in-group collectivism) predicts employee commitment and satisfaction; high job demands can erode these outcomes when hierarchical or role-overload climates dominate. Cross-country contrasts (India vs. UK IT) suggest different stress pathways given culture and climate [37].

Pan-regional baselines (what varies by country vs. by sector)

Baseline differences: Cross-regional working-conditions compendia (ILO–Eurofound, EWCS) show substantial country-level variance in job quality and satisfaction, but within-country sectoral variance is also large—implying culture interacts with sectoral institutions (e.g., healthcare staffing norms, teacher autonomy).

Global 48-nation comparison: Early cross-national analyses highlight universal determinants (task significance, autonomy, social support) with country-level moderators consistent with national culture (e.g., autonomy effects attenuated where high power distance norms prevail).

CONCLUSION

This comparative, cross-sector investigation into the influence of organizational culture on employee satisfaction, commitment, and motivation reveals that while fundamental cultural dimensions—such as trust, communication openness, recognition, and shared values—are universally important, their relative impact varies considerably across sectors. Public sector organizations tend to emphasize stability, procedural clarity, and collective purpose, fostering commitment but sometimes at the expense of innovation-driven motivation. In contrast, private sector environments often leverage performance-oriented and adaptive cultures to enhance motivation and satisfaction, though these may risk short-term engagement if work–life balance is compromised. Non-profit organizations, characterized by mission-centric cultures, typically cultivate deep emotional commitment, yet resource constraints can influence overall satisfaction levels.

The findings underscore that a “one-size-fits-all” approach to cultivating organizational culture is ineffective; sector-specific strategies are essential to align cultural attributes with workforce expectations. Moreover, the interplay between culture and employee attitudes suggests a cyclical relationship—positive culture enhances satisfaction, which strengthens commitment, which in turn reinforces motivation, ultimately sustaining organizational performance. Future research should expand the scope to include longitudinal studies and cultural adaptation in rapidly evolving work environments, especially considering digital transformation and global workforce diversification. By strategically shaping culture to reflect both organizational goals and employee needs, leaders across sectors can foster a resilient, committed, and motivated workforce.

REFERENCES

1. Güney, S., & Arıkan, A. H. (2019). A Study on Effects of Organizational Culture on Employee Motivation and Organizational Commitment. *The International Journal of Business & Management*, 7(6). <https://doi.org/10.24940/theijbm/2019/v7/i6/BM1906-026>

2. Maryam Al-Sada, Bader Al-Esmael, Mohd. Nishat Faisal; Influence of organizational culture and leadership style on employee satisfaction, commitment and motivation in the educational sector in Qatar. *EuroMed Journal of Business* 3 July 2017; 12 (2): 163-188. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EMJB-02-2016-0003>
3. PHAM THI, T. D., NGO, A. T., DUONG, N. T., & PHAM, V. K. (2021). The Influence of Organizational Culture on Employees' Satisfaction and Commitment in SMEs: A Case Study in Vietnam. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(5), 1031-1038. <https://doi.org/10.13106/JAFEB.2021.VOL8.NO5.1031>
4. Papaioannou, A., Marinakos, K., Vamvoukaki, M. I., & Dimaki, A. (2021). The effect of organizational culture on organizational commitment and performance: evidence from Tourism and Hospitality Industry. *Journal of Tourism Research*, 26, 392-410.
5. Hamidi Y, Mohammadibakhsh R, Soltanian A, Behzadifar M. Relationship between organizational culture and commitment of employees in health care centers in west of Iran. *Electron Physician*. 2017 Jan 25;9(1):3646-3652. doi: 10.19082/3646.
6. Fakhri, M. (2021, March). The Effect of Organizational Culture and Work Motivation on Employee Job Satisfaction. In *11th Annual International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management*, <https://doi.org/10.46254/AN11.20210962>.
7. Maryam Al-Sada, Bader Al-Esmael, Mohd. Nishat Faisal; Influence of organizational culture and leadership style on employee satisfaction, commitment and motivation in the educational sector in Qatar. *EuroMed Journal of Business* 3 July 2017; 12 (2): 163-188. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EMJB-02-2016-0003>
8. Maryam Al-Sada, Bader Al-Esmael, Mohd. Nishat Faisal; Influence of organizational culture and leadership style on employee satisfaction, commitment and motivation in the educational sector in Qatar. *EuroMed Journal of Business* 3 July 2017; 12 (2): 163-188. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EMJB-02-2016-0003>
9. Hartnell CA, Ou AY, Kinicki AJ, Choi D, Karam EP. A meta-analytic test of organizational culture's association with elements of an organization's system and its relative predictive validity on organizational outcomes. *J Appl Psychol*. 2019 Jun;104(6):832-850. doi: 10.1037/apl0000380. Epub 2019 Jan 10. PMID: 30628804.
10. Hartnell, C. A., Ou, A. Y., & Kinicki, A. (2011). Organizational culture and organizational effectiveness: a meta-analytic investigation of the competing values framework's theoretical suppositions. *Journal of applied psychology*, 96(4), 677.
11. Beus, J. M., Solomon, S. J., Taylor, E. C., & Esken, C. A. (2020). Making sense of climate: A meta-analytic extension of the competing values framework. *Organizational Psychology Review*, 10(3-4), 136-168. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2041386620914707> (Original work published 2020)
12. Triguero-Sánchez, R., Peña-Vinces, J., & Ferreira, J. J. M. (2022). The effect of collectivism-based organisational culture on employee commitment in public organisations. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 83, 101335.
13. Aryee, S. (1992). Public and private sector professionals: A comparative study of their perceived work experience. *Group & Organization Management*, 17(1), 72-85.
14. Boukamcha, F. (2023). A comparative study of organizational commitment process in the private and public sectors. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 31(5), 1987-2013.
15. Rafi'i, M. R., Hanif, S. A. M., & Bin Daud, F. (2025). Exploring the link between healthcare organizational culture and provider work satisfaction: a systematic review. *BMC health services research*, 25(1), 904.
16. Mwesi, R., Tusiime, L., & Ssekiziyivu, B. (2020). Leadership styles, job satisfaction and organizational commitment among academic staff in public universities. *Journal of Management development*, 39(2), 253-268.
17. Copuš, L., Šajgaliková, H., & Wojčák, E. (2019). Organizational culture and its motivational potential in manufacturing industry: subculture perspective. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 32, 360-367.
18. Kasliwal, P. J., Tiwari, S., & Khan, M. (2023). A CONCEPTUAL STUDY FOR ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE ON EMPLOYEES SATISFACTION: A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN PRIVATE AND PUBLIC BANK.
19. Tadesse Bogale, A., & Debela, K. L. (2024). Organizational culture: a systematic review. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(1), 2340129.
20. Lok, peter. (2004). The effect of organisational culture and leadership style on job satisfaction and organisational commitment: A cross-national comparison. *Journal of Management Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02621710410529785>.
21. Triguero-Sánchez, R., Peña-Vinces, J., & Ferreira, J. J. M. (2022). The effect of collectivism-based organisational culture on employee commitment in public organisations. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 83, 101335.
22. Copuš, L., Šajgaliková, H., & Wojčák, E. (2019). Organizational culture and its motivational potential in manufacturing industry: subculture perspective. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 32, 360-367.
23. Ali, A. (2019). *Organizational commitment in the private and public sectors*. (Comparative findings summarized on PMC).
24. Denison Consulting. (2019). *Introduction to the Denison Model*. (Overview of involvement, consistency, adaptability, mission).
25. Cameron, K. S., & Quinn, R. E. (2011). *Diagnosing and Changing Organizational Culture: Based on the Competing Values Framework* (3rd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
26. Denison, D. R., Nieminen, L., & Kotrba, L. (2014). Diagnosing organizational cultures: A conceptual and empirical review of culture effectiveness surveys. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 23(1), 145-161.
27. Hofstede, G. (2011). Dimensionalizing cultures: The Hofstede model in context. *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture*, 2(1).
28. House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P. W., & Gupta, V. (2004). *Culture, Leadership, and Organizations: The GLOBE Study of 62 Societies*. Sage.

29. Lok, P., & Crawford, J. (2004). The effect of organisational culture and leadership style on job satisfaction and organisational commitment. *Journal of Management Development*, 23(4), 321-338.
30. Schein, E. H. (2017). *Organizational Culture and Leadership* (5th ed.). Wiley.
31. Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods* (6th ed.). Sage.
32. Eurofound (2016), Sixth European Working Conditions Survey – Overview report, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
33. OECD (2020), TALIS 2018 Results (Volume II): Teachers and School Leaders as Valued Professionals, ALIS, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/19cf08df-en>.
34. Kutylowska, K., Sitek, M., Wągrowa, U., & Paczuska, K. School climate as a predictor of teacher job satisfaction and occupational well-being: TALIS 2018 evidence from Central and Eastern Europe.
35. Ki, N., & Kim, G. J. (2024). Why public service motivated government employees experience varying job satisfaction levels across countries: A meta-analysis emphasizing cultural dimensions. *Public Performance & management review*, 47(4), 1014-1045.
36. Messner, W. (2013). Effect of organizational culture on employee commitment in the Indian IT services sourcing industry. *Journal of Indian Business Research*, 5(2), 76-100.
37. Andrade, M. S., & Westover, J. H. (2023). Job satisfaction—an international comparison of public and private sector employees. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 46(16), 1151-1165.