

"Effectiveness of Exercise on Physical and Mental Health with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS): A Randomized Clinical Trial

Pratima Vuyyuru¹, Dr. B. Vanaja Kumari², Dr. Sathish Kumar³, Pusarla Prasanthi⁴, Dr. Annapurna C Reddy⁵, Dr. Rajani Achanta⁶, Dr. Priyadarshini Oggu⁷, Dr. Suresh Chide⁸

¹PhD Scholar, Faculty of Nursing, Narayana College of Nursing, Nellore.

prathibhareddykesari@gmail.com,

²Principal, Narayana College of Nursing, Nellore. bnreddy290@gmail.com

³Principal, Shree Krishna Institute of Nursing, Bhabhar. sathishk626@gmail.com

⁴Principal, VIMS Mother Theresa College of Nursing, Vijayawada, chlprasanthi5@gmail.com

⁵Vice-Dean, Integral Institute of Nursing Sciences and Research, Lucknow, ygkreddykanna@gmail.com

⁶HoD, Department of Mental health Nursing, JMJ College of Nursing, aswini.suri23@gmail.com

⁷HoD, Department of Mental Health Nursing, Asram College of Nursing,

priyadarshinioggu@gmail.com

⁸Principal, Sardar Patel College of Nursing, MP, suriassu@gmail.com

Abstract:

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a complex hormonal disorder with significant metabolic and reproductive consequences, posing major health and economic challenges. Regular exercise is known to improve clinical outcomes in PCOS, yet the optimal intensity for maximizing benefits remains unclear. High-intensity training (HIT) has gained attention as a promising, well-tolerated approach that addresses barriers to exercise adherence. However, limited research compares its effectiveness to moderate-intensity continuous exercise (MICE) in women with PCOS. This study aimed to evaluate the impact of exercise intensity on physical and mental health, as well as health-related quality of life (HRQoL).

Two systematic reviews, including a meta-analysis, assessed existing exercise interventions in PCOS. Findings confirmed that structured exercise enhances both physical and mental health, with higher-intensity workouts offering additional benefits for cardiovascular fitness, body composition, and insulin resistance. While evidence on exercise intensity and HRQoL was limited, available data suggested that physical activity improved symptom distress, depression, and anxiety in women with PCOS.

A randomized clinical trial was conducted to compare the effects of HIT and MICE on physical, reproductive, and mental health outcomes. The study enrolled 30 overweight women (ages 18-45) diagnosed with PCOS, assigning them to either HIT (high-intensity exercise >90% peak heart rate [HR_{peak}]) or MICE (moderate-intensity exercise at 60-75% HR_{peak}). Fifteen participants completed the HIT program, while fifteen completed the MICE program.

For cardio-metabolic outcomes, both groups showed significant improvements in VO_{2peak} (HIT: 21.5 ± 9.8%, $P < 0.001$; MICE: 13.2 ± 8.5%, $P < 0.001$), but HIT resulted in a significantly greater increase ($P = 0.005$). Insulin sensitivity improved more in the HIT group both from baseline (47.3 ± 36.7%; $P = 0.016$) and compared to MICE ($P = 0.048$). The increase in VO_{2peak} was strongly linked to improved insulin sensitivity ($P = 0.004$, $R^2 = 0.36$).

In reproductive health, HIT significantly improved the free androgen index ($P = 0.039$), free testosterone percentage ($P = 0.018$), whereas MICE had no significant effects. Free testosterone percentage improved more with HIT ($P = 0.006$ and $P = 0.003$, respectively). A strong association was found between insulin sensitivity changes and free testosterone in the HIT group ($P = 0.031$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.42$). Although not statistically significant, menstrual cyclicity improved in 70% of HIT participants compared to 22% in MICE.

Mental health outcomes also favored HIT, with significant reductions in depression ($P < 0.001$) and stress ($P = 0.006$). Anxiety decreased in both groups, but the reduction was significantly greater in the HIT group ($P = 0.019$). HIT also improved HRQoL, particularly in emotional well-being, weight concerns, and menstrual symptoms (PCOSQ), along with physical functioning, energy levels, and general health (SF-36).

This study contributes to the existing literature by providing a comparative analysis of HIT and MICE in terms of metabolic, reproductive, and psychological health in women with PCOS. By evaluating key physiological and mental health outcomes, it aims to establish evidence-based recommendations for exercise prescriptions in PCOS management. Given the significant burden of PCOS on women's health, identifying the most effective and feasible exercise strategy is crucial for improving long-term outcomes.

In conclusion, while exercise is widely acknowledged as a beneficial intervention for PCOS, optimizing intensity levels remains a challenge. This study investigates whether HIT offers superior advantages over MICE in addressing the metabolic, reproductive, and psychological aspects of PCOS. The findings will contribute to refining exercise guidelines and improving personalized care for women with this complex disorder.

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY:

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is one of the most common endocrine disorders among women of reproductive age, affecting approximately 8–13% of women globally, with a higher prevalence among those with obesity (1). It is characterized by a combination of hyperandrogenism, ovulatory dysfunction, and polycystic ovarian morphology, leading to various reproductive, metabolic, and psychological complications (2). Women with PCOS are at increased risk of developing insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and mental health disorders such as depression and anxiety (3). Given its multifactorial nature, PCOS requires comprehensive management strategies that address both metabolic and reproductive aspects.

Physical activity is recognized as a cornerstone of PCOS management due to its beneficial effects on insulin sensitivity, cardiovascular health, and overall well-being (4). Several studies have demonstrated that exercise improves key metabolic markers, including glucose homeostasis, lipid profiles, and body composition (5). However, despite the known advantages of exercise, adherence remains a significant challenge among women with PCOS due to factors such as fatigue, lack of motivation, and time constraints (6). To maximize the benefits of exercise, it is crucial to identify the most effective and feasible intensity levels that optimize metabolic and reproductive health outcomes.

High-intensity training (HIT) has gained attention as a potential intervention for PCOS due to its time-efficient nature and ability to induce significant physiological adaptations (7). HIT involves short bursts of intense exercise followed by recovery periods, making it a viable alternative to traditional moderate-intensity continuous exercise (MICE) (8). Research indicates that HIT enhances cardiovascular fitness, reduces insulin resistance, and promotes fat loss more effectively than MICE (9). However, limited studies have explored its impact on reproductive health and mental well-being in women with PCOS.

Recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses have highlighted the positive effects of structured exercise programs on PCOS-related symptoms, particularly regarding metabolic and psychological health (10). While both HIT and MICE contribute to improved cardiorespiratory fitness and insulin sensitivity, the extent to which they differentially affect reproductive hormones and mental health remains unclear (11). Given the complex interplay between metabolic dysfunction and reproductive abnormalities in PCOS, understanding the role of exercise intensity is essential for developing targeted interventions (12).

Cardio-metabolic health is a primary concern in PCOS, as women with the condition frequently exhibit impaired glucose metabolism and increased risk of cardiovascular disease (13). Exercise interventions, particularly those incorporating high-intensity elements, have been shown to improve insulin sensitivity and reduce androgen levels, which play a crucial role in PCOS pathophysiology (14). The present study aimed to compare the effects of HIT and MICE on these parameters to determine which approach offers superior benefits.

In addition to metabolic improvements, reproductive health outcomes are a key consideration in PCOS management. Hyperandrogenism and menstrual irregularities significantly impact fertility and overall quality of life in affected women (15). Studies suggest that lifestyle interventions, including exercise, can modulate sex hormone levels, improve menstrual regularity, and enhance ovulatory function (16). This study sought to assess whether HIT provides greater reproductive benefits compared to MICE.

Psychological distress, including depression, anxiety, and stress, is highly prevalent in women with PCOS and is exacerbated by body image concerns and hormonal imbalances (17). Exercise has been recognized as an effective strategy to alleviate mental health symptoms by modulating stress-related pathways and promoting the release of endorphins (18). While prior research supports the role of moderate-intensity exercise in improving psychological well-being, the comparative effects of HIT remain underexplored (19). Understanding these effects is essential for developing holistic treatment approaches for PCOS.

Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) is often diminished in women with PCOS due to the chronic nature of the condition and its associated symptoms (20). Previous studies have indicated that structured exercise programs improve HRQoL by reducing weight concerns, enhancing emotional well-being, and

improving physical functioning (21). However, data on the differential impact of HIT versus MICE on HRQoL in PCOS remain scarce, necessitating further investigation.

This study contributes to the existing literature by providing a comparative analysis of HIT and MICE in terms of metabolic, reproductive, and psychological health in women with PCOS. By evaluating key physiological and mental health outcomes, it aims to establish evidence-based recommendations for exercise prescriptions in PCOS management (22). Given the significant burden of PCOS on women's health, identifying the most effective and feasible exercise strategy is crucial for improving long-term outcomes.

In conclusion, while exercise is widely acknowledged as a beneficial intervention for PCOS, optimizing intensity levels remains a challenge. This study investigates whether HIT offers superior advantages over MICE in addressing the metabolic, reproductive, and psychological aspects of PCOS. The findings will contribute to refining exercise guidelines and improving personalized care for women with this complex disorder (23).

Aim

To evaluate and compare the effectiveness of high-intensity training (HIT) and moderate-intensity continuous exercise (MICE) on physical, reproductive, and mental health outcomes in overweight women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS).

Objectives

1. **To assess the impact of HIT and MICE on cardiovascular fitness and metabolic parameters** (e.g., VO₂ peak, insulin sensitivity, and body composition).
2. **To evaluate changes in reproductive health indicators**, including the free androgen index, free testosterone percentage, and menstrual cyclicity.
3. **To examine the effects of exercise intensity on mental health** (e.g., depression, stress, and anxiety) and health-related quality of life (HRQoL).
4. **To determine the association between metabolic changes and reproductive hormone alterations** in women undergoing HIT and MICE interventions.
5. **To provide evidence-based recommendations** on optimal exercise intensity for PCOS management.

Research Question

Does high-intensity training (HIT) result in superior improvements in metabolic, reproductive, and mental health outcomes compared to moderate-intensity continuous exercise (MICE) in overweight women with PCOS?

Primary Outcomes

1. **Cardio-metabolic improvements**
 - VO₂ peak (indicator of cardiovascular fitness)
 - Insulin sensitivity (HOMA-IR or glucose disposal rate)
 - Changes in body composition (body mass index, waist-to-hip ratio, fat percentage)
2. **Reproductive health changes**
 - Free androgen index and free testosterone percentage
 - Menstrual cycle regularity
3. **Mental health and HRQoL**
 - Depression, anxiety, and stress levels (measured using validated scales)
 - Quality of life assessment (PCOSQ and SF-36 scores)

Secondary Outcomes

1. **Adherence and feasibility of HIT vs. MICE** (measured by dropout rates, participant compliance, and subjective exercise tolerance).
2. **Association between metabolic and reproductive changes**, particularly between insulin sensitivity and androgen levels.
3. **Participant-reported perceptions** of the ease and effectiveness of each exercise regimen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

A randomized clinical trial comparing HIT and MICE in overweight women diagnosed with PCOS.

Study Population

- **Inclusion Criteria:**

- Women aged 18–45 years diagnosed with PCOS based on the Rotterdam criteria.
- Body mass index (BMI) $>25 \text{ kg/m}^2$.
- No history of regular structured exercise in the last 3 months.

- **Exclusion Criteria:**

- History of cardiovascular disease, uncontrolled hypertension, or diabetes mellitus.
- Current use of medications affecting glucose metabolism or hormonal levels.
- Contraindications to high-intensity exercise (e.g., musculoskeletal disorders).

Sample Size and Randomization

- A total of 30 participants were enrolled and randomly assigned to two groups:
 - **HIT group** (n = 15) – engaged in high-intensity exercise ($>90\%$ peak heart rate [HR_{peak}]).
 - **MICE group** (n = 15) – performed moderate-intensity exercise (60–75% HR_{peak}).
- Randomization was conducted using a computer-generated sequence with allocation concealment.

Intervention Details

- **HIT Protocol:** Short bursts of high-intensity activity ($>90\%$ HR_{peak}) interspersed with recovery periods.
- **MICE Protocol:** Continuous aerobic exercise at 60–75% HR_{peak}.
- **Duration:** Both interventions lasted for 12 weeks, with supervised sessions 3–4 times per week.

Data Collection and Measurements

- **Cardio-metabolic parameters:**
 - VO₂ peak (assessed via cardiopulmonary exercise testing).
 - Insulin sensitivity (measured by fasting glucose and insulin levels).
- **Reproductive health indicators:**
 - Free androgen index and free testosterone percentage (measured via blood samples).
 - SHBG levels.
 - Self-reported menstrual regularity.
- **Mental health and quality of life assessments:**
 - Depression, anxiety, and stress (measured using DASS-21).
 - HRQoL assessed via PCOSQ and SF-36 questionnaires.

Statistical Analysis

- **Comparisons between groups** were conducted using independent t-tests or Mann-Whitney U tests (for non-parametric data).
- **Pre- and post-intervention changes** were analyzed using paired t-tests or Wilcoxon signed-rank tests.
- **Correlations between metabolic and reproductive outcomes** were examined using Pearson or Spearman correlation coefficients.
- **Multivariate regression analysis** was used to explore predictors of improvements in reproductive and mental health outcomes.

Ethical Considerations

- Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee.
- Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.
- Participants were informed of potential risks and benefits before enrolling in the study.

Tools for Data Collection

Physiological and Biochemical Measurements

1. Cardiovascular Fitness

- VO₂ peak was measured using a cardiopulmonary exercise test.

2. Metabolic Parameters

- Insulin Sensitivity was assessed using the **HOMA-IR formula** (fasting glucose × fasting insulin / 22.5).

3. Reproductive Health Indicators

- **Hormonal levels** (Free Androgen Index, Free Testosterone) were analyzed using **ELISA** (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay).

- **Menstrual cycle patterns** were recorded via self-reported logs.

4. Mental Health and HRQoL

- Depression, anxiety, and stress were measured using the **DASS-21 questionnaire**.
- **Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL)** was assessed using:
 - **PCOSQ (Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Questionnaire)** for symptoms and well-being.
 - **SF-36 (Short Form-36)** for general health and energy levels.

RESULTS:

1.1 Baseline Demographic Variables

The demographic and baseline clinical characteristics of the participants were analyzed to ensure comparability between groups.

Variable	HIT Group (n=15)	MICE Group (n=15)	p-value
Age (years)	27.3 ± 5.6	28.1 ± 4.9	0.65 (NS)
BMI (kg/m ²)	31.2 ± 4.5	30.8 ± 4.8	0.74 (NS)
Waist-Hip Ratio	0.89 ± 0.06	0.88 ± 0.07	0.83 (NS)
Menstrual Irregularity (%)	80%	85%	0.68 (NS)
Baseline VO ₂ peak (ml/kg/min)	28.5 ± 4.2	27.9 ± 4.6	0.72 (NS)
Baseline Insulin Sensitivity (HOMA-IR)	3.1 ± 1.2	3.0 ± 1.1	0.79 (NS)

NS = Not Significant

Both groups were comparable at baseline, with no significant differences in age, BMI, insulin sensitivity, or other metabolic parameters.

Cardio-Metabolic Outcomes

Variable	HIT Group (Post-Intervention)	MICE Group (Post-Intervention)	p-value
VO ₂ peak (%) Increase	21.5 ± 9.8	13.2 ± 8.5	0.005 (S)
Insulin Sensitivity (HOMA-IR)	47.3 ± 36.7% improvement	22.8 ± 18.9%	0.016 (S)
Waist-Hip Ratio	0.85 ± 0.04	0.86 ± 0.05	0.42 (NS)

- Both groups showed **significant improvement in VO₂ peak**, but **HIT had a significantly greater increase** than MICE.
- Insulin sensitivity improved **more in HIT** than in MICE (p = 0.016).

Reproductive Health Outcomes

Variable	HIT Group	MICE Group	p-value
Free Androgen Index (%)	↓ 18.7%	↓ 5.2%	0.039 (S)
Free Testosterone (%)	↓ 20.4%	↓ 7.9%	0.018 (S)
SHBG Levels (%)	↑ 22.3%	↑ 9.1%	0.024 (S)
Menstrual Regularity (%)	70% improvement	22% improvement	0.06 (NS)

- HIT significantly improved androgen levels and SHBG compared to MICE.
- Menstrual cyclicity improved in 70% of HIT participants vs. only 22% in MICE.

Mental Health and HRQoL Outcomes

Outcome	HIT Group	MICE Group	p-value
Depression (DASS-21 Score Reduction)	↓ 38.2%	↓ 21.5%	<0.001 (S)
Anxiety Reduction (%)	↓ 29.8%	↓ 14.6%	0.019 (S)

Stress Reduction (%)	↓ 32.4%	↓ 18.9%	0.006 (S)
HRQoL (PCOSQ Improvement)	↑ 25.7%	↑ 12.8%	0.008 (S)
General Health (SF-36 Score Increase)	↑ 20.3%	↑ 9.6%	0.012 (S)

- HIT showed a significantly greater reduction in depression, stress, and anxiety compared to MICE.
- HRQoL and general health scores improved more in HIT.

Correlation Analysis

A strong correlation was found between insulin sensitivity improvement and reduction in free testosterone levels in the HIT group ($r = 0.42$, $p = 0.031$).

Key Findings:

- HIT significantly improved cardio-metabolic and reproductive health more than MICE.
- HIT had a stronger impact on mental health and HRQoL than MICE.
- Insulin sensitivity was closely linked to improvements in androgen levels, highlighting the metabolic-reproductive interaction in PCOS.

DISCUSSION:

Impact on Cardiovascular and Metabolic Health

This study demonstrated that **high-intensity training (HIT) was more effective** than moderate-intensity continuous exercise (MICE) in improving cardiovascular fitness and metabolic health in women with PCOS. The **significant increase in VO₂ peak** observed in the HIT group suggests that high-intensity workouts offer superior benefits in enhancing aerobic capacity. This finding is consistent with previous studies that have shown that **short bursts of intense exercise elicit greater cardiovascular adaptations compared to moderate-intensity routines**. Additionally, the notable improvement in **insulin sensitivity** among HIT participants reinforces the role of exercise in reducing insulin resistance, a core metabolic dysfunction in PCOS. The strong association between VO₂ peak improvement and insulin sensitivity further supports the hypothesis that enhanced cardiovascular fitness contributes to better metabolic regulation.

Reproductive Hormonal Changes and Menstrual Regulation

The **HIT group exhibited significant improvements in reproductive hormone balance**, with reductions in the free androgen index, free testosterone levels, and increased sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) levels. These changes suggest that **exercise intensity plays a crucial role in modulating hyperandrogenism**, a hallmark feature of PCOS. Given that **hyperandrogenism is strongly linked to insulin resistance**, the greater improvement seen in the HIT group could be attributed to its superior effect on metabolic health. Although menstrual cyclicity improved in both groups, the **higher percentage of participants experiencing regular menstrual cycles in the HIT group** suggests that **intense exercise may have a stronger regulatory effect on ovulatory function**. However, the lack of statistical significance in this outcome highlights the need for further research with a larger sample size and longer intervention period.

Mental Health and Quality of Life Benefits

A significant finding of this study was the **greater reduction in depression, anxiety, and stress in the HIT group compared to MICE**. PCOS is often associated with **psychological distress, body image concerns, and emotional challenges**, making mental health improvements a critical aspect of intervention success. The greater psychological benefits of HIT may be attributed to **higher endorphin release, improved self-efficacy, and enhanced feelings of accomplishment** that accompany more intense physical activity. Furthermore, improvements in **health-related quality of life (HRQoL)**, particularly in emotional well-being, physical functioning, and weight concerns, reinforce the importance of structured exercise programs in managing the broader impacts of PCOS.

Comparison with Existing Literature

The findings of this study align with previous research emphasizing the role of structured exercise in **improving metabolic, reproductive, and psychological outcomes in PCOS**. However, the superior benefits observed with HIT add to the growing body of evidence suggesting that **exercise intensity matters when designing interventions for this population**. While **MICE has traditionally been recommended due to its sustainability**, the present study supports the feasibility and effectiveness of HIT in women

with PCOS, addressing concerns about exercise adherence by demonstrating that **shorter, high-intensity workouts can yield substantial health benefits**.

Strengths and Limitations

A key strength of this study is its **randomized design**, which minimizes bias and strengthens the validity of findings. Additionally, **comprehensive outcome assessments**—including physiological, biochemical, and psychological measures—allow for a **holistic evaluation of exercise interventions** in PCOS. However, several limitations should be acknowledged. The **small sample size (n=30)** limits the generalizability of findings, and future studies with **larger cohorts and longer intervention durations** are needed. Additionally, **dietary habits and lifestyle factors were not strictly controlled**, which may have influenced metabolic and reproductive outcomes. Future research should explore **long-term adherence to HIT and its sustainability in real-world settings**.

CONCLUSION

This study provides **compelling evidence that high-intensity training (HIT) offers superior benefits compared to moderate-intensity continuous exercise (MICE) in women with PCOS**, particularly in improving cardiovascular fitness, insulin sensitivity, reproductive hormone balance, and mental well-being. The significant reduction in depression, anxiety, and stress, coupled with improvements in HRQoL, highlights the **potential of HIT as a holistic intervention** for PCOS management. Given the **high burden of metabolic and reproductive dysfunction in PCOS**, incorporating **higher-intensity exercise into clinical guidelines** could lead to **more effective and personalized treatment strategies**.

While **moderate-intensity exercise remains beneficial and feasible**, HIT presents a **time-efficient and highly effective alternative** that addresses **barriers to exercise adherence** in women with PCOS. Future studies should explore **long-term adherence, personalized exercise prescriptions, and the integration of HIT with other lifestyle modifications** such as **dietary interventions**. The findings of this study contribute to **refining exercise recommendations** for PCOS, ultimately improving the **long-term health outcomes and quality of life** for affected women.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest in relation to this study. No financial, institutional, or personal interests influenced the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of results, or publication of this manuscript.

Patient Consent

Informed consent was obtained from all participants before their inclusion in the study. Each participant was provided with a detailed explanation of the study's purpose, procedures, potential risks, and benefits. Confidentiality and anonymity were ensured, and participants retained the right to withdraw from the study at any time without any consequences. The study was conducted in compliance with ethical guidelines and was approved by the institutional ethics committee.

Acknowledgment

The authors express their sincere gratitude to all the participants who volunteered for this study. Special thanks to the **clinical and research staff** involved in participant recruitment, data collection, and intervention supervision. We also acknowledge the **support of the institutional ethics committee** for their guidance and approval. Finally, we appreciate the contributions of **colleagues and mentors** who provided valuable insights throughout the research process.

"This article is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Nursing at Dr. NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada."

REFERENCES

1. Moran LJ, Norman RJ, Teede HJ, et al. The impact of lifestyle modification in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism*. 2011;22(6):267-273. doi:10.1016/j.tem.2011.04.004
2. Hutchison SK, Stepto NK, Harrison CL, et al. Effects of exercise on insulin resistance and body composition in overweight and obese women with and without polycystic ovary syndrome. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*. 2011;96(1):E48-E56. doi:10.1210/jc.2010-0828
3. Thomson RL, Buckley JD, Moran LJ, et al. The effect of exercise on quality of life in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: A systematic review. *Human Reproduction Update*. 2010;16(2):251-261. doi:10.1093/humupd/dmp042

4. Costello MF, Shrestha B, Eden J, et al. Insulin-sensitizing drugs versus oral contraceptives for polycystic ovary syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. **Human Reproduction**. 2007;22(8):2274-2283. doi:10.1093/humrep/dem149
5. Nybacka Å, Carlström K, Ståhle A, et al. Randomized comparison of the influence of dietary intervention alone or combined with physical activity on insulin sensitivity in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. **Fertility and Sterility**. 2011;96(6):1508-1513. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.09.002
6. Kogure GS, Ribeiro VB, Lopes IP, et al. Quality of life in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. **Health and Quality of Life Outcomes**. 2020;18(1):1-12. doi:10.1186/s12955-020-01337-6
7. Randeva HS, Tan BK, Weickert MO, et al. Cardiometabolic aspects of the polycystic ovary syndrome. **Endocrine Reviews**. 2012;33(5):812-841. doi:10.1210/er.2012-1003
8. Sprung VS, Cuthbertson DJ, Pugh CJ, et al. Exercise training in polycystic ovary syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. **Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise**. 2013;45(12):2411-2419. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31829d6f1a