

Exploring Commercially Available Formulations For Efficient Wound Healing: A Review

Reetoo Yadav¹, Nidhi Tiwari^{2*}, Lalit Mohan Nainwal³, Noor Hasan⁴, Nitin Kumar⁵, Vivek Kumar⁶

¹KIET School of Pharmacy, KIET Group of Institutions, Delhi-NCR, Ghaziabad-201206, Uttar Pradesh, India.

^{2*}Assistant Professor, KIET School of Pharmacy, KIET Group of Institutions, Delhi-NCR, Ghaziabad-201206, Uttar Pradesh, India.

³KIET School of Pharmacy, KIET Group of Institutions, Delhi-NCR, Ghaziabad-201206, Uttar Pradesh, India.

⁴HIMT College of Pharmacy Greater Noida Knowledge Park-1 Uttar Pradesh, India, 201310.

⁵Noida International University (School of pharmacy) Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India.

⁶Delhi Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research University, New Delhi, India.

Corresponding Author: Nidhi Tiwari

E-mail: tiwarinidhi0893@gmail.com; nidhi.ksop@kiet.edu

Abstract

Wound management is a crucial aspect of healthcare, with many formulations available to promote effective healing. These include hydrogels, foams, films, hydrocolloids, antimicrobial dressings, and bioengineered skin substitutes designed to enhance wound healing, prevent infections, and improve patient outcomes. Several marketed wound care products, such as dressings, films, Nu-Gel, and Purilon Gel, have been developed to support dermal regeneration and wound management. This comprehensive review explores the currently available marketed formulations for wound healing, analyzing their composition, benefits, limitations, and clinical effectiveness. It covers various wound care products, including dressings, films, Nu-Gel, Purilon Gel, and Curagel, and additional resources like SAF-Gel, foams, and hydrogels, which play a vital role in minimizing wound complications. Furthermore, the review examines a decade of advancements in wound management, highlighting innovations, treatment outcomes, and future directions. An ideal wound dressing should promote healing while preventing contamination, and numerous commercial formulations aim to fulfill these criteria. Commonly used primary and secondary dressings include Vaseline gauze, Xeroform, Urgotul, Silver sulfadiazine, Tegaderm, Burn Shield, Multisorb, Polyskin, Bio-occlusive, and Purilon Gel. This review categorizes marketed wound care formulations and provides insights into their clinical applications and future research perspective, ultimately serving as a valuable resource for researchers and healthcare professionals seeking evidence-based solutions for wound management.

KeyWords: Wound healing, Regeneration, Marketed formulations, Dressings.

INTRODUCTION

The skin is the body's largest and outermost organ, serving as a protective barrier against microbial invasion, dehydration, and osmoregulation ¹. However, it can sustain damage due to various factors such as burns, trauma, infections, and diseases like diabetes, leading to the formation of acute or chronic wounds ². Every year, millions of individuals suffer from wounds, placing a significant burden on public healthcare systems. While minor wounds can naturally heal on their own ³. Wound dressings are crucial in covering wound sites, preventing secondary injuries from external stimuli, and activating the body's endogenous healing mechanisms ⁴. Traditional wound dressings, such as gauze, Tegaderm, and silver sulfadiazine (SSD), have been widely used to protect wounds and promote healing ^{5,6}. However, these conventional formulations often have limitations in maintaining an optimal healing environment. In contrast, modern wound dressings incorporate advanced polymer-based systems with multifunctional properties that enhance wound healing efficiency. A wound is defined as an injury that disrupts the continuity of the skin, tissues, and mucous membranes. Compared to acute wounds, chronic wounds are more challenging to manage due to delayed healing, persistent inflammation, and abnormal tissue repair, often necessitating surgical intervention or tissue removal ⁷. For many years, traditional wound dressings such as Comfeel, Tegaderm, and gauze have been commonly used to keep wounds clean and prevent bacterial infections. However, these dressings tend to adhere to the wound surface and fail to maintain the necessary moist environment for healing⁸. Modern wound dressings have been developed to address these challenges with improved biocompatibility, degradability, pain relief, and moisture retention. Unlike conventional dressings that simply cover wounds, modern formulations actively facilitate the healing process by supporting cell regeneration and tissue repair ⁹. Several marketed wound care products are currently used in clinical practice, including Vaseline gauze, hydrogels, foams,

Comfeel, Burn Shield, Polyskin, Urgotul, Multisorb, Xeroform, and Bio-occlusive dressings¹⁰. Due to their enhanced ability to promote healing, modern dressings have become the preferred choice for wound care and treatment. The rapid advancements in technology have also led to the development of novel biomaterials for wound management¹¹. However, certain limitations still exist, including complex manufacturing processes, quality assurance challenges for biological materials, and the need for further validation of their clinical efficacy¹². Therefore, extensive research and trials are necessary to assess the effectiveness of these advanced wound dressings in real-world applications¹³. Wound dressings are widely utilized to accelerate and facilitate skin tissue repair¹⁴. Marketed formulations are available in various forms and compositions, including Burn Shield, foams, hydrogels, and Comfeel, and their selection depends on the nature and severity of the wound^{15,16}. Over time, research on wound healing has evolved significantly. Studies have demonstrated that dry wound healing poses several disadvantages, including the formation and accumulation of exudate, frequent dressing changes, and an increased risk of re-injury, all of which prolong the healing process^{17,18}. Wound dressings play a fundamental role in wound care by creating a moist healing environment, which supports the growth of healthy cells and facilitates tissue regeneration¹⁹.

1.1. Types of Dressings

Wound dressings play an important role in the healing process by protecting wounds from infection, absorbing exudate (fluid), and promoting a moist environment that supports tissue regeneration. However, ideal dressings for burn wounds are still under development, and existing options often fail to provide complete healing without requiring surgical intervention or intensive daily wound care²⁰. The goal of effective wound treatment lies in promptly cleaning the wound after exposure to a harmful agent. This can be achieved through either a surgical approach or a conservative method, depending on the severity of the injury. Currently, various types of wound dressings are available on the market, offering a range of solutions tailored to different wound conditions²¹.

a. Passive dressing: Passive dressings are a type of wound dressing used to protect and promote the healing of wounds. They are called "passive" because they do not actively contribute to the healing process beyond providing a protective barrier and creating an environment that supports healing. Passive dressings do not contain active ingredients or substances like antibiotics, growth factors, or other components that directly stimulate wound healing²².

b. Interactive dressings: Interactive dressings are advanced types of wound dressings that provide protection and actively engage with the wound environment to promote healing. These dressings are designed to respond to changes in the wound's conditions and adjust accordingly to enhance the healing process. Interactive dressings often have unique properties, such as controlling moisture balance, providing antimicrobial protection, or stimulating tissue regeneration²³.

c. Advanced interactive dressings: Advanced interactive dressings are an innovative category of wound care products designed to engage with the wound environment to enhance healing. These dressings go beyond basic moisture and infection control by incorporating cutting-edge technologies and materials that respond to changes in wound conditions. The goal of advanced interactive dressings is to accelerate the healing process, reduce complications, and improve patient outcomes by providing a more dynamic, personalized approach to wound care²⁴.

The various commercially available wound dressings are shown in **Figure 1**.

2. MARKETED FORMULATIONS FOR WOUND HEALING

2.1. Vaseline Gauze (VG)

Vaseline gauze is a fine mesh, absorbent gauze impregnated with Vaseline, designed to create a moist environment that promotes wound healing²⁵. It serves as a primary dressing alongside a secondary dressing such as gauze, Comfeel, or Duoderm, which helps absorb exudate. The Vaseline layer prevents the secondary dressing from adhering to the wound site, reducing trauma during dressing changes²⁶. Vaseline gauze dressings are impregnated in many clinical settings with topical antimicrobial agents. They are commonly used for treating various conditions, including skin wounds, nasal bleeding, and acute hemorrhagic rectal ulcer (AHRU) in the distal rectum^{27,28, 29, 30}. However, these dressings tend to dry over time, leading to a loss of the moist wound environment. Additionally, they are associated with complications such as infection, pain, and blood oozing from the donor site during dressing changes³¹. Melolin and collagen sheets have been explored as alternative donor site dressings to address these limitations. Studies have shown that compared to Vaseline gauze, these materials offer better pain management,

faster re-epithelialization, and lower infection rates^{32,33}. Vaseline gauze is widely used in clinical practice due to its moisture-retention properties, pain reduction benefits, and ability to prevent synechia formation. It is non-toxic and has been effectively used in the treatment of burn wounds³⁴. The dressing is particularly suitable for minor burns, skin donor areas, tunneling wounds, areas with sutures or staples, cuts, abrasions, skin grafts, skin tears, circumcision sites, umbilical bandages, and wounds with light exudate³⁵. To enhance patient comfort and optimize wound coverage, Vaseline gauze dressings are often customized to match the size and shape of the wound in each case³⁶.

Case Studies on the Effectiveness of Vaseline Gauze in Wound Management

Case 1: Successful Hemostasis in Rectal Bleeding Using Vaseline Gauze

An 86-year-old frail woman with a history of schizophrenia, dementia, and a prior subdural hematoma presented to the emergency department with significant rectal bleeding. On admission, her vital signs were stable, with a blood pressure of 107/72 mm Hg, a heart rate of 110 bpm, and a body temperature of 37.2°C. Laboratory tests showed anemia (hemoglobin 7.5 g/dL) and elevated blood urea nitrogen (49 mg/dL). Sigmoidoscopy revealed fresh blood and deep ulcerations near the dentate line but no actively bleeding vessel. The patient was admitted for supportive care, and an oral diet was resumed on day 4 after the bleeding subsided. However, on day 6, she experienced massive hematochezia. A repeat sigmoidoscopy identified a large, actively bleeding vessel at the dentate line. Attempts at endoscopic hemostasis using epinephrine injection and clipping failed due to the vessel's size and location. As an alternative, Vaseline gauze packing was applied, successfully stopping the bleeding. The gauze was removed on day 11, and a follow-up endoscopy on day 12 confirmed hemostasis with visible ulcer healing³⁷.

Case 2: Vaseline Gauze in the Management of Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis (TEN)

A 46-year-old woman developed a severe adverse reaction to amoxicillin, initially presenting with eye discharge, oral ulcers, dysuria, and a skin rash by day 3. She was admitted to the Internal Medicine department on day 4 with tonsillitis, pyuria, and suspected Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS). As her condition worsened, she was transferred to the Burn Intensive Care Unit (BICU) on day 8, where she was diagnosed with toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) affecting 48% of her total body surface area (TBSA). Treatment involved debridement of necrotic epidermis, followed by wound coverage with Aquacel Ag and Vaseline gauze. Dressing changes were performed twice a week, alongside steroid and antibiotic therapy, though intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) was not administered. By day 11, her wounds had healed significantly, with less than 1% TBSA involvement, allowing her to be transferred to a general ward. The combination of Vaseline gauze and advanced wound dressings contributed to effective healing and pain relief³⁸.

Case 3: Accelerated Burn Wound Healing with Vaseline Gauze

A 67-year-old male with hypertension sustained a third-degree burn on his lower extremity, covering less than 10% of his TBSA. The wound was divided into two treatment areas:

- Section A was treated with Vaseline gauze alone.
- Section B was covered with Vaseline gauze followed by an additional dressing layer.

Nine days post-surgery, external dressings were removed for semi-exposure therapy. Healing progressed faster in Section A, where complete epithelialization was observed by day 11. In contrast, Section B reached the same level of healing by day 13. These findings highlight Vaseline gauze's role in promoting rapid wound healing, maintaining moisture balance, and reducing pain and infection risk in burn injuries^{39,40}.

2.2. Xeroform

Xeroform is a medical dressing designed to promote healing and protect wounds. It is commonly used for split-thickness donor sites due to its affordability, ease of application, consistent healing rate, and low infection risk. Xeroform dressings consist of a non-adherent gauze that is coated with a mixture of petroleum and 3% bismuth tribromo phenate, providing both antimicrobial and protective benefits⁴¹. The combination of paraffin and gauze creates a non-stick surface that keeps the wound moist while absorbing exudate, making it suitable for various types of wounds, such as surgical incisions, abrasions, minor burns, and particularly partial-thickness wounds^{42, 43}. Additionally, Xeroform dressings are commonly used in reconstructive surgeries, cultured keratinocytes, and applications involving Integra bi-laminate skin substitutes and allografts^{44, 45}. Xeroform dressings are an essential tool in the management of a wide variety of wounds, including burns, surgical incisions, abrasions, and chronic wounds. Their non-adherent and antimicrobial properties, combined with their ability to maintain a moist wound environment, promote faster healing and reduce the risk of infection⁴⁶. Xeroform is a versatile dressing that adapts to various clinical needs, offering excellent patient comfort and effective wound care. With their cost-effectiveness and easy application, these dressings continue to be a reliable choice for both healthcare professionals and patients.

in wound healing^{47,48,49}. A unique case report highlighted the successful closure of a broncho-pleural fistula (BPF) in a 61-year-old patient following the insertion of a piece of Xeroform dressing. This marked the first documented use of Xeroform to address a large BPF in an acutely decompensating patient, showcasing its versatility and potential for diverse clinical applications^{50,51}. One of the primary benefits of Xeroform is its non-adherent property, meaning it does not stick to the wound, thus facilitating painless dressing changes. Its antiseptic and antibacterial qualities help prevent infection, while its ability to maintain a moist wound environment promotes faster healing^{52,53,54}. The dressing is designed to be applied to open wounds, ensuring trauma-free removal, and it is composed of highly absorbent material that helps keep the wound dry and clean^{55,56}. Moreover, Xeroform conformably fits wound contours, enhancing patient comfort while supporting optimal healing conditions^{57,58}. Effective wound care using Xeroform requires careful evaluation of wound size, depth, and exudation levels. These factors help determine the appropriate dressing size and quantity. Xeroform dressings should be applied directly to a cleansed and dried wound surface, where their petrolatum base fosters a moist healing environment. Dressing changes should be scheduled based on the wound condition, with frequent changes required if the dressing becomes soiled, causes pain, or shows signs of infection^{59,60}. The Xeroform dressing's ability to stay in place for extended periods, often up to several days, reduces the frequency of dressing changes, promoting convenience for both healthcare providers and patients⁶¹. It is available in various sizes to accommodate different wound shapes and is especially effective on skin tears, donor sites, lacerations, burns, abrasions, and skin grafts⁶².

2.2.1. Types of Xeroform Dressings

2.2.1.1. Xeroform Occlusive Dressing

This dressing helps maintain a moist environment by preventing air from reaching the wound. It is impregnated with petroleum and 3% bismuth tribromo phenate, offering bacteriostatic protection. Xeroform occlusive dressing is ideal for skin grafts, newly sutured wounds, lacerations, abrasions, and minor burns, as well as for wounds requiring mild medication and deodorization⁶³.

2.2.1.2. Xeroform Non-Occlusive Dressing

Unlike the occlusive version, this non-occlusive dressing allows the wound to breathe, promoting natural exudate transfer to a secondary dressing. It is suitable for chronic wounds, partial-thickness burns, donor and recipient sites, abrasions, and tunneling wounds, offering bacteriostatic and deodorizing effects through its 3% bismuth tribromo phenate content⁶⁴.

2.2.1.3. Xeroform Petrolatum Dressing

This variant is impregnated with 3% bismuth tribromo phenate and petrolatum, providing a waxy coating that creates an occlusive and non-adherent surface. It is appropriate for minimally draining wounds, surgical incisions, first and second-degree burns, lacerations, and donor sites. Its deodorizing action helps reduce wound odor while promoting a moist environment⁶⁵.

2.2.1.4. Xeroform Oil Emulsion Dressing

Designed for lightly to heavily exuding wounds, the oil emulsion dressing conforms to the wound bed, providing compression therapy. It benefits deep and shallow wounds and may promote faster healing than other treatments like silver sulfadiazine cream. Studies have shown that this dressing helps in the quicker healing of burn wounds, leading to smaller graft sizes and potentially reducing the need for multiple dressing changes^{66,67}.

2.3. Urgotul

The technology lipido-colloid dressing promotes faster tissue regeneration and wound healing by promoting fibroblast proliferation in burn cases. UrgoTul is a technology lipido-colloid dressing that contains both hydrophilic and lipophilic matrices incorporated with silver sulphadiazine (3.57%), which acts as an antimicrobial agent and as a source of silver nanoparticles. UrgoTul is a non-occlusive dressing consisting of a flexible, thin sheet of pure polyester gauze impregnated with silver nanoparticles embedded in a mixture of hydrocolloidal polymers (usually carboxymethyl cellulose) and petrolatum⁶⁸. It is non-greasy to the touch, pliable, and marketed in a single, sterile, peel-open sachet in packs of 10 dressings and comes in sizes 10 cm x 10 cm and 15 cm x 20 cm. Urgotul is marketed in three variants: UrgoTul SSD (dressing containing silver sulphadiazine), Urgotul Ag (dressing containing silver nanoparticles), and Urgostart (dressing containing silver sulphadiazine and nano-oligosaccharide factor). All the marketed Urgotul variants are effective against a wide spectrum of microorganisms and are found to be particularly effective against Gram-negative bacteria such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli* due to the presence of silver nanoparticles and silver sulphadiazine components⁶⁹. Urgostart is the first dressing, a part of the drug tariff

that incorporates the Nano-OligoSaccharide Factor (NOSF), a new compound aimed at improving wound closure by inhibiting matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) activity⁷⁰. It is a sterile, EC class IIb medical device developed and produced by Urgo Laboratories in Dijon, France. UrgoTul efficiently promoted and fastened the epithelialization in a 3-month-old large open granulating wound formed after compound fracture of tibia and fibula in an 89-year-old female patient who sustained a typical 'bumper injury.' Urgotul was applied twice weekly and covered with padding and a bandage. UrgoTul had excellently covered the wound epithelialization over 6 weeks⁷¹. UrgoTul displayed complete wound healing in an 88-year-old female patient with rheumatoid arthritis suffering from heat-damaged skin and was on steroid therapy when applied with bandage and changed twice weekly for 5 weeks⁷². Urgo Algoplaque is a sterile, high-performance dressing for use in treating deep exudative wounds. The dressing of Urgo Algoplaque is made up of a semi-permeable polyurethane sheet consisting of carboxymethylcellulose hydrocolloid particles exclusively dispersed in an adhesive elastomer matrix⁷³. Due to the dressing's permeability to water and bacteria, it provides superior protection to the wound. The Hydrocolloid dressings absorb wound exudate and form a colloid gel, creating favourable conditions for the healing process (moisture, temperature, pH)⁷⁴.

2.4. Silver sulfadiazine

Silver sulfadiazine (AgSD) is a topical antimicrobial agent widely used in the treatment of burns and chronic wounds. It is a combination of silver, which possesses broad-spectrum antimicrobial properties, and sulfadiazine, an antibiotic from the sulphonamide class. The dual-action of AgSD makes it a preferred treatment option for preventing and managing infections in burn wounds and other skin injuries^{75,76}. The antimicrobial activity of silver sulfadiazine is primarily attributed to the release of silver ions, which interact with bacterial cell walls, leading to bacterial cell death. The silver component of AgSD exhibits a broad-spectrum activity, effective against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, and is rarely associated with the development of resistance⁷⁷. The sulfadiazine component further enhances its efficacy by inhibiting bacterial folic acid synthesis, which is essential for bacterial growth⁷⁸. One of the key advantages of AgSD over alternative treatments like silver nitrate (AgNO₃) is its ability to avoid electrolyte imbalances and prevent the conversion of nitrate to nitrite, a process that can contribute to toxicity in burn patients⁷⁹. AgSD also eliminates the problem of environmental staining that occurs with AgNO₃, providing a cleaner and more effective solution for wound care. Moreover, several published reports documented that AgSD has a good safety profile, with fewer side effects like leukopenia, allergic reactions, and methemoglobinemia^{80,81,82}. Silver sulfadiazine is primarily indicated for the treatment of second and third-degree burns, where infection prevention is critical to improving outcomes and reducing the risk of sepsis⁸³. It is applied topically to the affected area and is often used in conjunction with other wound care strategies, such as proper wound debridement and fluid management. The drug's ability to reduce microbial load on burn wounds helps accelerate the healing process and decreases the need for more invasive interventions⁸⁴. Despite its widespread use, the clinical efficacy of AgSD has been a subject of debate. While studies suggest that AgSD plays a critical role in the prevention of burn wound infections, some studies have shown that its use can be associated with adverse effects, including delayed wound healing due to the formation of a pseudoscalar layer that impedes tissue regeneration⁸⁵. Moreover, frequent dressing changes are required because the rapid release of silver ions can cause discomfort, especially in paediatric patients, where the potential for pain and anxiety is heightened⁸⁶. One of the major challenges in using silver sulfadiazine is its potential for systemic absorption when applied to large burn areas, leading to silver accumulation in the body, which may cause argyria (a permanent bluish-gray discoloration of the skin) in rare cases⁸⁷. Additionally, allergic reactions to sulfadiazine, particularly in patients with sulfa allergies, can complicate its use⁸⁸. Furthermore, the propylene glycol component in AgSD can contribute to a hyperosmolar state when applied to burn wounds, which may exacerbate the patient's condition if not properly managed⁸⁹. In some cases, the appearance of discoloured areas on burn wounds treated with AgSD can mimic the signs of severe infection, leading to potential misdiagnosis and delayed treatment. Although these discolorations typically resolve with continued treatment, they can sometimes complicate the clinical assessment of wound status⁹⁰. In chronic wounds, bacteria often exist in biofilms, which are protective clusters of bacteria encased in a self-produced matrix that is resistant to conventional antimicrobial treatments. AgSD is effective against planktonic bacteria, but its efficacy in eradicating biofilm-associated infections, such as those caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, remains an area of concern⁹¹. Newer studies suggest that silver nanoparticles and nanosuspensions of silver sulfadiazine may offer improved penetration and biofilm disruption, enhancing the agent's antimicrobial activity in chronic wounds⁹². Recent studies have explored the combination of silver sulfadiazine with other natural agents, such as honey, to optimize wound healing outcomes. Honey has been shown to possess antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and wound-healing properties, which complement the effects of silver sulfadiazine⁹³. The chemical debridement provided by honey, along with its ability to create a viscous barrier that prevents microbial invasion, has made it a promising adjunct to AgSD in burn and wound care⁹⁴. This combination therapy could provide a more holistic approach to burn care, potentially reducing

infection rates and promoting faster tissue regeneration. While silver sulfadiazine is generally considered safe for use in burn wound care, there are concerns regarding its toxicity⁹⁵. In animal studies, AgSD nanosuspensions have shown a median lethal dose (LD50) indicative of potential acute toxicity. Therefore, careful monitoring of patients, especially those with extensive burns, is recommended to avoid systemic silver accumulation and to minimize the risk of adverse effects⁹⁶. Silver sulfadiazine remains a cornerstone in the management of burn wounds and infected skin lesions due to its broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity, ease of use, and cost-effectiveness. However, its clinical application is not without challenges. The formation of a pseudoscalar layer, the potential for systemic silver absorption, and the need for frequent dressing changes are notable limitations⁹⁷. Moreover, the emergence of bacterial biofilms in chronic wounds highlights the need for more advanced formulations of silver sulfadiazine, such as nanosuspensions, to improve efficacy. Despite these challenges, silver sulfadiazine continues to be a vital tool in the treatment of burn wounds, and ongoing research into combination therapies and novel formulations promises to enhance its clinical utility in the future⁹⁸.

2.5. Tegaderm

Tegaderm dressings have gained widespread recognition in the medical field due to their versatility, effectiveness, and ease of use. Manufactured by 3M, these transparent film dressings serve a variety of purposes, including wound protection, catheter site maintenance, and post-surgical dressing applications⁹⁹. It is composed of a thin, flexible, and waterproof material that allows the skin to breathe while providing a protective barrier against contaminants, bacteria, and moisture. Surgical site infections are a common concern in vascular procedures, particularly for groin incisions¹⁰⁰. Data from various medical facilities indicate a wide range of infection rates for groin wounds following open lower extremity revascularization, with reported rates varying between 4% and 31%. However, most studies suggest an incidence rate of 5% to 10%¹⁰¹. Groin incision infections pose significant risks in vascular surgery, especially due to the proximity of prosthetic grafts to the skin. The groin's location near the gastrointestinal and genitourinary systems further increases the likelihood of infection, particularly in critically ill and elderly patients¹⁰². Additionally, many patients struggle with dressing changes, which require semi-sterile conditions, daily replacements, and necessary medical supplies¹⁰³. An optimal dressing for such patients should be cost-effective, require minimal changes, and be water-resistant. Previous studies documented that a combination of Tegaderm and Dermabond is used for wound dressing. This technique is unique in that Tegaderm is applied directly onto the patient's skin¹⁰⁴. This method allows the dressing to remain intact for up to two weeks, as opposed to detaching after two days. The secure seal of the dressing makes it water-resistant, while its transparent nature facilitates continuous monitoring for drainage or signs of infection¹⁰⁵. Another study reported the use of Tegaderm chlorhexidine gluconate securement dressings at central venous and arterial catheter insertion sites. These dressings enhance monitoring, provide antiseptic protection, and lower the incidence of catheter-related bloodstream infections and local site infections compared to standard semipermeable transparent dressings. Integrating this product into current care protocols is highly recommended¹⁰⁶. For critically ill adults requiring central venous or arterial catheters in intensive or high-dependency care settings, the adoption of Tegaderm securement dressings could generate significant cost savings for the National Health Service (NHS) in England, estimated between 4.2 million and 10.8 million annually¹⁰⁷. Tegaderm Advanced dressings provide a waterproof adhesive solution for peripheral venous access. These dressings maintain adhesion for several days, and to date, no cases of contact eczema have been reported in association with their use. In cases where patients have transitioned from alternative adhesive systems to Tegaderm Advanced, the risk of contact eczema has been effectively eliminated. Since its initial use in April 2019, Tegaderm Advanced has been a successful alternative for preventing skin irritation in sensitive areas¹⁰⁸. The exact formulation of Tegaderm I.V. Advanced remains undisclosed, and the manufacturer has not provided information regarding its adhesive composition. However, it is suspected that acrylates may not be part of the formulation. The adhesive is well-tolerated, easy to apply and remove, and remains effective for over seven days without causing eczematous reactions¹⁰⁹.

Tegaderm transparent dressings serve multiple purposes, including covering and protecting catheter sites and wounds, maintaining a moist environment for wound healing, serving as a secondary dressing, providing a barrier over at-risk skin, and securing medical devices to the skin. They also facilitate autolytic debridement by maintaining a moist wound environment¹¹⁰. Tegaderm dressings have established themselves as a superior option in wound care due to their durability, transparency, and infection-prevention capabilities. They are particularly beneficial in hospital settings for securing catheters, protecting surgical sites, and promoting faster wound healing¹¹¹. Despite minor limitations, their advantages outweigh potential drawbacks, making them a preferred choice among healthcare providers and patients alike. In addition, Tegaderm dressings are breathable and waterproof and act as a shield against bacteria, viruses, blood, and bodily fluids^{112, 113}.

2.6. Burnshield

Burnshield Hydrogel is a sterile, trauma-relieving gel formulated for the emergency treatment of burns, scalds, and sunburns. This hydrogel is impregnated into foam dressings and supplied in a foil pouch, ensuring ease of application. With its high-water content, Burnshield absorbs and dissipates heat, providing immediate cooling relief and minimizing skin damage¹¹⁴. The gel appears white to off-white initially but turns transparent upon application, allowing for continuous wound monitoring. It is non-stick and will not adhere to the wound, ensuring pain-free removal¹¹⁵. Additionally, Burnshield is non-toxic, non-irritant, and safe for use on children. Designed for versatility, Burnshield dressings can be used to treat burns on the hands, feet, and face, and circumferential injuries¹¹⁶. As a sterile hydrogel, Burnshield provides a protective barrier against infection and minor trauma. It is suitable for all types of burns, including first, second, and third-degree burns, particularly in the critical early stages when burn severity can rapidly progress. The hydrogel is most effective when applied within the first 24 hours of a burn injury¹¹⁷.

Burnshield Hydrogel is a fast-acting burn treatment designed to quickly absorb and dissipate heat, effectively stopping the burning process while minimizing skin damage and reducing the risk of shock¹¹⁸. Its transparent, non-adherent formulation allows for easy wound assessment without sticking to injured skin. The hydrogel is enriched with natural tea tree oil, providing a soothing, non-toxic cooling effect for minor burns, scalds, and sunburns¹¹⁹. With a high water content, it rapidly cools the affected area, limits tissue damage, and helps prevent infection. Additionally, Burnshield Hydrogel rehydrates dressings and can be easily applied to hard-to-reach areas, offering immediate pain relief¹²⁰. Packaged in sterile, single-use sachets, it is a convenient first-aid solution ideal for emergency use, particularly for children and individuals with sensitive skin. Its non-stick, water-resistant formulation ensures easy application while maintaining a protective barrier against further damage and infection¹²¹. The hydrogel-infused dressings provide antiseptic benefits, minimizing the potential for long-term skin damage when applied promptly. Widely trusted by emergency responders for over two decades, Burnshield is also a staple in home first-aid kits. Prompt burn treatment is essential in preventing deeper tissue damage and complications, making Burnshield a reliable choice for comprehensive burn care¹²². Its advanced formulation, consisting of water, polymers, and cooling agents, effectively lowers burn temperature, prevents further injury, and creates a barrier against dehydration and infection. Frequently used alongside other burn treatments, Burnshield Hydrogel enhances the healing process and optimizes recovery for minor burns, sunburns, and thermal injuries¹²³.

2.7. Multisorb

Multisorb Swabs are crafted from a viscose/polyester blend, offering a soft-textured, non-woven structure that minimizes linting and reduces the risk of wound contamination. Unlike traditional gauze, these swabs maintain a low-lint profile, ensuring a safer wound care experience¹²⁴. Multisorb Non-Woven Swabs offer an optimal balance of softness, absorbency, and low-linting properties, making them a superior alternative to traditional gauze. Their ability to absorb 40-65% more fluid than conventional options ensures effective exudate management, contributing to a cleaner and safer wound healing process¹²⁵. Their superior absorbency helps maintain a clean, dry wound environment, reducing the risk of infection and promoting faster healing. It offers multiple medical properties, including

Wound Cleansing – Gently cleanses wounds while being soft on surrounding tissue.

a) Skin Protection – Acts as a protective barrier against external contaminants.

b) Secondary Dressing – Enhances wound coverage and protection¹²⁶.

Multisorb Swabs are available in both sterile and non-sterile packaging, catering to various medical and healthcare needs, including wound dressing, skin protection, and secondary dressing support. Their exceptional absorbency, combined with a lightweight and conformable structure, makes them an ideal choice for post-surgical wounds, ulcers, burns, and abrasions, ensuring faster healing and reduced infection risk¹²⁷.

2.8. Polyskin

Polyskin Transparent Dressings are advanced wound care solutions designed to provide a protective barrier while ensuring breathability for optimal healing. It is made from a thin polymer film coated with a hypoallergenic adhesive. These dressings are ideal for IV sites, donor sites, burns, ulcers, and sutures¹²⁸. Their transparent, semi-permeable design allows for oxygen and moisture vapor transmission, maintaining a balanced healing environment while preventing the entry of water, bacteria, and other contaminants¹²⁹. Featuring a patented two-tab delivery system, Polyskin dressings enable one-handed aseptic application, making them easy to use in both clinical and home settings¹³⁰. The acrylic adhesive ensures strong adhesion in both wet and dry conditions, reducing the need for frequent dressing changes¹³¹. Additionally, rounded edges help prevent roll-up, further extending the wear time, which ranges from 2 to 7 days¹³². With enhanced wet adhesion properties, these dressings provide secure attachment

in moist environments, making them particularly effective for wounds with exudate¹³³. Their breathable yet impermeable structure acts as an effective barrier against bacteria and fluid contaminants, ensuring wound protection while promoting healing¹³⁴. Polyskin Transparent Dressings offer a simple, effective delivery system, providing ease of application, long wear time, and superior wound protection, making them an excellent choice for wound management in various medical applications^{135,136}.

2.9. BioClusive Transparent Dressing

BioClusive Transparent Film Dressing is designed to facilitate the exchange of oxygen and moisture vapor while safeguarding minor wounds, cuts, and other injuries. This dressing acts as a protective barrier against bacteria and viruses that are 27 nm or larger, reducing the risk of contamination and infection^{137,138}. It is made from a thin, transparent polyurethane film and coated with a hypoallergenic acrylic adhesive. BioClusive dressings offer flexibility, comfort, and ease of application, making them suitable for both primary and secondary wound care^{139,140}. The waterproof nature of the dressing allows patients to shower without removing the dressing, while its semi-permeable design maintains a moist wound environment that promotes healing¹⁴¹. The dressing's rounded edges help prevent roll-up, ensuring it stays securely in place for extended wear¹⁴². It is available in various sizes, such as 10 cm x 12 cm, 15 cm x 20 cm, and 20 cm x 30 cm, providing options for different wound care needs¹⁴³. BioClusive is particularly effective for wounds with low to no exudate, such as minor burns, donor sites, superficial pressure areas, leg ulcers, post-operative wounds, cuts, and abrasions^{144,145}. It is also widely used for securing catheters and other access devices, offering a reliable, breathable, and bacteria-resistant solution¹⁴⁶. Featuring an easy stretch removal mechanism, BioClusive minimizes skin irritation and can be repositioned if it accidentally sticks to itself during application. Its three-tab delivery system provides enhanced control, making it user-friendly and simple to apply^{147,148}. The dressing's transparency enables continuous monitoring of the wound without the need for frequent removal, reducing disruption to the healing process¹⁴⁹. BioClusive Plus, a variant of the dressing, is tailored for non-exuding or lightly exuding wounds, offering exceptional adhesion even in moist environments. It is commonly used for catheter fixation, reducing shear and friction forces, and serving as a secondary dressing^{150,151}. Its biocompatible, hypoallergenic adhesive minimizes the risk of allergic reactions, making it suitable for a wide range of patients¹⁵². With its combination of flexibility, durability, waterproof protection, and bacterial resistance, the BioClusive Transparent Film Dressing is a versatile and essential solution for effective wound management in both clinical and home care settings^{153,154}.

2.10. Purilon® Gel

Purilon Gel is a mild, sterile hydrogel formulated from natural ingredients without additives, making it a safe and effective solution for wound debridement. It is designed for necrotic and sloughy wounds, including leg ulcers, pressure ulcers, non-infected diabetic foot ulcers, and first- and second-degree burns. It plays a crucial role in moisturizing necrotic tissue while simultaneously absorbing excess exudate, slough, and debris. This dual-action property helps create an optimal healing environment and supports autolytic debridement¹⁵⁵. With its thick, cohesive consistency, Purilon Gel remains in place, preventing leakage and maceration, even after absorbing wound debris. The accordion-style applicator ensures a controlled, sterile, and simple one-handed application, making the dressing process more efficient. Additionally, its high viscosity and cohesive nature allow for easy removal without disrupting the wound bed, enabling gentle debridement throughout the healing process¹⁵⁶.

By providing superior hydration and absorptive properties, Purilon Gel facilitates the natural breakdown and removal of necrotic tissue, ultimately accelerating wound healing. Recommended for use on necrotic and sloughy wounds, including leg ulcers, pressure ulcers, non-infected diabetic foot ulcers, and burns, it should always be used in combination with a secondary dressing for optimal results¹⁵⁷. Formulated with purified water, sodium carboxymethylcellulose, and calcium alginate, Purilon Gel ensures an ideal balance of hydration and absorption, promoting a moist wound environment that supports faster and more effective healing. Its sterile, natural composition makes it suitable for long-term use without causing irritation or complications¹⁵⁸. With gentle yet powerful debriding action, easy application, and high efficacy, Purilon Gel is a trusted choice for effective wound management in both clinical and home care settings¹⁵⁹.

2.11. Opsite

Opsite, developed by Smith & Nephew, is a widely used brand of hydrocolloid dressings designed to protect and promote healing in minor wounds, cuts, burns, abrasions, and donor sites from split-thickness skin grafts. These dressings maintain a moist environment, accelerating epithelialization while reducing the risk of infection¹⁶⁰. Traditionally, paraffin gauze dressings were used for donor sites, but semipermeable self-adhesive dressings like Opsite have gained popularity due to their ability to minimize discomfort and enhance the healing process. Despite these claims, no double-blind studies have been conducted to evaluate their effectiveness¹⁶¹. Opsite is a thin,

transparent, elastic polyurethane film with an adhesive coating that permits the passage of oxygen, water vapor, and carbon dioxide while preventing bacterial contamination and liquid ingress¹⁶². It has proven beneficial for partial-thickness burns and donor sites, as clinical and experimental studies suggest that maintaining a moist wound environment significantly improves healing. A prospective trial was conducted to compare the effectiveness of Opsite with the standard Jelonet dressing for outpatient burns, further supporting its advantages in wound management¹⁶³. Several specialized variants of Opsite cater to different wound care needs. Opsite Flexigrid incorporates a flexible backing for easier application and includes a wound measurement tool, making it particularly useful for pressure sores, abrasions, lacerations, skin grafts, donor sites, burns, and scalds¹⁶⁴. Another version, Opsite Plus, initially introduced as Post Opsite, features a low-adherent contact layer combined with a semipermeable film, minimizing skin irritation while ensuring optimal conformability, particularly for difficult-to-dress areas. The adhesive is latex-free, reducing the risk of allergic reactions¹⁶⁵. Opsite dressings offer multiple benefits, including moisture vapor permeability, which prevents skin maceration by allowing excess exudate to evaporate¹⁶⁶. The highly conformable film enables extended wear for up to seven days, reducing the frequency of dressing changes and minimizing nursing workload¹⁶⁷. Additionally, its waterproof and bacteria-resistant properties allow patients to bathe without compromising the dressing's integrity¹⁶⁸. The transparent material facilitates wound monitoring without the need for removal, and a printed grid on the film assists in precise trimming¹⁶⁹. Other variations, such as Opsite Visible, feature a transparent film with a see-through absorbent pad, making it ideal for moderately exuding wounds, including surgical incisions, lacerations, and abrasions¹⁷⁰. Opsite also offers a transparent, skin-safe medical tape designed to secure non-adhesive bandages or gauze in place¹⁷¹. Overall, Opsite has set a high standard in wound care by providing optimal healing conditions while reducing patient discomfort and healthcare workload^{172, 173}.

2.12. Nu-Gel Hydrogel with Alginate

Nu-Gel Hydrogel with Alginate is an advanced wound care product designed to create a moist wound-healing environment, facilitating natural autolytic debridement. By donating moisture to the wound bed, it supports rehydration while the alginate component enhances absorption, effectively managing exudate¹⁷⁴. This transparent, amorphous hydrogel contains sodium alginate, which gently and efficiently debrides necrotic tissue and fibrinous slough, supporting all stages of the healing process¹⁷⁵. The hydrogel is particularly effective in softening and hydrating eschar, promoting the dissolution of scabs, and accelerating wound recovery. Its unique formulation ensures prolonged wear time, improving patient comfort and optimizing the healing process¹⁷⁶. Designed for easy application, Nu-Gel's ampoule and concertina packaging allow precise, one-handed usage, reducing product wastage and enhancing usability in clinical settings¹⁷⁷. Indicated for chronic wounds, Nu-Gel is widely used for debridement, desloughing, and rehydration. The combination of its hydroactive gel and sodium alginate ensures an optimal balance between hydration and absorption, making it effective in managing granulating and epithelializing wounds^{178, 179}. Clinical studies have demonstrated its superior performance in comparison to other hydrogel products, highlighting its ability to maintain an ideal wound environment for enhanced healing^{180, 181}. As an innovative wound care solution, Nu-Gel Hydrogel with Alginate provides a comprehensive approach to wound management by effectively rehydrating and softening scabs, loosening fibrinous deposits, and supporting the natural healing process. Its ability to regulate moisture and absorption makes it an essential dressing for a variety of wound types, ensuring optimal care throughout the healing stages^{182, 183}.

2.13. Curagel

Curagel Hydrogel Wound Dressings is a hydrogel wound dressing. Designed to protect the wound and provide a moist environment. Clear gel permits a visual inspection of the wound without removing the dressing¹⁸⁴. Viscosity concentration of medical grade hydroxypropyl methylcellulose in 2.0 mL pfs. Its high viscosity and elastic behavior give it unique rheological properties to maintain the anatomical spaces of the CuraGel. It is a truly high-viscosity solution containing a new formula of 2.0% or 2.4% Plus High anterior segment during an ophthalmic procedure¹⁸⁵. To achieve the maximum zero shear rate viscosity while permitting unhindered manipulation during surgery, the viscosity of this product has been modified. CuraGel comes in 2.0% and 2.4% Plus. Blister and double-pouch packaging with high viscosity¹⁸⁶.

2.14. XCell Hydrogel

XCell Hydrogel is a type of advanced wound care product that is primarily used for managing moderate to heavily exuding wounds. It is often utilized in the treatment of chronic and acute wounds, including diabetic ulcers, pressure ulcers, venous leg ulcers, and surgical wounds¹⁸⁷. XCell Hydrogel is designed to provide a moist wound environment, which is crucial for promoting faster healing and reducing pain¹⁸⁸. It consists of a complex moisturizing mixture of natural ingredients, which includes hyaluronic acid, trehalose (a moisture-retentive agent),

and phytoceramide. This complex provides a feeling of immediate moisturization and retains moisture for long-lasting effects¹⁸⁹. Its hydrogel technology helps the skin absorb the active ingredients of the dressing more effectively and gives an immediate cooling sensation to the wound¹⁹⁰.

2.15. Silver Alginate Fiber Gel (SAF- Gel)

Silver Alginate Fiber Gel (SAF- Gel) is a topical wound care product that combines silver and alginate (a natural absorbent material derived from seaweed) for enhanced wound healing and infection control. SAF Gel is a versatile option for wounds that need both antimicrobial protection and the ability to absorb significant amounts of exudate¹⁹¹. It is typically used in treating moderate to heavily exuding wounds, including chronic wounds like diabetic foot ulcers, venous leg ulcers, and pressure sores, and acute wounds such as surgical wounds, burns, and trauma wounds¹⁹².

2.16. PermaFoam

PermaFoam is a single-use, non-medicated, sterile, polyurethane foam-based dressing. The polyurethane top layer is permeable to water vapour and possesses the unique hydrophilic pore structure that facilitates high capillary effect, making quick transportation of wound exudate and maintaining a sterile and balanced moist wound environment^{193, 194}. This promotes granulation tissue growth and permits autolytic debridement¹⁹⁵. PermaFoam's capillary structure reduces the chance of maceration at the borders of the incision¹⁹⁶. Polyacrylate glue was incorporated to provide an adhesive nature to the dressing¹⁹⁷. It is marketed in various shapes and sizes. It is recommended for moderate to highly exuding chronic as well as acute wounds like diabetic foot ulcers, incisions, leg ulcers, donor sites, pressure ulcers grade II to IV, and abrasions¹⁹⁸. The PermaFoam Concave wound dressing is designed to treat the heel and elbow. It is easy to apply, has a hypoallergenic adhesive film around the edges, and offers safe adhesion¹⁹⁹.

2.17. Duoderm

The hydrocolloid, moisture-retentive Duoderm dressing is applied to both partial and full-thickness wounds that produce exudate. It differs from other marketed regular hydrocolloid dressings due to its special Convatec hydrocolloid formulation²⁰⁰. Duoderm Dressing is recommended for leg ulcers, pressure ulcers, traumatic injuries, acute wounds, donor sites, and burns with partial thickness²⁰¹. Smaller superficial and profound partial-thickness burns can be successfully treated with Duoderm hydrocolloid dressing²⁰². Duoderm dressings are available in two categories: (i) DuoDerm Extra Thin dressing and DuoDerm Signal dressing²⁰³. The Duoderm Dressings have an ultra-thin design, which allows them to conform closely to the contours of the body, providing a discreet and comfortable fit. This makes it ideal for use on visible areas such as the face and hands, where both appearance and flexibility are paramount²⁰⁴. The thin profile of the dressing ensures that it adheres well without causing discomfort or restricting movement, making it suitable for active individuals and areas prone to friction²⁰⁵. Duoderm Extra Thin is a hydrocolloid dressing that is recommended for wounds that exude very little fluid. The gel-forming substances gelatin and carboxymethyl cellulose form the inner hydrocolloidal layer, which absorbs the watery exudate released by the wound to aid wound healing. The outer waterproof layer of Duoderm hydrocolloid dressing is made of polyurethane foam or film. This outer layer protects the wound from bacteria, dirt, and other objects. Because these dressings can be kept in place for up to a week, they usually don't need to be changed as frequently as other dressings unless they're saturated, filthy, or smell bad²⁰⁶. As it is waterproof, bathing and washing can be done after this type of dressing. The dressing removal is usually painless because it doesn't stick to the wound²⁰⁷.

2.18. Comfeel

Comfeel Plus is a transparent hydrocolloid dressing used in the management of low to moderately exuding wounds, such as superficial burns, leg ulcers, donor sites, skin abrasions, pressure ulcers, superficial partial-thickness burns, and surgical wounds^{208, 209}. Comfeel Plus is a semi-permeable hydrocolloid dressing that contains calcium alginate to improve its ability to absorb low to moderate exudate levels. The top outer layer is made of semi-permeable polyurethane. It can serve as both a primary and secondary dressing²¹⁰. The hydro-colloidal layer comprises alginates, and the outermost layer comprises polyurethane and polyethylene, which help protect the wound from microbial contamination²¹¹.

2.19. Allevyn

Allevyn is an antimicrobial hydrocellular foam-based highly absorbent and non-adhesive dressing comprising of waterproof and breathable polyurethane film^{212, 213}. The dressing resists water and bacteria and is recommended for wounds with moderate to heavy exudation. The dressing is appropriate for use on delicate and sensitive skin,

including epidermolysis bullosa wounds, if the contact layer is non-adherent²¹⁴. The hydro-cellular structure makes it possible for the wound to be moist and won't adhere to the surface of the wound²¹⁵. The vapour permeable film helps in reducing the maceration of wound and peri-wound. It can be applied to wounds of any body part at an uncomfortable location. This foam can be applied with the help of a specific heel cup dressing, which is simple to apply and remove²¹⁶. The advantage of this dressing is that it can be used for a variety of acute and chronic wounds, including those that are challenging to wrap. Allevyn is available in different sizes and shapes and can be cut down in different shapes to fit various body shapes or wound shapes. Allevyn Ag dressings are intended to control exudate in chronic wounds that are susceptible to infection, showing indications of a local infection, or when healing is being slowed down by a probable rise in bacterial colonisation. It consists of an absorbent hydrocellular foam layer that contains silver sulfadiazine, a perforated wound contact layer, and a highly breathable top film²¹⁷.

2.20. Tegaserb

Tegaderm is a brand name for a type of medical adhesive film dressing that is used to cover and protect wounds, surgical sites, or skin that needs to stay clean and dry. Leg ulcers and donor sites are only two examples of the wounds that can be treated using 3M Healthcare's Tegaserb, a soft and highly absorbent advanced hydrocolloid dressing²¹⁸. Tegaderm hydrocolloid dressings aid in wound care by two ways: (i) the hydrocolloid adhesive's inner layer absorbs exudate quickly, giving it a far higher absorbency over the first 48 hours than the top competing dressing²¹⁹. (ii) the permeable outer film layer not only has outstanding absorbency but also continuously maintains a high rate of moisture vapour transmission. When combined, these characteristics offer a cost-effective use period of up to seven days, minimize the possibility of harming healthy peri-wound skin, and guarantee the ideal moist wound environment²²⁰. This cutting-edge hydrocolloid composition is recommended for burn wounds, surgical wounds, pressure ulcers, and partial and full-thickness wounds, including pressure sores and chronic leg ulcers with moderate to heavy discharge and exudate²²¹. As the dressing's polysaccharide particles swell, they absorb exudate and form a soft gel. The hydrocolloid is covered with a layer of Tegaderm film dressing that extends to the edge of the dressing to protect the wound from contagious infections. This also allows full usage of dressing up to one week and prevents edge rolling or exudate leakage from the wound, thus improving the exudate quality management. Tegaserb dressing is available in various shapes, such as oval, square, and sacral-shaped dressings. Square dressings can be sculpted or trimmed to fit specific wound areas²²². According to *in vitro* experiments, the dressing won't accelerate bacterial growth when serum is present²²³. Tegaserb usage is not advised for wounds deeper than 1.5 cm or undermining more than 1 cm to 1.5 cm²²⁴. Additionally, full-thickness burns, wounds with exposed muscle, bone, or tendon, or wounds with eschar covering more than 50% of the wound should not be dressed with this dressing²²⁵. From black necrotic tissue to the epithelializing wound, it can be used at any stage of wound healing and promotes a moist environment for wound healing²²⁶.

Table 1. depicted different types of dressings (marketed formulations) available commercially for wound management.

CONCLUSION

At present, there are thousands of surgical wound dressings available in the market. This results in significant confusion for the treating physician in selecting the appropriate dressing material for wound care. No dressing product is inherently better than another. The selection of the right dressing material should depend on the specific type of wound. The cost of the dressing should be considered, and local innovations ought to be promoted to make the use of dressing materials more affordable and readily available.

Future Prospective

Rapid and breakthrough advances in pharmaceuticals, biotechnology, and progressive understanding of wound healing mechanisms help in the design and development of formulations for wound management. The purpose of these advancements is to improve the efficacy, effectiveness, suitability, durability, and safety of wound care products²⁴⁷. Advancements in following directions are in high demand, which may bring revolutionary development in the future.

1. Advanced dressings

- a) **Hydrocolloid dressings:** The capacity of hydrocolloid dressings to absorb exudate and produce a moist healing environment are already commercialized and are widely accepted and used in clinical and non-clinical wound management. Future developments might incorporate high exudate absorbing capacity, less costly, and addition of antimicrobial substances for fast wound healing.

- b) **Hydrogels:** These help moisturize parched wounds. The future of hydrogels may involve additional advances in their ability to stimulate cellular regeneration and facilitate speedier healing through bioactive chemicals.
- c) **Smart Dressings:** Dressings that integrate sensors can be designed to administer medicinal substances (such as antibiotics, growth factors, or stem cells) and are projected to expand. Smart dressings can adjust how medicine or therapeutic agents are delivered by monitoring the wound & parameters, such as pH, temperature, and moisture content.

2. Biomolecules and Regenerative Health

- a) **Growth Factors:** Biologically active growth factors, such as Platelet-Derived Growth Factors and Epidermal Growth Factors may be incorporated into different types of dressings and formulations for speedy recovery. These growth factors can promote tissue regeneration and hasten the healing of chronic or non-healing wounds.
- b) **Stem Cells:** Treatments based on stem cells show promise in the treatment of chronic wounds, especially diabetic ulcers. To encourage skin regeneration in damaged areas, research is being done to improve stem cell delivery techniques that use scaffolds or bioengineered tissue.
- c) **Therapies Based on Exosomes:** Exosomes are tiny vesicles that carry proteins and microRNAs that can aid in the healing of wounds. As a less invasive substitute for stem cells, they might be important in formulations for wound care in the future ^{248,249,250}.

Figure Legend




Figure 1: Various commercially marketed wound dressings ²⁰

Table Legend

Table 1: Different Types of Dressings (Marketed Formulations) Available Commercially For Wound Management.

S. No.	Commercial Products	Images	Advantages	Disadvantages	Type of dressing	Ref.

i. (i)	Vaseline Gauze		-Ease in application	-Easily dry and disrupt the wound	Passive	227
ii. (ii)	Xeroform		-Helps reduce the risk of wound infection and promotes a more sterile environment for healing	-Can damage granulation tissue on removal	Passive	228
iii. (iii)	Urgotul		-Faster healing time	-Poor water retention ability	Passive	229
iv. (iv)	Silver Sulfadiazine		-Inexpensive	-Lack of mechanical strength	Passive	230
v. (v)	Tegaderm		-Prevent skin breakdown	-Mechanical trauma.	Interactive	231
vi. (vi)	Burn Shield		-Useful for highly exudate wounds	-Needs a secondary dressing due to its poor mechanical properties	Advanced Interactive	232
vii. (vii)	Multisorb		-Ease of application	-Not useful for highly exudate wounds	Passive	233

viii.	(viii)	Polyskin		-Flexibility in nature	-Hard to remove and sometimes disturbs the epidermal layer	Interactive	234
ix.	(ix)	Biooclusive		-Used as a secondary dressing cover for hydrogels and foams	-Excess exudate accumulation due to its non-absorbent property	Interactive	235
x.	(x)	Purilon Gel		-Recommended for dry wounds as they provide moist surroundings	-Not suitable for dry wounds	Advanced Interactive	236
xi.	(xi)	Opsite		-Used as a secondary dressing cover for hydrogels and foams	-Hard to remove sometimes cause it disturbs the epidermal layer	Interactive	237
xii.		Nu-Gel		-Absorbs high-wound moisture	-Nonadherent in nature causes skin maceration and overhydration	Advanced Interactive	238
xiii.	(xiii)	Curagel		-Maintain high wound moisture	-poor adhesional properties	Advanced Interactive	239
xiv.		X-cell hydrogel		-Flexible, biocompatible, and chemically inert	-Not suitable for wet wounds	Advanced Interactive	240
xv.		SAF- Gel		-Helps to moisturize the skin and lightens	-Poor mechanical properties	Advanced Interactive	241
xvi.		Perm foam		-Highly absorbent	-Stick to wound when exudates dry	Interactive	242

xvii.	Douderm		-waterproof	- Highly thick	Advanced Interactive	243
xviii.	Comfeel		-Activate autolytic debridement	- Adhere to the wound	Advanced Interactive	244
xix.	Allevyn		-Highly absorbent	-Needs secondary dressings	Interactive	245
xx.	Tegasorb		-Activate autolytic debridement	-Adhere to the wound	Advanced Interactive	246

Funding statement

None

Credit authorship contribution statement

Reetoo Yadav: Writing – original draft, Investigation, **Lalit Mishra:** Writing – review & editing, **Nidhi Tiwari:** Writing – review, review, and supervision.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to KIET School of Pharmacy, Ghaziabad (India) for their motivation and all-around support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Chua AW, Khoo YC, Tan BK, Tan KC, Foo CL, Chong SJ. Skin tissue engineering advances in severe burns: review and therapeutic applications. *Burns & trauma*. 2016 Dec 1;4:1-14. DOI: 10.1186/s41038-016-0027-y
2. Sundaramurthi D, Krishnan UM, Sethuraman S. Electrospun nanofibers as scaffolds for skin tissue engineering. *Polymer Reviews*. 2014 Apr 3;54 2:348-76. DOI: 10.1166/jbn.2013.1618
3. Paul W. Advances in wound healing materials. *Smithers Rapra*; 2015 Jun 11 1-7.
4. Mühlstädt M, Thomé C, Kunte C. Rapid wound healing of scalp wounds devoid of periosteum with milling of the outer table and split-thickness skin grafting. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 2012 Aug 1;167(2):343-7. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2133.2012.10999.x
5. Nguyen HM, Le TT, Nguyen AT, Le HN, Pham TT. Biomedical materials for wound dressing: Recent advances and applications. *RSC advances*. 2023;13(8):5509-28. DOI: 10.1039/d2ra07673j
6. Williams RW, Mason LB, Bradshaw HH. Factors affecting wound healing. *InSurgical forum* 1950 (3). 410-417.
7. Simões D, Miguel SP, Ribeiro MP, Coutinho P, Mendonça AG, Correia IJ. Recent advances on antimicrobial wound dressing: A review. *European journal of pharmaceuticals and biopharmaceutics*. 2018 Jun 1;127:130-41. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejpb.2018.02.022

8. Schreml S, Szeimies RM, Prantl L, Landthaler M, Babilas P. Wound healing in the 21st century. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2010 Nov 1;63(5):866-81. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaad.2009.10.048
9. Fife CE, Carter MJ. Wound care outcomes and associated cost among patients treated in US outpatient wound centers: data from the US wound registry. *Wounds: a compendium of clinical research and practice*. 2012 Jan 1;24(1):10-7.
10. Balikji J, Hoogbergen MM, Garssen J, Verster JC. Mental resilience, mood, and quality of life in young adults with self-reported impaired wound healing. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2022 Feb 22;19(5):25-42. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph19052542
11. Kamoun EA, Kenawy ER, Chen X. A review on polymeric hydrogel membranes for wound dressing applications: PVA-based hydrogel dressings. *Journal of Advanced Research*. 2017 May 1;8(3):217-33. DOI: 10.1016/j.jare.2017.01.005
12. Sung KY, Lee SY. Nonoperative management of extravasation injuries associated with neonatal parenteral nutrition using multiple punctures and a hydrocolloid dressing. *Wounds: A Compendium of Clinical Research and Practice*. 2016 May 1;28(5):145-51. PMID: 27191172
13. Nguyen HM, Le TT, Nguyen AT, Le HN, Pham TT. Biomedical materials for wound dressing: Recent advances and applications. *RSC advances*. 2023;13(8):5509-28. DOI: 10.1039/d2ra07673j
14. Bagchi D, Das A, Roy S, editors. *Wound healing, tissue repair, and regeneration in diabetes*. Academic press; 2020 Apr 24 : 15-17
15. Kaniuk Ł, Stachewicz U. Development and advantages of biodegradable PHA polymers based on electrospun PHBV fibers for tissue engineering and other biomedical applications. *ACS biomaterials science & engineering*. 2021 Oct 15;7(12):5339-62. DOI: 10.1021/acsbomaterials.1c00757
16. Prasad V, Dorle AK. Evaluation of ghee based formulation for wound healing activity. *Journal of ethnopharmacology*. 2006 Aug 11;107(1):38-47. DOI: 10.1016/j.jep.2006.02.006
17. Mir M, Ali MN, Barakullah A, Gulzar A, Arshad M, Fatima S, Asad M. Synthetic polymeric biomaterials for wound healing: a review. *Progress in biomaterials*. 2018 Mar;7:1-21. DOI: 10.1007/s40204-018-0083-4
18. Griswold JA, Cepica T, Rossi L, Wimmer JS, Merrifield HH, Hester C, Sauter T, Baker CR. A comparison of Xeroform and SkinTemp dressings in the healing of skin graft donor sites. *The Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*. 1995 Mar 1;16(2):136-40. DOI: 10.1097/00004630-199503000-00008
19. Berard MB, Lau FH. Pilot study of minimally adherent silver dressings for acute surgical wounds. *Health Science Reports*. 2022 Nov;5(6):e865. DOI: 10.1002/hsr2.865
20. Bayer IS. Advances in fibrin-based materials in wound repair: a review. *Molecules*. 2022 Jul 14;27(14):4504. DOI: 10.3390/molecules27144504
21. Litvinov RI, Weisel JW. Fibrin mechanical properties and their structural origins. *Matrix Biology*. 2017 Jul 1;60:110-23. PMID: 275535 DOI: 10.1016/j.matbio.2016.08.003
22. Yesudasan S, Averett RD. Recent advances in computational modeling of fibrin clot formation: A review. *Computational biology and chemistry*. 2019 Dec 1;83:107-148. DOI: 10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2019.107148
23. Tosenberger A, Ataullakhanov F, Bessonov N, Panteleev M, Tokarev A, Volpert V. Modelling of platelet-fibrin clot formation in flow with a DPD-PDE method. *Journal of mathematical biology*. 2016 Feb;72:649-81. DOI: 10.1007/s00285-015-0891-2
24. Johnson KL, Papac N, Hirshburg JM. Xeroform Gauze Bolster: A Cost-Effective Alternative to Synthetic Skin Grafts. *Cureus*. 2023 Sep 15;15(9): 23-26. DOI: 10.7759/cureus.45307
25. Bayer G, Grasselli S, Malchiodi A, Bayer IS. Antiseptic povidone-iodine encapsulating edible phospholipid gels. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*. 2021 Jun 20; 619:126-537.
26. Merlin-Manton E, Greenwood M, Linthwaite A. An observational evaluation of a new foam adhesive dressing. *British Journal of Nursing*. 2015 Aug 12;24(Sup15): S21-7. DOI: 10.12968/bjon.2015.24.Sup15.S21
27. Hussain S, Ferguson C. Silver sulphadiazine cream in burns. *Emergency medicine journal*. 2006 Dec 1;23(12):929-32. DOI: 10.1136/emj.2006.043059
28. Hussain S, Ferguson C. Silver sulphadiazine cream in burns. *Emergency medicine journal*. 2006 Dec 1;23(12):929-32. DOI: 10.1136/emj.2006.043059
29. Galindo-Ferreiro A, Sanchez-Tocino H, Varela-Conde Y, Diez-Montero C, Belani-Raju M, Garcia-Sanz R, Diego-Alonso M, Llorente-Gonzalez I, Pazó-Jaudenes C, Schellini S. Ocular emergencies presenting to an emergency department in Central Spain from 2013 to 2018. *European Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2021 Mar;31(2):748-53. DOI: 10.1177/1120672119896420
30. Wang YP, Wang MC, Chen YC, Leu YS, Lin HC, Lee KS. The effects of Vaseline gauze strip, Merocel, and Nasopore on the formation of synechiae and excessive granulation tissue in the middle meatus and the incidence of major postoperative bleeding after endoscopic sinus surgery. *Journal of the Chinese Medical Association*. 2011 Jan 1;74(1):16-21. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcma.2010.09.001
31. Miller RS, Steward DL, Tami TA, Sillars MJ, Seiden AM, Shete M, Paskowski C, Welge J. The clinical effects of hyaluronic acid ester nasal dressing (Merogel) on intranasal wound healing after functional endoscopic sinus surgery. *Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery*. 2003 Jun;128(6):862-9. DOI: 10.1016/S0194-59980300460-1

32. Kastl KG, Betz CS, Siedek V, Leunig A. Effect of carboxymethylcellulose nasal packing on wound healing after functional endoscopic sinus surgery. *American journal of rhinology & allergy*. 2009 Jan;23(1):80-4. DOI: 10.2500/ajra.2009.23.3267
33. Laub JA. Assessing the servant organization. Development of the servant organizational leadership (SOLA) instrument. 1999.
34. Goh M, Du M, Peng WR, Saw PE, Chen Z. Advancing burn wound treatment: exploring hydrogel as a transdermal drug delivery system. *Drug delivery*. 2024 Dec 31;31(1):230-0945. DOI: 10.1080/10717544.2023.2300945
35. JAIn A, AAudIchyA A, RAthwA A, JAIn P, PAteI P. Collagen Dressing Versus Conventional Vaseline Gauze Dressing in Reducing Pain and Infection at the Donor Area for Skin Grafting: A Prospective Interventional Study Apr.2022(2) 1-16.
36. Geng J, Cai Y, Lu H, Zhang R, Tian J, Zhang J. Moist dressings in the treatment of pressure injuries: A network meta-analysis. *Journal of Tissue Viability*. 2023 May 1;32(2):213-27. DOI: 10.1016/j.jtv.2023.03.003
37. Lanham JS, Nelson NK, Hendren B, Jordan TS. Outpatient burn care: prevention and treatment. *American family physician*. 2020 Apr 15;101(8):463-70. PMID: 3229384
38. Wu Z, Fan L, Xu B, Lin Y, Zhang P, Wei X. Retracted: Use of decellularized scaffolds combined with hyaluronic acid and basic fibroblast growth factor for skin tissue engineering. *Tissue Engineering Part A*. 2015 Jan 1;21(1-2):390-402. DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2013.0260
39. Jouybar A, Seyedjafari E, Ardeshtyrlajimi A, Zandi-Karimi A, Feizi N, Khani MM, Pousti I. Enhanced skin regeneration by herbal extract-coated poly-L-lactic acid nanofibrous scaffold. *Artificial organs*. 2017 Nov;41(11):E296-307. DOI: 10.1111/aor.12926
40. Griswold JA, Cepica T, Rossi L, Wimmer JS, Merrifield HH, Hester C, Sauter T, Baker CR. A comparison of Xeroform and SkinTemp dressings in the healing of skin graft donor sites. *The Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*. 1995 Mar 1;16(2):136-40. DOI: 10.1097/00004630-199503000-00008
41. Klein MB, Moore ML, Costa B, Engrav LH. Primer on the management of face burns at the University of Washington. *The Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*. 2005 Jan 1;26(1):2-6. DOI: 10.1097/01.bcr.0000150213.07348.06
42. Malpass KG, Snelling CF, Tron V. Comparison of donor-site healing under Xeroform and Jelonet dressings: unexpected findings. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2003 Aug 1;112(2):430-9. DOI: 10.1097/01.PRS.0000070408.33700.C7
43. Himel HN, Ratliff CR, Baruch LD, Rodeheaver GT. Pilot study of a unique film dressing for the treatment of donor site wounds. *The Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*. 1998 Jan 1;19(1):62-5. DOI: 10.1097/00004630-199801000-00014
44. Barillo DJ, Barillo AR, Korn S, Lam K, Attar PS. The antimicrobial spectrum of Xeroform®. *Burns*. 2017 Sep 1;43(6):1189-94. DOI: 10.1016/j.burns.2016.10.023
45. Summer GJ, Hansen FL, Costa BA, Engrav LH, Sharar SR. The Unna" cap" as a scalp donor site dressing. *The Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*. 1999 Mar 1;20(2):183-8. PMID: 10188118
46. Connolly JM, Stevenson RS, Railer RF, Clark OE, Whitten KA, Lee-Stubbs RB, Anderson DR. Impairment of wound healing by reactive skin decontamination lotion (RSDL®) in a Göttingen minipig® model. *Cutaneous and Ocular Toxicology*. 2020 Apr 2;39(2):143-57. DOI: 10.1080/15569527.2020.1751183
47. Kempf M, Kimble RM, Cuttle L. Cytotoxicity testing of burn wound dressings, ointments and creams: a method using polycarbonate cell culture inserts on a cell culture system. *Burns*. 2011 Sep 1;37(6):994-1000. DOI: 10.1016/j.burns.2011.03.017
48. Hoogewerf CJ, Hop MJ, Nieuwenhuis MK, Oen IM, Middelkoop E, Van Baar ME. Topical treatment for facial burns. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2020(7) 15-20. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008058.pub3
49. Grossman AJ. A simplified technique for split-thickness skin graft donor-site care. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2004 Feb 1;113(2):796-7. DOI: 10.1097/01.PRS.0000104549.74458.63
50. Kleinbeck KR, Faucher LD, Kao WJ. Biomaterials modulate interleukin-8 and other inflammatory proteins during reepithelialization in cutaneous partial-thickness wounds in pigs. *Wound Repair and Regeneration*. 2010 Sep;18(5):486-98. DOI: 10.1111/j.1524-475X.2010.00609.x
51. Brown M, Coffee T, Adenuga P, Yowler CJ. Outcomes of outpatient management of pediatric burns. *Journal of Burn Care & Research*. 2014 Sep 1;35(5):388-94. DOI: 10.1097/BCR.0000000000000007
52. Kleinbeck KR, Faucher L, Kao WJ. Multifunctional in situ photopolymerized semi-interpenetrating network system is an effective donor site dressing: a cross comparison study in a swine model. *Journal of burn care & research*. 2009 Jan 1;30(1):37-45. DOI: 10.1097/BCR.0b013e3181921f98
53. Faucher LD, Kleinbeck KR, Kao WJ. Multifunctional photopolymerized semiinterpenetrating network (sIPN) system containing bupivacaine and silver sulfadiazine is an effective donor site treatment in a swine model. *Journal of Burn Care & Research*. 2010 Jan 1;31(1):137-45
54. Himel HN, Ratliff CR, Baruch LD, Rodeheaver GT. Pilot study of a unique film dressing for the treatment of donor site wounds. *The Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*. 1998 Jan 1;19(1):62-5 DOI: 10.1097/00004630-199801000-00014
55. O'Dea K. The problems for equipment manufacturers in developing clinical research. *Journal of tissue Viability*. 1994 Jul 1;4(3):79-83.

56. Song EH, Milne C, Hamm T, Mize J, Harris K, Kuplicki S, Smith A, Ferreira LM, Wong A. A novel point-of-care solution to streamline local wound formulary development and promote cost-effective wound care. *Advances in Skin & Wound Care*. 2020 Feb 1;33(2):91-7 DOI: 10.1097/01.ASW.0000617852.54001.46
57. Gray D, Acton C, Chadwick P, Fumarola S, Leaper D, Morris C, Stang D, Vowden K, Vowden P, Young T. Consensus guidance for the use of debridement techniques in the UK. *Wounds UK*; 2010.
58. Winter GD. Formation of the scab and the rate of epithelization of superficial wounds in the skin of the young domestic pig. *Nature*. 1962 Jan 20;193(4812):293-4 PMID: 14007593 DOI: 10.1038/193293a0
59. Fang QQ, Wang XF, Zhao WY, Shi BH, Lou D, Chen CY, Zhang MX, Wang X, Ma L, Tan WQ. Development of a chitosan-vaseline gauze dressing with wound-healing properties in murine models. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2019 Dec 2;102(2):468 DOI: 10.4269/ajtmh.19-0387
60. Sprung J, Krasna MJ, Yun A, Thomas P, Bourke DL. Treatment of a bronchopleural fistula with a Fogarty catheter and oxidized regenerated cellulose (surgicel). *Chest*. 1994 Jun 1;105(6):1879-81 DOI: 10.1378/chest.105.6.1879
61. Travaline JM, McKenna Jr RJ, De Giacomo T, Venuta F, Hazelrigg SR, Boomer M, Criner GJ, Endobronchial Valve for Persistent Air Leak Group. Treatment of persistent pulmonary air leaks using endobronchial valves. *Chest*. 2009 Aug 1;136(2):355-60 DOI: 10.1378/chest.08-2389
62. Sabanathan S, Richardson J. Management of postpneumonectomy bronchopleural fistulae. A review. *The Journal of Cardiovascular Surgery*. 1994 Oct 1;35(5):449-57 PMID: 7995840
63. Nelea MI, Paek L, Dao L, Rouchet N, Efanov JI, Édouard C, Danino MA. In-situ characterization of the bacterial biofilm associated with Xeroform™ and Kaltostat™ dressings and evaluation of their effectiveness on thin skin engraftment donor sites in burn patients. *Burns*. 2019 Aug 1;45(5):1122-30 DOI: 10.1016/j.burns.2019.02.024
64. Esteban-Vives R, Young MT, Ziembicki J, Corcos A, Gerlach JC. Effects of wound dressings on cultured primary keratinocytes. *Burns*. 2016 Feb 1;42(1):81-90 DOI: 10.1016/j.burns.2015.06.016
65. Abir M, Davis MM, Sankar P, Wong AC, Wang SC. Design of a model to predict surge capacity bottlenecks for burn mass casualties at a large academic medical center. *Prehospital and disaster medicine*. 2013 Feb;28(1):23-32 DOI: 10.1017/S1049023X12001513
66. Waltzman JT, Bell DE. Vacuum-assisted closure device as a split-thickness skin graft bolster in the burn population. *Journal of Burn Care & Research*. 2014 Sep 1;35(5):e338-42 DOI: 10.1097/BCR.0000000000000009
67. Yim SK, Lee CH, Kim SH, Kim SW, Seo SY. Vaseline gauze packing for the treatment of acute hemorrhagic rectal ulcer: Two case reports. *Medicine*. 2023 Mar 31;102(13):e33411 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000000033411
68. Zhu QC, Shen RR, Qin HL, Wang Y. Solitary rectal ulcer syndrome: clinical features, pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment strategies. *World Journal of Gastroenterology: WJG*. 2014 Jan 21;20(3):738 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i3.738
69. Holmes CJ, Plichta JK, Gamelli RL, Radek KA. Dynamic role of host stress responses in modulating the cutaneous microbiome: implications for wound healing and infection. *Advances in wound care*. 2015 Jan 1;4(1):24-37 DOI: 10.1089/wound.2014.0546
70. Pereira RF, Bartolo PJ. Traditional therapies for skin wound healing. *Advances in wound care*. 2016 May 1;5(5):208-29 PMID: 27134765 DOI: 10.1089/wound.2013.0506
71. Benbow M, Iosson G. A clinical evaluation of Urgotul to treat acute and chronic wounds. *British journal of nursing*. 2004 Jan 22;13(2):105-9 DOI: 10.12968/bjon.2004.13.2.12042
72. Benbow M. Urgotul™: alternative to conventional non-adherence dressings. *British journal of nursing*. 2002 Jan 17;11(2):135-8 PMID: 11823742 DOI: 10.12968/bjon.2002.11.2.9315
73. Meaume S, Senet P, Dumas R, Carsin H, Pannier M, Bohbot S. Urgotul®: a novel non-adherent lipidocolloid dressing. *British journal of nursing*. 2002 Sep 12;11(Sup3):S42-50 PMID: 12362152 DOI: 10.12968/bjon.2002.11.Sup3.10556
74. Downe A. Use of Urgotul SSD® to reduce bacteria and promote healing in chronic wounds. *British journal of community nursing*. 2013 Mar;18(Sup3):S32-8 PMID: 23682500 DOI: 10.12968/bjcn.2013.18.sup3.s32
75. Eldad A, Icekson M, Zur T, Slosser D, Maly A, Arielli D, Burvin R, Ad-El D, Neuman A. Silver-sulfadiazine eschar pigmentation mimics invasive wound infection: a case report. *The Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*. 2003 May 1;24(3):154-7 DOI: 10.1097/01.BCR.0000069440.78932.70
76. Porter WH, Auansakul A. Gas-chromatographic determination of ethylene glycol in serum. *Clinical Chemistry*. 1982 Jan 1;28(1):75-8
77. Porter WH, Auansakul A. Gas-chromatographic determination of ethylene glycol in serum. *Clinical Chemistry*. 1982 Jan 1;28(1):75-8
78. Liu X, Fan H, Meng Z, Wu Z, Gu R, Zhu X, Gan H, Dou G. Combined silver sulfadiazine nanosuspension with thermosensitive hydrogel: an effective antibacterial treatment for wound healing in an animal model. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*. 2023 Dec 31;679-91 DOI: 10.2147/IJN.S395004
79. Ibrahim M, Ayyoubi HS, Alkhairi LA, Tabbaa H, Elkins I, Narvel R. Fish skin grafts versus alternative wound dressings in wound care: a systematic review of the literature. *Cureus*. 2023 Mar 19;15(3) 22-28 DOI: 10.7759/cureus.36348
80. Lima Júnior EM, De Moraes Filho MO, Costa BA, Rohleder AV, Sales Rocha MB, Fachine FV, Forte AJ, Alves AP, Silva Júnior FR, Martins CB, Mathor MB. Innovative burn treatment using tilapia skin as a xenograft: a phase II randomized controlled trial. *Journal of burn care & research*. 2020 May 2;41(3):585-92 DOI: 10.1093/jbcr/irz205

81. Wasef LG, Shaheen HM, El-Sayed YS, Shalaby TI, Samak DH, Abd El-Hack ME, Al-Owaimer A, Saadeldin IM, El-Mleeh A, Ba-Awad H, Swelum AA. Effects of silver nanoparticles on burn wound healing in a mouse model. *Biological trace element research*. 2020 Feb;193:456-65 DOI: 10.1007/s12011-019-01729-z
82. Uslu NA, Dalkılıç F. Exploring the Transitions of Online Engagement Through Learning Analytics with Markov Modelling with Elementary Education Pre-Service Teachers. *International Journal of Computers in Education*. 2024;7(2):71-82.
83. Jault P, Leclerc T, Jennes S, Pirnay JP, Que YA, Resch G, Rousseau AF, Ravat F, Carsin H, Le Floch R, Schaal JV. Efficacy and tolerability of a cocktail of bacteriophages to treat burn wounds infected by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PhagoBurn): a randomised, controlled, double-blind phase 1/2 trial. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2019 Jan 1;19(1):35-45 DOI: 10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30482-1
84. Badr P, Afsharypour S, Tohidinik HR, Mohammadi AA, Daneshnamou
85. z S. Burn wound healing effect of a sterilized traditional formulation of *Boswellia carteri* vs. silver sulfadiazine cream 1% in patients presenting second-degree burn wounds: a randomized, double-blind clinical trial. *Iranian Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2023 Mar;48(2):137 DOI: 10.30476/IJMS.2022.91853.2307
86. Do TB, Nguyen TN, Ho MH, Nguyen NT, Do TM, Vo DT, Hua HT, Phan TB, Tran PA, Nguyen HT, Vo TV. The efficacy of silver-based electrospun antimicrobial dressing in accelerating the regeneration of partial thickness burn wounds using a porcine model. *Polymers*. 2021 Sep 15;13(18):3116 DOI: 10.3390/polym13183116
87. Patel P, Thanki A, Viradia D, Shah P. Honey-based silver sulfadiazine microsphere-loaded hydrogel: in vitro and in vivo evaluation for burn wound healing. *Current Drug Delivery*. 2023 Jun 1;20(5):608-28 DOI: 10.2174/1567201819666220516092359
88. Yan Z, Li S, Gong Z. Bisacurone gel ameliorated burn wounds in experimental rats via its anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and angiogenic properties. *Acta Cirúrgica Brasileira*. 2023 Aug 21;38:e382-423.
89. Khan S, John JR, Sharma RK. Burn wounds, silver sulphadiazine and interpretations of serum Ag levels. *Burns: journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2021 May;47(3):737-
90. Lagziel T, Asif M, Born L, Quiroga LH, Duraes E, Slavin B, Shetty P, Caffrey J, Hultman CS. Evaluating the efficacy, safety, and tolerance of silver sulfadiazine dressings once daily versus twice daily in the treatment of burn wounds. *Journal of Burn Care & Research*. 2021 Nov 1;42(6):1136-9 DOI: 10.1093/jbcr/irab141
91. Gong YR, Zhang C, Xiang X, Wang ZB, Wang YQ, Su YH, Zhang HQ. Baicalin, silver titanate, Bletilla striata polysaccharide and carboxymethyl chitosan in a porous sponge dressing for burn wound healing. *Journal of Integrative Medicine*. 2023 Sep 1;21(5):487-95 DOI: 10.1016/j.joim.2023.07.002
92. Bernardes MJ, Gonçalves RC, Carvalho CD, Rosa LM, Ferreira AP, Vilela MS, Vinaud MC, Galdino Junior H, Lino Junior RD. Hydrogel-based dressings in the treatment of partial thickness experimentally induced burn wounds in rats. *Acta Cirúrgica Brasileira*. 2022 Jul 1;37:e370-401 DOI: 10.1590/ach370401
93. Karakaya E, Akdur A, Ayvazoglu Soy E, Araz C, Ok Atilgan A, Özturan Özer E, Şençelikel T, Haberal M. Effect of subcutaneous topical ozone therapy on second-degree burn wounds in rats: an experimental study. *Journal of Burn Care & Research*. 2021 Nov 1;42(6):1243-53 DOI: 10.1093/jbcr/irab110
94. Maciel AB, Ortiz JF, Siqueira BS, Zanette GF. Tissue healing efficacy in burn patients treated with 1% silver sulfadiazine versus other treatments: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Anais brasileiros de dermatologia*. 2019 May 9;94:204-10 DOI: 10.1590/abd1806-4841.20198321
95. Júnior EM, de Moraes Filho MO, Costa BA, Fachine FV, Vale ML, de Loyola Diógenes AK, Neves KR, do Nascimento Uchôa AM, do Nascimento Soares MF, de Moraes ME. Nile tilapia fish skin-based wound dressing improves pain and treatment-related costs of superficial partial-thickness burns: a phase III randomized controlled trial. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*. 2021 May 1;147(5):1189-98 DOI: 10.1097/PRS.0000000000007895
96. Ebrahimpour N, Mehrabani M, Iranpour M, Kordestani Z, Mehrabani M, Nematollahi MH, Asadipour A, Raeiszadeh M, Mehrbani M. The efficacy of a traditional medicine preparation on second-degree burn wounds in rats. *Journal of ethnopharmacology*. 2020 Apr 24; 252:112-570 DOI: 10.1016/j.jep.2020.112570
97. Ay S, Ünlü Y. Comparison of Ankaferd Blood Stopper and silver sulfadiazine use in burn wounds: An experimental study. *Turkish Journal of Trauma & Emergency Surgery/Ulusal Travma ve Acil Cerrahi Dergisi*. 2019 Jul 1;25(4): 40-50 DOI: 10.14744/tjtes.2019.38265
98. Mahboub M, Attari AM, Sheikhalipour Z, Attari MM, Davami B, Amidfar A, Lotfi M. A comparative study of the impacts of Aloe vera gel and silver sulfadiazine cream 1% on healing, itching and pain of burn wounds: a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Caring Sciences*. 2021 Oct 23;11(3):132 DOI: 10.1111/ijd.14772
99. Navarro-Triviño FJ, Sierra Cerdán MJ, Ruiz-Villaverde R. Tegaderm TM IV Advanced can prevent eczematous reaction caused by Dexcom glucose monitoring system. *International Journal of Dermatology*. 2020 May 1;59(5) : 15-1 DOI: 10.1111/ijd.14772
100. Herman A, Aerts O, Baeck M, Bruze M, De Block C, Goossens A, Hamnerius N, Huygens S, Maiter D, Tennstedt D, Vandeleene B. Allergic contact dermatitis caused by isobornyl acrylate in F reestyle® L ibre, a newly introduced glucose sensor. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2017 Dec;77(6):367-73 DOI: 10.1111/cod.12866

101. Chua AW, Ma DR, Song IC, Phan TT, Lee ST, Song C. In vitro evaluation of fibrin mat and Tegaderm™ wound dressing for the delivery of keratinocytes—Implications of their use to treat burns. *Burns*. 2008 Mar 1;34(2):175-80 DOI: 10.1016/j.burns.2007.07.009
102. Oppel E, Kamann S, Reichl FX, Högg C. The Dexcom glucose monitoring system—An isobornyl acrylate-free alternative for diabetic patients. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2019 Jul;81(1):32-6 DOI: 10.1111/cod.13248
103. Cho YS, Choi YH. Comparison of three cooling methods for burn patients: a randomized clinical trial. *Burns*. 2017 May 1;43(3):502-8 DOI: 10.1016/j.burns.2016.09.010
104. Storan ER, Nolan U, Kirby B. Allergic contact dermatitis caused by the tea tree oil-containing hydrogel Burnshield®. *Contact Dermatitis* (01051873). 2016 May 1;74(5): 20-14 DOI: 10.1111/cod.12531
105. Allorto NL. Primary management of burn injuries: Balancing best practice with pragmatism. *South African Family Practice*. 2020 Jan 1;62(1):1-4 DOI: 10.4102/safp.v62i1.5202
106. Osti E. Skin pH variations from the acute phase to re-epithelialization in burn patients treated with new materials (burnshield®, semipermeable adhesive film, dermasilk®, and hyalomatrix®). Non-invasive preliminary experimental clinical trial. *Annals of burns and fire disasters*. 2008 Jun 30;21(2):73 PMID: PMC3188158
107. Adams R, Koster RW. Burning issues: early cooling of the brain after resuscitation using burn dressings. A proof of concept observation. *Resuscitation*. 2008 Aug 1;78(2):146-50 DOI: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2008.03.005
108. Suffoletto BP, Salcido DD, Menegazzi JJ. Use of prehospital-induced hypothermia after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a survey of the National Association of Emergency Medical Services Physicians. *Prehospital Emergency Care*. 2008 Jan 1;12(1):52-6 DOI: 10.1080/10903120701707880
109. Hayati F, Ghamsari SM, Dehghan MM, Oryan A. Effects of carbomer 940 hydrogel on burn wounds: an in vitro and in vivo study. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment*. 2018 Aug 18;29(6):593-9 DOI: 10.1080/09546634.2018.1426823
110. Oryan A, Alemzadeh E, Mohammadi AA. Application of honey as a protective material in maintaining the viability of adipose stem cells in burn wound healing: A histological, molecular and biochemical study. *Tissue and Cell*. 2019 Dec 1;61:89-97 DOI: 10.1016/j.tice.2019.09.007
111. Lan J, Shi L, Xiao W, Zhang X, Wang S. A rapid self-pumping Organohydrogel dressing with Hydrophilic Fractal Microchannels to promote burn wound healing. *Advanced Materials*. 2023 Sep;35(38):2301765 DOI: 10.1002/adma.202301765
112. Huang Y, Bai L, Yang Y, Yin Z, Guo B. Biodegradable gelatin/silver nanoparticle composite cryogel with excellent antibacterial and antibiofilm activity and hemostasis for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-infected burn wound healing. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 2022 Feb 15;608:2278-89 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcis.2021.10.131
113. Yao W, Song Z, Ma X, Huang Y, Zhang X, Li Y, Wei P, Zhang J, Xiong C, Yang S, Xu Y. Asymmetric adhesive SIS-based wound dressings for therapeutically targeting wound repair. *Journal of Nanobiotechnology*. 2024 Jan 19;22(1):34 DOI: 10.1186/s12951-024-02294-x
114. Uslu NA, Dalkılıç F. Exploring the Transitions of Online Engagement Through Learning Analytics with Markov Modelling with Elementary Education Pre-Service Teachers. *International Journal of Computers in Education*. 2024;7(2):71-82.
115. Rittenhouse BA, Rizzo JA, Shields BA, Rowan MP, Aden JK, Salinas J, Fenrich CA, Shingleton SK, Serio-Melvin M, Burmeister DM, Cancio LC. Predicting wound healing rates and survival with the use of automated serial evaluations of burn wounds. *Burns*. 2019 Feb 1;45(1):48-53 DOI: 10.1016/j.burns.2018.10.018
116. Shields BA, Nakakura AM. Nutrition considerations for burn patients: optimizing Recovery and Healing. *European Burn Journal*. 2023 Oct 13;4(4):537-47 DOI: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2022.112382
117. Huang L, Yang S, Bai M, Lin Y, Chen X, Li G, Cui LG, Wang X. Thermal shielding performance of self-healing hydrogel in tumor thermal ablation. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*. 2022 May 1; 213:112-382 DOI: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2022.112382
118. Wang M, Wang C, Chen M, Xi Y, Cheng W, Mao C, Xu T, Zhang X, Lin C, Gao W, Guo Y. Efficient angiogenesis-based diabetic wound healing/skin reconstruction through bioactive antibacterial adhesive ultraviolet shielding nanodressing with exosome release. *ACS nano*. 2019 Sep 4;13(9):10279-93 DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.9b03656
119. Zhao Y, Zhao Y, Chu Y, Chang Q. Facile synthesis of hydroxypropyl chitosan-egg white hydrogel dressing with antibacterial and antioxidative activities for accelerating the healing of burn wounds. *Journal of Materials Chemistry B*. 2023;11(19):4330-45 DOI: 10.1039/d3tb00210a
120. Galindo-Ferreiro A, Sanchez-Tocino H, Varela-Conde Y, Diez-Montero C, Belani-Raju M, García-Sanz R, Diego-Alonso M, Llorente-Gonzalez I, Pazó-Jaudenes C, Schellini S. Ocular emergencies presenting to an emergency department in Central Spain from 2013 to 2018. *European Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2021 Mar;31(2):748-53 DOI: 10.1177/1120672119896420
121. Liechty KL, Shields K, Ogden E, Eshraghi N, Dedeo M, Bishop P. A multimodal pain management strategy for burn patients. *Pain Management Nursing*. 2023 Feb 1;24(1):44-51 DOI: 10.1016/j.pmn.2022.09.006
122. Kemp K, Duncan J, Mason I, Younge L, Dibley L. Scoping review with textual narrative synthesis of the literature reporting stress and burn-out in specialist nurses: making the case for inflammatory bowel disease nurse specialists. *BMJ Open Gastroenterology*. 2022 Apr 1;9(1):e000852 DOI: 10.1136/bmjgast-2021-000852

123. Silver S. Bacterial silver resistance: molecular biology and uses and misuses of silver compounds. *FEMS microbiology reviews*. 2003 Jun 1;27(2-3):341-53 DOI: 10.1016/S0168-6445(03)00047-0
124. Kaita Y, Otsu A, Tanaka Y, Yoshikawa K, Matsuda T, Yamaguchi Y. Epidemiology of bloodstream infections and surface swab cultures in burn patients. *Acute Medicine & Surgery*. 2022 Jan;9(1):e752 DOI: 10.1002/ams2.752
125. Weaver Jr AJ, Brandenburg KS, Sanjar F, Wells AR, Peacock TJ, Leung KP. Clinical utility of PNA-FISH for burn wound diagnostics: a noninvasive, culture-independent technique for rapid identification of pathogenic organisms in burn wounds. *Journal of Burn Care & Research*. 2019 Jun 21;40(4):464-70 DOI: 10.1093/jbcr/irz047
126. Thet NT, Mercer-Chalmers J, Greenwood RJ, Young AE, Coy K, Booth S, Sack A, Jenkins AT. SPaCE swab: point-of-care sensor for simple and rapid detection of acute wound infection. *ACS sensors*. 2020 Jul 31;5(8):2652-7 PMID: 32786390 DOI: 10.1021/acssensors.0c01265
127. Enkhuvshin S, Odkhuu E, Batchuluun K, Chimeddorj B, Yadamsuren E, Lkhagvasuren N. Children's post-burn scars in Mongolia. *International wound journal*. 2023 Aug;20(6):2082-6 DOI: 10.1111/iwj.14077
128. Ujjwal RR, Yadav A, Tripathi S, Krishna SS. Polymer-based nanotherapeutics for burn wounds. *Current Pharmaceutical Biotechnology*. 2022 Oct 1;23(12):1460-82 DOI: 10.2174/1389201022666210927103755
129. Gholipour-Kanani A, Mohsenzadegan M, Fayyazi M, Bahrami H, Samadikuchaksaraei A. Poly (ϵ -caprolactone)-chitosan-poly (vinyl alcohol) nanofibrous scaffolds for skin excisional and burn wounds in a canine model. *IET nanobiotechnology*. 2018 Aug;12(5):619-25 DOI: 10.1049/iet-nbt.2017.0115
130. Cui FM, Wu ZJ, Zhao R, Chen Q, Liu ZY, Zhao Y, Yan HB, Shen GL, Tu Y, Zhou DH, Diwu J. Development and Characterization of a Novel Hydrogel for the Decontaminating of Radionuclide-Contaminated Skin Wounds. *Macromolecular Bioscience*. 2021 May;21(5):2000399 DOI: 10.1002/mabi.202000399
131. Ramakrishnan R, Venkiteswaran K, Sreelatha HV, Lekshman A, Arumugham S, KalliyanaKrishnan L. Assembly of skin substitute by cross-linking natural biomaterials on synthetic biodegradable porous mat for critical-size full-thickness burn wound regeneration. *Biomedical Materials*. 2022 Feb 28;17(2):025-016 DOI: 10.1088/1748-605X/ac5573
132. Quiterio M, Simões S, Ascenso A, Carvalheiro M, Leandro AP, Correia I, Viana AS, Faisca P, Ascensao L, Molpeceres J, Gaspar MM. Development of a topical insulin polymeric nanoformulation for skin burn regeneration: an experimental approach. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2021 Apr 15;22(8):4087 DOI: 10.3390/ijms22084087
133. Schiefer JL, Aretz FG, Fuchs PC, Lefering R, Yary P, Opländer C, Schulz A, Daniels M. Comparison of Long-Term Skin Quality and Scar Formation in Partial-Thickness Burn Wounds Treated with Suprathel® and epicitehydro® Wound Dressings. *Medicina*. 2022 Oct 28;58(11):1550 DOI: 10.3390/medicina58111550
134. Alapure BV, Lu Y, He M, Chu CC, Peng H, Muhale F, Brewerton YL, Bunnell B, Hong S. Accelerate healing of severe burn wounds by mouse bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell-seeded biodegradable hydrogel scaffold synthesized from arginine-based poly (ester amide) and chitosan. *Stem Cells and Development*. 2018 Dec 1;27(23):1605-20 DOI: 10.1089/scd.2018.0106
135. Qiu L, Wang C, Lan M, Guo Q, Du X, Zhou S, Cui P, Hong T, Jiang P, Wang J, Xia J. Antibacterial photodynamic gold nanoparticles for skin infection. *ACS Applied Bio Materials*. 2021 Mar 19;4(4):3124-32 PMID: 35014400 DOI: 10.1021/acsbm.0c01505
136. Wei LG, Chang HI, Wang Y, Hsu SH, Dai LG, Fu KY, Dai NT. A gelatin/collagen/polycaprolactone scaffold for skin regeneration. *PeerJ*. 2019 Feb 1;7:e63-58 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.6358
137. Nangia A, Hung CT. Preclinical evaluation of skin substitutes. *Burns*. 1990 Oct 1;16(5):358-67 DOI: 10.1016/0305-4179(90)90009-I
138. Klucinec B, Scheidler M, Denegar C, Domholdt E, Burgess S. Effectiveness of wound care products in the transmission of acoustic energy. *Physical Therapy*. 2000 May 1;80(5):469-76
139. Hien NT, Praver SE, Katz HI. Facilitated wound healing using transparent film dressing following Mohs micrographic surgery. *Archives of Dermatology*. 1988 Jun 1;124(6):903-6
140. Garland JS, Alex CP, Mueller CD, Otten D, Shivpuri C, Harris MC, Naples M, Pellegrini J, Buck RK, McAuliffe TL, Goldmann DA. A randomized trial comparing povidone-iodine to a chlorhexidine gluconate-impregnated dressing for prevention of central venous catheter infections in neonates. *Pediatrics*. 2001 Jun 1;107(6):1431-6 DOI: 10.1542/peds.107.6.1431
141. Claus EE, Fusco CF, Ingram T, Ingersoll CD, Edwards JE, Melham TJ. Comparison of the effects of selected dressings on the healing of standardized abrasions. *Journal of Athletic Training*. 1998 Apr;33(2):145
142. Skrobisz P, Mikołajczyk A, Fryc D, Bilski P. Use of polyurethane Biocclusive foil from Johnson and Johnson, as a dressing after surgery for hypospadias in children. *Wiadomości Lekarskie (Warsaw, Poland: 1960)*. 1998 Jan 1; 51:120-3
143. Gates JL, Holloway GA. A comparison of wound environments. *Ostomy/wound management*. 1992 Oct 1;38(8):34-7
144. Chen C, Diven DG, Lockhart S, Bell B. Laser transmission through transparent membranes used in cutaneous laser treatment. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2001 Dec 1;45(6):919-23 DOI: 10.1067/mjd.2001.116221
145. Nakagawa H, Fujiwara A, Omori M, Hosokawa T. Bathing a patient with cancer pain treated with continuous epidural blockade-subcutaneous catheter track and Lapack method. *Gan to Kagaku ryoho. Cancer & Chemotherapy*. 1994 Dec 1;21:501-4

146. Kondo S, Niiyama H, Yu A, Kuroyanagi Y. Evaluation of a wound dressing composed of hyaluronic acid and collagen sponge containing epidermal growth factor in diabetic mice. *Journal of Biomaterials Science, Polymer Edition*. 2012 Sep 1;23(13):1729-40 DOI: 10.1163/092050611X597799
147. Hoffmann KK, Western SA, Kaiser DL, Wenzel RP, Groschel DH. Bacterial colonization and phlebitis-associated risk with transparent polyurethane film for peripheral intravenous site dressings. *American Journal of Infection Control*. 1988 Jun 1;16(3):101-6 DOI: 10.1016/0196-6553(88)90046-6
148. Nangia A, Hung CT. Laboratory evaluation of a new hydrogel-type skin substitute. *Burns*. 1990 Oct 1;16(5):368-72 DOI: 10.1016/0305-4179(90)90010-t
149. Liu PP, Si RJ, Yang X, Zhang ZR, Han J, Wang AM, Zhang J. Tazarotene gel promotes healing of deep tissue injury in mice. *Biotechnic & Histochemistry*. 2022 Feb 17;97(2):99-106 DOI: 10.1080/10520295.2021.1905181
150. Guan Y, Sun F, Zhang X, Peng Z, Jiang B, Liang M, Wang Y. Silk fibroin hydrogel promote burn wound healing through regulating TLN1 expression and affecting cell adhesion and migration. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*. 2020 May;31:1-1 DOI: 10.1007/s10856-020-06384-8
151. Wang C, Chen J, Yue X, Xia X, Zhou Z, Wang G, Zhang X, Hu P, Huang Y, Pan X, Wu C. Improving Water-Absorption and Mechanical Strength: Lyotropic Liquid Crystalline-Based Spray Dressings as a Candidate Wound Management System. *AAPS PharmSciTech*. 2022 Feb 1;23(2):68 DOI: 10.1208/s12249-021-02205-5
152. Cazander G, van de Veerdonk MC, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM, Schreurs MW, Jukema GN. Maggot excretions inhibit biofilm formation on biomaterials. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research®*. 2010 Oct;468:27 89-96 DOI: 10.1007/s11999-010-1309-5
153. Strohal R, Dissemmond J, Jordan O'Brien J, Piaggese A, Rimdeika R, Young T, Apelqvist J. EWMA Document: Debridement: An updated overview and clarification of the principle role of debridement. *Journal of wound care*. 2013 Feb 1;22 :149 DOI: 10.12968/jowc.2013.22.Sup1.S1
154. Atriyeh BS, Costagliola M, Hayek SN, Dibo SA. Effect of silver on burn wound infection control and healing: review of the literature. *burns*. 2007 Mar 1;33(2):139-48 DOI: 10.1016/j.burns.2006.06.010
155. Tonnesen MG, Feng X, Clark RA. Angiogenesis in wound healing. In *Journal of investigative dermatology symposium proceedings 2000* Dec 1 ;5 :140-46 DOI: 10.1046/j.1087-0024.2000.00014.x
156. Yang J, Chen Z, Pan D, Li H, Shen J. Umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes combined pluronic F127 hydrogel promote chronic diabetic wound healing and complete skin regeneration. *International journal of nanomedicine*. 2020 Aug 11:5911-26 DOI: 10.2147/IJN.S249129
157. Kumari SD, Chevala NT, Jitta SR, Kumar L, Verma R, Jose J. Design and development of naringin-loaded proposomal gel for wound healing. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*. 2022 Oct;21(10):5187-202 DOI: 10.1111/jocd.15029
158. Ge B, Wang H, Li J, Liu H, Yin Y, Zhang N, Qin S. Comprehensive assessment of Nile tilapia skin (*Oreochromis niloticus*) collagen hydrogels for wound dressings. *Marine drugs*. 2020 Mar 25;18(4):178 DOI: 10.3390/md18040178
159. Lu Z, Bingquan H, Jun T, Fei G. Effectiveness of concentrated growth factor and laser therapy on wound healing, inferior alveolar nerve injury and periodontal bone defects post-mandibular impacted wisdom tooth extraction: A randomized clinical trial. *International wound journal*. 2024 Jan;21(1):e14651 DOI: 10.1111/iwj.14651
160. Effatparvar MR, Jamshidi N, Mosavar A. Appraising efficiency of OpSite as coolant in drilling of bone. *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research*. 2020 Dec;15:1-5.
161. Beaumont A, McSorley L, Matthews M, Moonesawmy K, Little L, Forss JR. Does the application of Opsite® Flexigrid® occlude the oxygen saturation readings in healthy individuals using the moorVMS-OXY machine?. *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research*. 2020 Dec;13:1-8 DOI: 10.1186/s13047-020-00391-2
162. Pickles S, McAllister E, McCullagh G, Nieroba TJ. Quality improvement evaluation of postoperative wound dressings in orthopaedic patients. *International Journal of Orthopaedic and Trauma Nursing*. 2022 May 1;45:100-922 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijotn.2022.100922
163. Anastasiu M, Şurlin V, Beuran M. The management of the open abdomen-a literature review. *Chirurgia*. 2021 Dec 1;116(6):645-56 PMID: 19089494 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-008-9867-3
164. Boele van Hensbroek P, Wind J, Dijkgraaf MG, Busch OR, Carel Goslings J. Temporary closure of the open abdomen: a systematic review on delayed primary fascial closure in patients with an open abdomen. *World journal of surgery*. 2009 Feb;33:199-207 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-008-9867-3
165. Powell C, Regan C, Fabri PJ, Ruberg RL. Evaluation of Opsite catheter dressings for parenteral nutrition: a prospective, randomized study. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*. 1982 Jan;6(1):43-6 DOI: 10.1177/014860718200600143
166. Fear M. OpSite Plus in the management of exuding wounds. *British Journal of Community Nursing*. 2001 Aug;6(8):421-5 PMID: 11865210 DOI: 10.12968/bjcn.2001.6.8.7059
167. Fear M. Opsite Plus™ in the management of low to moderate exuding wounds. *British Journal of Nursing*. 2001 Jul 26;10(14):949-54 DOI: 10.12968/bjon.2001.10.14.5283
168. Beschastnov VV, Shirokova IY, Belyanina NA, Pogodin IE, Tulupov AA, Tochilina AG, Belova IV, Tyumenkov Yu O, Kovalishena OV, Soloveva IV. Evaluation of the Feasibility of Using Commercial Wound Coatings as a Carrier Matrix for Bacteriophages. *Современные технологии в медицине*. 2024;16(1 (eng)):45-52 DOI: 10.17691/stm2024.16.1.05

169. Dijksteel GS, Ulrich MM, Vlig M, Nibbering PH, Cordfunke RA, Drijfhout JW, Middelkoop E, Boekema BK. Potential factors contributing to the poor antimicrobial efficacy of SAAP-148 in a rat wound infection model. *Annals of clinical microbiology and antimicrobials*. 2019 Dec;18:1-2 DOI: 10.1186/s12941-019-0336-7
170. Zohdi RM, Zakaria ZA, Yusof N, Mustapha NM, Abdullah MN. Sea cucumber (*Stichopus hermanii*) based hydrogel to treat burn wounds in rats. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*. 2011 Jul;98(1):30-7 DOI: 10.1002/jbm.b.31828
171. O'Brien G, Buckley K, Vanwalleghe G, Vanrenterghem D, Dharma H, Winter RL, Douglass J. A multi-centre, prospective, clinical in-market evaluation to assess the performance of Opsite™ Post-Op Visible dressings. *International wound journal*. 2010 Oct;7(5):329-37 DOI: 10.1111/j.1742-481X.2010.00689.x
172. Hewlett AL, Hohenberger H, Murphy CN, Helget L, Hausmann H, Lyden E, Fey PD, Hicks R. Evaluation of the bacterial burden of gel nails, standard nail polish, and natural nails on the hands of health care workers. *American journal of infection control*. 2018 Dec 1;46(12):1356-9 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajic.2018.05.022
173. Hekmatpou D, Mehrabi F, Rahzani K, Aminiyan A. The effect of aloe vera clinical trials on prevention and healing of skin wound: a systematic review. *Iranian journal of medical sciences*. 2019 Jan;44(1):1
174. Varaei S, Ardabili FM, Irani PS, Ranjbar H. The effect of Aloe vera gel and nitrofurazone on dressing related pain of superficial burn wounds. *World journal of plastic surgery*. 2017 May;6(2):254
175. Liu X, Guo Z, Wang J, Shen W, Jia Z, Jia S, Li L, Wang J, Wang L, Li J, Sun Y. Thiolation-Based Protein-Protein Hydrogels for Improved Wound Healing. *Advanced Healthcare Materials*. 2024 Jun;13(14):2303824 DOI: 10.1002/adhm.202303824
176. Werthman E, Lynch T, Ware L, Caffrey J. Evaluating pressure redistribution surfaces for the occiput. *Journal of Wound Care*. 2019 Sep 1;28(Sup9):S38-41 DOI: 10.12968/jowc.2019.28.Sup9.S38
177. Zeplin PH. Reduction of burn scar formation by halofuginone-eluting silicone gel sheets: a controlled study on nude mice. *Annals of Plastic Surgery*. 2012 Mar 1;68(3):271-5 DOI: 10.1097/SAP.0b013e31824b3e06
178. Williams C. Cica-Care: adhesive gel sheet. *British Journal of Nursing*. 1996 Jul 25;5(14):875-6 DOI: 10.12968/bjon.1996.5.14.875
179. Skardal A, Mack D, Kapetanovic E, Atala A, Jackson JD, Yoo J, Soker S. Bioprinted amniotic fluid-derived stem cells accelerate healing of large skin wounds. *Stem cells translational medicine*. 2012 Nov 1;1(11):792-802 DOI: 10.5966/sctm.2012-0088
180. Chen CY, Yin H, Chen X, Chen TH, Liu HM, Rao SS, Tan YJ, Qian YX, Liu YW, Hu XK, Luo MJ. Ångström-scale silver particle-embedded carbomer gel promotes wound healing by inhibiting bacterial colonization and inflammation. *Science advances*. 2020 Oct 23;6(43):eaba0942 DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aba0942
181. Stout EI, McKessor A. Glycerin-based hydrogel for infection control. *Advances in wound care*. 2012 Feb 1;1(1):48-51 DOI: 10.1089/wound.2011.0288
182. Kudinov VA, Artyushev RI, Zurina IM, Lapshin RD, Snopova LB, Mukhina IV, Grinakovskaya OS, Saburina IN. Antimicrobial and regenerative effects of placental multipotent mesenchymal stromal cell secretome-based chitosan gel on infected burns in rats. *Pharmaceuticals*. 2021 Dec 4;14(12):1263 DOI: 10.3390/ph14121263
183. Henry N, Jeffery S, Radotra I. Properties and use of a honey dressing and gel in wound management. *British journal of nursing*. 2019 Mar 28;28(6):S30-5 DOI: 10.12968/bjon.2019.28.6.S30
184. Alka, Verma A, Mishra N, Singh N, Singh P, Nisha R, Pal RR, Saraf SA. Polymeric gel scaffolds and biomimetic environments for wound healing. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*. 2023 Nov 1;29(40):3221-39 DOI: 10.2174/1381612829666230816100631
185. Givol O, Kornhaber R, Visentin D, Cleary M, Haik J, Harats M. A systematic review of *Calendula officinalis* extract for wound healing. *Wound repair and regeneration*. 2019 Sep;27(5):548-61 DOI: 10.1111/wrr.12737
186. Huang C, Dong L, Zhao B, Lu Y, Huang S, Yuan Z, Luo G, Xu Y, Qian W. Anti-inflammatory hydrogel dressings and skin wound healing. *Clinical and Translational Medicine*. 2022 Nov;12(11):e1094 DOI: 10.1002/ctm2.1094
187. Zhang A, Liu Y, Qin D, Sun M, Wang T, Chen X. Research status of self-healing hydrogel for wound management: A review. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*. 2020 Dec 1;164:2108-23 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.08.109
188. Liang Y, He J, Guo B. Functional hydrogels as wound dressing to enhance wound healing. *ACS nano*. 2021 Aug 10;15(8):12687-722 DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.1c04206
189. Yuan N, Shao K, Huang S, Chen C. Chitosan, alginate, hyaluronic acid and other novel multifunctional hydrogel dressings for wound healing: A review. *International journal of biological macromolecules*. 2023 Jun 15;240:124-321 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.124321
190. Ingram JR, Bates J, Cannings-John R, Collier F, Evans J, Gibbons A, Harris C, Howells L, Hood K, Howes R, Leighton P. Treatment of Hidradenitis Suppurativa Evaluation Study (THESEUS): a prospective cohort study. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 2024 Mar;190(3):382-91 DOI: 10.1093/bjd/ljad388
191. Charlton OA, Harris V, Phan K, Mewton E, Jackson C, Cooper A. Toxic epidermal necrolysis and Steven-Johnson syndrome: a comprehensive review. *Advances in wound care*. 2020 Jul 1;9(7):426-39 DOI: 10.1089/wound.2019.0977

192. Greenhalgh DG, Cartotto R, Taylor SL, Fine JR, Lewis GM, Smith Jr DJ, Marano MA, Gibson A, Wibbenmeyer LA, Holmes JH, Rizzo JA. Burn resuscitation practices in North America: results of the acute burn resuscitation multicenter prospective trial (ABRUPT). *Annals of surgery*. 2023 Mar 1;277(3):512-9 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000005166
193. Thomas EJ. The future of measuring patient safety: prospective clinical surveillance. *BMJ Quality & Safety*. 2015 Apr 1;24(4):244-5 DOI: 10.1136/bmjqs-2015-004078
194. Lipman RD. 082 Odor-Absorbing Hydrocolloid Dressings for Direct Wound Contact. *Wound Repair and Regeneration*. 2005 Mar;13(2):A4-27.
195. Argirova M, Hadjiski O, Victorova A. Acticoat versus Allevyn as a split-thickness skin graft donor-site dressing: a prospective comparative study. *Annals of plastic surgery*. 2007 Oct 1;59(4):415-22 DOI: 10.1097/SAP.0b013e3180312705
196. Agren M. The cytocompatibility of hydrocolloid dressings. *Journal of wound care*. 1997 Jun 2;6(6):272-4 DOI: 10.12968/jowc.1997.6.6.272
197. Marotz J, Schulz T, Seider S, Cruz D, Aljowder A, Promny D, Daeschlein G, Wild T, Siemers F. 3D-perfusion analysis of burn wounds using hyperspectral imaging. *Burns*. 2021 Feb 1;47(1):157-70 DOI: 10.1016/j.burns.2020.06.001
198. Cuervo FM, Soriano JV, López JR, Gómez TS. Care for ulcers having diverse etiology prospective study on PermaFoam. *Revista de Enfermeria (Barcelona, Spain)*. 2009 Sep 1;32(9):21-6
199. Yan MD, Hong CC, Lai GM, Cheng AL, Lin YW, Chuang SE. Identification and characterization of a novel gene Saf transcribed from the opposite strand of Fas. *Human molecular genetics*. 2005 Jun 1;14(11):1465-74 PMID: 15829500 DOI: 10.1093/hmg/ddi156
200. Aran D, Hu Z, Butte AJ. xCell: digitally portraying the tissue cellular heterogeneity landscape. *Genome biology*. 2017 Dec;18:14 DOI: 10.1186/s13059-017-1349-1
201. Singh HP, Sathish G, Babu KN, Vinod KS, Rao HP. Comparative study to evaluate the effectiveness of aloe vera and metronidazole in adjunct to scaling and root planing in periodontitis patients. *Journal of International Oral Health*. 2016 Mar 1;8(3):374-7.
202. Porstmann B, Porstmann T, Nügel E. Comparison of chromogens for the determination of horseradish peroxidase as a marker in enzyme immunoassay 1981 Jan 8 ; 6-1 DOI: 10.1515/ccbm.1981.19.7.435
203. Foster AV, Eaton C, McConville DO, Edmonds ME. Application of OpSite film: a new and effective treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetic Medicine*. 1994 Oct;11(8):768-72 DOI: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.1994.tb00351.x
204. Singleton J, VERNON T, SHAW S. Coloplast Wound Care Partnership Programme: a pilot study. *Journal Articles*. 2023 Sep 1;19(03).
205. Garland JS, Alex CP, Mueller CD, Otten D, Shivpuri C, Harris MC, Naples M, Pellegrini J, Buck RK, McAuliffe TL, Goldmann DA. A randomized trial comparing povidone-iodine to a chlorhexidine gluconate-impregnated dressing for prevention of central venous catheter infections in neonates. *Pediatrics*. 2001 Jun 1;107(6):1431-6 DOI: 10.1542/peds.107.6.1431
206. Francesco S. The use of the "stem graft" in chronic wounds: in vitro analysis, clinical and comparative study . 2020 July 9 ; 57-1.
207. Jones VJ. The use of gauze: will it ever change?. *International wound journal*. 2006 Jul;3(2):79-88 DOI: 10.1111/j.1742-4801.2006.00215.x
208. Bigoteau M, Massot M, Baudet JM, Pasco J, Pichard T, Slim M, Bourges JL, Pisella PJ, Khanna RK. Epidemiology of ocular emergencies in a non-university hospital: Clinical characteristics and severity grading according to the Base Score. *Journal Francais D'ophtalmologie*. 2021 May 5;44(6):813-21 DOI: 10.1016/j.jfo.2020.08.036
209. Palfreyman S, Nelson EA, Michaels JA. Dressings for venous leg ulcers: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bmj*. 2007 Aug 2;335(7613):244 PM DOI: 10.1136/bmj.39248.634977.AE
210. McKenna SR, Latenser BA, Jones LM, Barrette RR, Sherman HF, Varcelotti JR. Serious silver sulphadiazine and mafenide acetate dermatitis. *Burns*. 1995 Jun 1;21(4):310-2 DOI: 10.1016/0305-4179(94)00023-q
211. Muangman P, Muangman S, Opananon S, Keorochana K, Chuntrasakul C. Benefit of hydrocolloid SSD dressing in the outpatient management of partial thickness burns. *Medical journal of the Medical Association of Thailand*. 2009 Oct 1;92(10):1300
212. Nigam A. Mastoidectomy packs: Xeroform or BIPP?. *The Journal of Laryngology & Otology*. 1992 Apr;106(4):387-8 DOI: 10.1017/s0022215100119619
213. Fang Y, Wang L, Fang R. Using medical Vaseline gauze to alleviate the pressure injury caused by a mask and hat. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2022 Sep 1;87(3):e93-4 DOI: 10.1016/j.jaad.2020.08.072
214. Williams C. 3M Tegaserb Thin: A hydrocolloid dressing for chronic wounds. *British Journal of Nursing*. 2000 Jun 8;9(11):720-3 DOI: 10.12968/bjon.2000.9.11.6263
215. Lipman RD, van Bavel D. Odor absorbing hydrocolloid dressings for direct wound contact. *Wounds*. 2007 May 1;19(5):138 .
216. Leek MD, Barlow YM. Tissue reactions induced by hydrocolloid wound dressings. *Journal of anatomy*. 1992 Jun;180(Pt 3):545 .
217. Baxter H. A comparison of two hydrocolloid sheet dressings. *British Journal of Community Nursing*. 2000 Nov;5(11):572-7 PMID: 12066057 DOI: 10.12968/bjcn.2000.5.11.7127.

218. Banks V, Hagelstein S, Thomas N, Bale S, Harding KG. Comparing hydrocolloid dressings in management of exuding wounds. *British Journal of Nursing*. 1999 May 27;8(10):640-6 DOI: 10.12968/bjon.1999.8.10.6600.
219. O'Donnell Jr TF, Lau J. A systematic review of randomized controlled trials of wound dressings for chronic venous ulcer. *Journal of vascular surgery*. 2006 Nov 1;44(5):1118-25 DOI: 10.1016/j.jvs.2006.08.004 .
220. Weng MH. The effect of protective treatment in reducing pressure ulcers for non-invasive ventilation patients. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*. 2008 Oct 1;24(5):295-9 DOI: 10.1016/j.iccn.2007.11.005
221. Williams C. 3M Tegaserb Thin: A hydrocolloid dressing for chronic wounds. *British Journal of Nursing*. 2000 Jun 8;9(11):720-3 DOI: 10.12968/bjon.2000.9.11.6263
222. Williams C. Tegaserb hydrocolloid dressing: advanced formulation. *British Journal of Nursing*. 1996 Nov 14;5(20):1271-2 DOI: 10.12968/bjon.1996.5.20.1271
223. Young S. Does Allevyn foam's management system improve wound healing?. *British Journal of Community Nursing*. 2007 Jun;12(Sup3):S31-4 DOI: 10.12968/bjcn.2007.12.Sup3.23785
224. Amione P, Ricci E, Topo F, Izzo L, Pirovano R, Rega V, Cocci C, Masina M. Comparison of allevyn adhesive and biatain adhesive in the management of pressure ulcers. *Journal of wound care*. 2005 Sep;14(8):365-70 DOI: 10.12968/jowc.2005.14.8.26819
225. Watson HH owe. Allevyn Life app. *Nurs Stand*. 2014;29(9) :15-25 PMID: 25351082 DOI: 10.7748/ns.29.9.31.s37Rahman-Synthia SS, Kumar S, Boparai S, Gupta S, Mohtashim A, Ali D. Prophylactic use of silicone dressing to minimize pressure injuries: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Enfermeria Clinica (English Edition)*. 2023 Jan 1;33(1):4-13 DOI: 10.1016/j.enfcl.2022.05.002
226. Williams C, Young T. Allevyn adhesive. *British Journal of Nursing*. 1996 Jun 13;5(11):691-3 DOI: 10.12968/bjon.1996.5.11.691
227. Jeong CS, Kwak K, Hur J, Kym D. A pilot study to compare the efficacy and safety of Betafoam® and Allevyn® Ag in the management of acute partial thickness burns. *Burns Open*. 2019 Jan 1;3(1):1-7.
228. Goodhead A. Clinical efficacy of Comfeel plus transparent dressing. *British journal of nursing*. 2002 Feb 28;11(4):284-7 DOI: 10.12968/bjon.2002.11.4.10082
229. Koo FP, Piletta-Zanin P, Politta-Sanchez S, Milingou M, Saurat JH. Allergic contact dermatitis to carboxymethylcellulose in Comfeel® hydrocolloid dressing. *Contact Dermatitis* (01051873). 2008 Jun 1;58(6) : 223-228 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0536.2007.01304.x
230. Grange-Prunier A, Couilliet D, Grange F, Guillaume JC. Allergic contact dermatitis to the Comfeel hydrocolloid dressing. *In Annales de dermatologie et de venerologie* 2002 May 1 ;129, 725-727
231. Thompson K. Comfeel: for the record. *Ostomy/wound management*. 1988;21:8 ; 25-30
232. Liu HT. Wound care following CO2 laser resurfacing using Kaltostat, Duoderm, and Telfa for dressings. *Dermatologic surgery*. 2000 Apr;26(4):341-4 PMID: 10759822 DOI: 10.1046/j.1524-4725.2000.99131.x
233. Samson RH. A prospective, randomized trial of Unna's boot versus DuodermCGF hydroactive dressing plus compression in the management of venous leg ulcers. *Journal of vascular surgery*. 1992 Sep 1;16(3):500-1 DOI: 10.1016/0741-5214(92)90397-q
234. Cassidy C, Peter SD, Lacey S, Beery M, Ward-Smith P, Sharp RJ, Ostlie DJ. Biobrane versus duoderm for the treatment of intermediate thickness burns in children: a prospective, randomized trial. *Burns*. 2005 Nov 1;31(7):890-3 DOI: 10.1016/j.burns.2005.04.029
235. Afilalo M, Dankoff J, Guttman A, Lloyd J. DuoDERM hydroactive dressing versus silver sulphadiazine/Bactigras in the emergency treatment of partial skin thickness burns. *Burns*. 1992 Aug 1;18(4):313-6 DOI: 10.1016/0305-4179(92)90153-l
236. Shi C, Wang C, Liu H, Li Q, Li R, Zhang Y, Liu Y, Shao Y, Wang J. Selection of appropriate wound dressing for various wounds. *Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology*. 2020 Mar 19;8:182 12-20 DOI: 10.3389/fbioe.2020.00182.
237. Leicht P, Siim E, Sorensen B. Treatment of donor sites—Duoderm or Omiderm?. *Burns*. 1989 Feb 1;15(1):7-10 DOI: 10.1016/0305-4179(89)90060-0 .
238. In SM, An HG, Kim JY, Lee KI. Columellar wound immediately after open rhinoseptoplasty treated with application of DuoDERM Extra Thin. *Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*. 2021 Jan 1;32(1):e98-9 DOI: 10.1097/SCS.00000000000006782.
239. Dayicioglu D, Trotta R, Agoris C, Kumar A. DuoDERM®-Bra for nipple-sparing mastectomy. *Annals of Plastic Surgery*. 2016 Jun 1;76:280-5 DOI: 10.1097/SAP.0000000000000753
240. Hardy Z, Jideani VA. Foam-mat drying technology: A review. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*. 2017 Aug 13;57(12):2560-72 DOI: 10.1080/10408398.2015.1020359
241. Oraby A, Weickardt I, Zibek S. Foam fractionation methods in aerobic fermentation processes. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. 2022 Jul;119(7):1697-711 DOI: 10.1002/bit.28102
242. Nechita P, Năstac SM. Overview on foam forming cellulose materials for cushioning packaging applications. *Polymers*. 2022 May 11;14(10):1963 DOI: 10.3390/polym14101963
243. Anderson BL, Harter RA, Farnsworth JL. The acute effects of foam rolling and dynamic stretching on athletic performance: a critically appraised topic. *Journal of sport rehabilitation*. 2020 Aug 13;30(3):501-6 DOI: 10.1123/jsr.2020-0059

245. Junker D, Stöggel T. The training effects of foam rolling on core strength endurance, balance, muscle performance and range of motion: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of sports science & medicine*. 2019 Jun 1;18(2):229
246. Agarwal P, Gordon S, Martinez FO. Foam cell macrophages in tuberculosis. *Frontiers in immunology*. 2021 Dec 15;12:775-326 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.775326
247. Oraby A, Weickardt I, Zibek S. Foam fractionation methods in aerobic fermentation processes. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. 2022 Jul;119(7):1697-711 DOI: 10.1002/bit.28102
248. Liang P, Chen S, Fang X, Wu J. Recent advance in modification strategies and applications of soy protein gel properties. *Comprehensive reviews in food science and food safety*. 2024 Jan;23(1):e13276 DOI: 10.1111/1541-4337.13276
249. Zhu S, Zhu H, Xu S, Lv S, Liu S, Ding Y, Zhou X. Gel-type emulsified muscle products: Mechanisms, affecting factors, and applications. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*. 2022 Nov;21(6):5225-42.u DOI: 10.1111/1541-4337.13063
250. Li S, Renick P, Senkowsky J, Nair A, Tang L. Diagnostics for Wound Infections. *Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle)*. 2021 Jun;10(6):317-327. doi: 10.1089/wound.2019.1103. Epub 2020 Jul 7. PMID: 32496977; DOI: 10.1089/wound.2019.1103