From Campus To Career: Understanding And Addressing Placement Anxiety Among Undergraduate Students

Dr.B.ROHINI
Assistant Professor, M.Com IB, Nallamuthu Gounder Mahalingam College, Pollachi,
rohinimib@gmail.com

_____

INTRODUCTION:

One of a person's most significant life events is making the transition from college to a professional career. The "campus-to-career" transition represents a critical phase marked by both anticipation and uncertainty. In addition to being a time of personal and academic growth for many undergraduate students, the campus experience is also a time when expectations regarding future employment begin to solidify. The pressure to get a job before graduation grows stronger as students progress through their education, especially in their final years. While this stage should ideally be characterized by excitement and hope for the future, it is frequently overshadowed by a growing sense of stress, self-doubt, and anxiety collectively as placement anxiety. Placement anxiety as emotional stress and psychological unease experienced by students as they prepare to enter the job market. It is a multifaceted phenomenon influenced by societal expectations, personal aspirations, peer competition, academic performance, economic uncertainty, and other factors. For undergraduate students in particular, who may be stepping into the job market for the first time, the stakes feel exceptionally high. The fear of not securing employment, the uncertainty surrounding career choices, and the pressure to meet familial and societal expectations can significantly impact a student's mental well-being. Anxiety can even result in more serious consequences, such as chronic stress, burnout, or mental health conditions like depression, in some instances. The modern employment landscape further compounds these challenges. In today's rapidly evolving job market, where automation, globalization, and shifting industry demands constantly redefine the skills required, students are compelled to not only perform academically but also to cultivate a portfolio of diverse competencies. Recruiters increasingly seek candidates who demonstrate technical skills, problem-solving abilities, leadership potential, and adaptability expectations that may not always be fully met within the traditional academic framework. As a result, many students feel unprepared or under qualified, leading to heightened anxiety during placement season.

In addition, the placement procedure itself can be intimidating. From preparing resumes and cover letters to undergoing aptitude tests, group discussions, and multiple rounds of interviews, the recruitment journey is both mentally and emotionally taxing. Each stage presents its own set of challenges and opportunities for self-assessment, and the looming fear of rejection adds to the psychological burden. Even students with excellent academic records and involvement in extracurricular activities frequently question their value in a market that appears increasingly unpredictable and competitive. Placement anxiety's social component must also be taken into consideration. Peer pressure and comparison often intensify feelings of inadequacy. In many campuses, the success of a few students getting placed in high-paying companies sets a benchmark that others feel compelled to match, regardless of their own career interests or aspirations. The tendency to measure personal worth based on job offers received creates a culture of competition over collaboration, further amplifying stress and emotional fatigue.

Family expectations can also be a major source of anxiety. In many cultural contexts, especially in countries like India, there is considerable emphasis on securing stable employment immediately after graduation. Parents and extended families often have strong opinions about what constitutes a "good" job, and students may feel compelled to conform to these expectations even if they conflict with their personal goals. This

misalignment can result in internal conflict, reduced self-esteem, and a sense of failure, all of which contribute to the overall anxiety experienced during placement season.

In light of these concerns, understanding placement anxiety and its impact on undergraduate students becomes imperative. Addressing this issue is not only vital for the mental well-being of students but also for enhancing the effectiveness of campus placement programs. Beyond academic training, institutions must take a more comprehensive approach that includes career counseling, mental health support, the development of soft skills, and realistic career guidance. Equipping students with emotional resilience and coping strategies is just as important as preparing them for technical interviews or entrance exams.

This paper aims to explore the phenomenon of placement anxiety among undergraduate students, examining its causes, manifestations, and consequences. It will also highlight the role of educational institutions, families, and the larger socio-economic context in shaping students' experiences and expectations. By shedding light on this increasingly prevalent issue, the study seeks to foster a more empathetic and supportive environment for students as they make the crucial leap from campus to career.

PROBLEM OF THE STUDY

It is common to romanticize the transition from college to work as a rite of passage into adulthood that is characterized by ambition, enthusiasm, and a bright future. However, underneath this upbeat narrative is a more complicated and understudied problem: undergraduate placement anxiety. While stress related to career development is not a new phenomenon, the intensity and multidimensional nature of placement anxiety in today's academic and economic climate present a unique challenge that demands focused attention. Placement anxiety remains an understudied and frequently stigmatized aspect of student life, despite the prevalence of mental health discussions in academic settings. What makes this study unique is its focus on the intersection of academic culture, evolving job market demands, and psychological well-being of students in the final stages of their undergraduate education. Existing research tends to focus either on employability skills or general student mental health, rarely linking the two in the context of placement season. However, the purpose of this study is to fill that void by examining the effects on students' mental and emotional health of the pressure to find employment before graduation, particularly in competitive or high-expectation settings. In many educational institutions, particularly in developing nations such as India, there is a disproportionate emphasis on immediate job placement as a marker of academic success and personal worth. The prestige of being placed in a reputed company, the financial relief of early employment, and the expectations of family and society converge to create a high-pressure environment. In this context, students often struggle silently with fear of failure, peer comparison, and a sense of inadequacy factors that can lead to chronic anxiety, lowered academic performance, and reduced self-esteem. The study seeks to highlight how placement anxiety is not just a byproduct of individual insecurity but a systemic issue fueled by institutional and societal narratives.

Another unique aspect of the problem is that placement anxiety does not affect all students equally. The experience is often influenced by variables such as socio-economic background, academic stream, access to support resources, personality traits, and the availability of career guidance. Due to a lack of mentorship or limited exposure to corporate culture, for instance, rural students or first-generation college students may experience increased anxiety. In addition, people who work in non-technical or arts-related fields may feel excluded from placement procedures that are primarily intended for students of engineering or business. These disparities are rarely acknowledged in broader placement discussions, making it essential to study the problem through an inclusive and intersectional lens.

Furthermore, placement anxiety can have long-term implications on a student's career trajectory and overall well-being. It could result in rash or misaligned career moves, early burnout, or a reluctance to take risks. By not addressing this issue at its root, institutions risk producing graduates who are not only underprepared for the workforce but also emotionally burdened and disillusioned. Therefore, understanding the nature, causes,

and impact of placement anxiety is crucial for creating more supportive and responsive educational ecosystems.

In summary, the unique problem this study addresses is the growing but under-recognized emotional and psychological toll of campus placements on undergraduate students. It challenges the conventional success metrics of academic institutions and seeks to bring to light a hidden layer of student experience that is critical to both mental health and professional development. Through this research, the goal is to initiate more holistic conversations around career readiness ones that acknowledge the human side of ambition, competition, and achievement.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To identify the social and institutional impact on students' placement anxiety.
- Identify the influence of regional social factor on placement among first graduate students.
- To evaluate the impact of institutional support in placements preparation.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study adopts a descriptive research design to explore the prevalence, causes, and implications of placement anxiety among undergraduate students. During the placement process, detailed data on students' emotional and psychological responses will be gathered, and patterns based on demographic, academic, and socioeconomic factors will be analyzed.

Area of the Study

The research is conducted in and around Pollachi, a prominent educational hub in Tamil Nadu, India. The region hosts a diverse range of undergraduate colleges across arts, science and commerce streams. To comprehend student anxiety in rural and semi-urban academic settings, this setting serves as a representative context.

✓ **Population and Sample**

The participants in this study are graduating undergraduates from a variety of Pollachi colleges. Stratified random sampling was used to select the sample, which included 721 students, to ensure a balanced gender, academic stream, and institution type representation.

Data Collection Method

A structured questionnaire with both quantitative and qualitative questions was used to collect the data. The questionnaire was divided into four sections:
Demographic details

- Academic and placement background
- A standard scale for anxiety
- Personal experiences and
- Coping strategies open-ended questions.

The survey was distributed both online (via Google Forms) and offline (paper- based in classrooms), depending on the institution's access and preferences.

Tools for Analysis

- Chi-square tests and ANOVA to examine the association between anxiety levels and demographic/academic variables
- Correlation analysis to investigate the connection between placement preparedness or support systems and anxiety
- Regression analysis was used to examine the relationship between placement anxiety (dependent variable) and independent variables such as academic performance, parental pressure, skill readiness, and support from institutions.

- Factor Analysis is used to identify the underlying dimensions (factors) contributing to placement anxiety.

SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS STUDY
The significance of this study lies in its potential to uncover deeper emotional and societal dimensions of placement anxiety that are often overlooked. This study provides a more comprehensive understanding of student well-being because it shifts the focus from employment rates in placement statistics to the psychological effects that the process can have on undergraduate students. Uniquely, the study looks at how students' career uncertainty is affected by emotional intelligence, resilience, and coping mechanisms. This suggests that soft skill development should go hand in hand with academic training. In addition, it can advocate for more inclusive and localized support systems by examining anxiety through a cultural and socioeconomic lens. This can reveal how different backgrounds influence student stress. The findings may also prompt a reevaluation of how institutions define success not just by job placement numbers but also by student satisfaction and mental health outcomes. Beyond academia, this study holds value for employers and policymakers by offering insights into the mindset of the emerging workforce, potentially inspiring more empathetic and flexible hiring practices. It can also have an effect on attitudes among society and parents, lowering expectations that are too high and encouraging a more supportive environment as students transition from college to work. Ultimately, this research aims not just to highlight a problem, but to catalyze meaningful changes in educational systems, recruitment models, and student support frameworks.

4.3. Opinion on Placement Preparation and Anxiety
This part of the study examines students' perceptions and psychological opinions of job preparation and relation anxiety. This includes a set of 10 statements of student's trust, organizational support, training adequacy, and emotional responses during the job placement phase. The students were asked to rate each statement on a Likert five-point scale, where 1=strongly agree, 2=agree, 3=neutral, 4=disagree, and 5=strongly disagree. All the opinions are used to calculate individual and total scores to assess the overall level of placement preparation and Anxiety.

Therefore, the score will range from 5 to 50. The score value with less than 30 is considered as dissatisfied, and more than 30 is considered as satisfied. The students' perceptions and psychological opinions of job preparation and relation anxiety are furnished in table 4.14.

Table 4.14: Level of Opinion on Placement Preparation and Anxiety

Level of Opinion on Placement Preparation and Anxiety	N	Sum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Variance
Disagree	440	17801.00	40.4568	2.75796	7.606
Agree	281	9279.00	33.0214	3.77486	14.250
Total	721	27080.00	37.5589	4.83186	23.347

Source: Primary Data
Table-4.14 exhibits that opinion of students on job placement preparation and Anxiety. The level of opinion labelled disagree comprises 440 students, had mean score of 40.46, and 281 students agreed with mean score of 33.02.

Participation in Placement Training or Workshops
The opinion scores of job preparation and relation anxiety have been analyzed based on students' participation in placement Training or Workshops and are furnished in table 4.25.

Table 4.25: Placement Preparation and Anxiety Score on Participation in Placement Training or Workshops

Participation in Placement Training or Workshops	N	Sum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Variance
Yes	562	21104.00	37.5516	4.95452	24.547
No	159	5976.00	37.5849	4.38539	19.232
Total	721	27080.00	37.5589	4.83186	23.347

Source: Primary Data

Table-4.25 exhibits that the mean score of job placement preparation and Anxiety among UG students based on Participation in Placement Training or Workshops found between 37.55 to 37.58. It has influence on job placement preparation and Anxiety. The mean score difference is tested with 'F'-test in table-4.26.

Table 4.26: Independent Samples Test for Placement Preparation and Anxiety Based on Participation in Placement Training or Workshops

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
Placement Preparation and Anxiety	Equal variances assumed	1.949	.163	-.077	719	.939	-.03330	.43433	-.88600	.81939
	Equal variances not assumed			-.082	282.347	.935	-.03330	.40575	-.83198	.76537

Source: Primary Data

Table-4.26 showed that Levene's Test for Equality of Variances yields a significance value of 1.949, which is greater than 0.05, indicating the assumption of equal variance is satisfied. Therefore, t-test results were interpreted under the assumption. The calculated t-value is -0.077, with a p-value of 0.939. So, p-value is greater than 0.05, the result is not statistically significant. There is no significant difference in participation in Placement Training/Workshops.

First-generation Graduate

A cross-tabulation of First-generation Graduate and the perceived level of impact caused by placement anxiety among students is presented in the table-4.38.

Table 4.38: Influence of First-generation Graduate on the Perceived Impact of Placement Anxiety

First-generation Graduate	Level of Impact of Placement Anxiety			Total
	Strong Impact	Neutral	No Impact	
Yes	40	44	348	432
	9.3%	10.2%	80.6%	100.0%
No	42	29	218	289
	14.5%	10.0%	75.4%	100.0%
Total	82	73	566	721
	11.4%	10.1%	78.5%	100.0%
Chi-Square Value = 4.817; df = 2; Sig. 0.090				

Source: Primary Data

Table 4.38 exhibits that among 432 first generation graduate students, 9.3% of them have a strong impact, 10.2% of them are neutral, and 80.6% of them have no impact on placement anxiety. Similarly, out of 289 non-first-generation graduates, 14.5% of them have a strong impact, 10.0% of them are neutral, and 75.4% of them have no impact on placement anxiety. The Chi-square value ($\chi=4.817$; $p<0.090$) is not significant. This supports null hypothesis, showed that the First-generation Graduate of students do not impact on placement anxiety. In addition, descriptive statistics is executed to estimate mean, it is presented in table-4.39.

Table 4.39: First-generation Graduate Distribution of Impact of Placement Anxiety Scores

Score - Impact of Placement Anxiety						
First-generation Graduate	**N**	**% of Total N**	**Sum**	**Mean**	**Std. Deviation**	**Variance**
Yes	432	59.9%	9798.00	22.6806	4.77180	22.770
No	289	40.1%	6494.00	22.4706	5.16196	26.646
Total	721	100.0%	16292.00	22.5964	4.92944	24.299

Source: Primary Data

Table-4.23 exhibits that the mean score of impact of placement Anxiety among UG students based on First-generation Graduate found between 22.47 to 22.68. It has influence on placement Anxiety. The mean score difference is tested with 'F'-test in table-4.24.

Table 4.40: Independent Samples *t*-Test on Placement Anxiety Scores by First-generation Graduates

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means							
		F	**Sig.**	**t**	**df**	**Sig. (2-tailed)**	**Mean Difference**	**Std. Error Difference**	**95% Confidence Interval of the Difference**		
									Lower	**Upper**	
Score - Impact of Placement Anxiety	Equal variances assumed	4.534	.034	.560	719	.575	.20997	.37478	-.52584	.94577	
	Equal variances not assumed			.552	583.896	.581	.20997	.38067	-.53768	.95761	

Source: Primary Data

Table-4.24 showed that Levene's Test for Equality of Variances yields a significance value of 4.534, which is less than 0.05, indicating the assumption of equal variance is satisfied. Therefore, t-test results were interpreted under the assumption. The calculated t-value is 0.560, with a p-value of 0.575. So, p-value is greater than 0.05, the result is not statistically significant. There is no significant difference between the First-generation Graduate.

Level of Effectiveness of Institutional Support in Placement Preparation

This part of the study examines the level of effectiveness on institutional support in placement preparation to students preparation. This includes a set of 5 statements of Career counselling sessions, Internship opportunities, Placement training programs, Networking opportunities with alumni, and Resume-building workshops related to Institutional Support in Placement Preparation to students preparation. The students were asked to rate each statement on a Likert five-point scale, where 1= Excellent, 2= Good, 3= Average, 4= Poor, and 5= Very Poor. All the opinions are used to calculate individual and total scores to assess the overall Level of Effectiveness on institutional support in placement preparation. Therefore, the score will range from

5 to 25. The score value with less than 15 is considered as Excellent, the score value equal to 15 is considered Average, and more than 15 is considered as Very Poor. Initially, descriptive statistics is executed to examine Level of Effectiveness on institutional support in placement preparation to student Preparation, the results are described below.

Table 4.38: Level of Effectiveness of Institutional Support in Placement Preparation -Descriptive Statistics

Level of Effectiveness	N	% of Total N	Sum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Variance
Excellent	29	4.0%	348.00	12.0000	2.52134	6.357
Average	42	5.8%	630.00	15.0000	.00000	.000
Very Poor	650	90.2%	13255.00	20.3923	1.95755	3.832
Total	721	100.0%	14233.00	19.7406	2.79446	7.809

Source: Primary Data

Table-4.14 exhibits that level of effectiveness on institutional support in placement preparation. Out of 721 students mean score found between 12.00 and 20.39. It is executed to check the significant difference in mean of score of UG students' general profile with regard to the level of effectiveness. The hypotheses are proposed to test at 5 % level of significance.

CONCLUSION

The study clearly states that while placement training is being provided, it is not uniformly effective across all student demographics. Most students, especially those from rural areas and first-generation graduate backgrounds, may silently grapple with emotional and systemic challenges not entirely captured by academic or attendance data. The results also highlight that placement anxiety is not significantly determined by academic performance, gender, residence, or number of interviews, but rather by personal perceptions, peer pressure, family expectations, and the effectiveness of institutional support. This calls for a more empathetic, student-centric approach to placement preparation. To truly bridge the gap between campus and career, institutions must shift from a generic model of training to a personalized ecosystem of support one that builds competence, instills confidence, and ensures every student, regardless of background, steps into the placement process feeling prepared, empowered, and hopeful. In the end, combating placement anxiety is not just about better training; it's about creating a psychologically safe, well-informed, and empathetic environment that supports students not just to get placed, but to feel prepared, understood, and empowered throughout the process.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

REFERENCES

1. Arnett, J. J. (2000). Emerging adulthood: A theory of development from the late teens through the twenties. *American Psychologist, 55*(5), 469–480. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.5.469
2. Aucejo, E. M., French, J., Araya, M. P. U., & Zafar, B. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 on student experiences and expectations: Evidence from a survey. *Journal of Public Economics, 191*, 104271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2020.104271
3. Boulter, L. T. (2002). Self-concept as a predictor of college freshman academic adjustment. *College Student Journal, 36*(2), 234–246.
4. Conley, C. S., Durlak, J. A., & Kirsch, A. C. (2014). A meta-analysis of universal mental health prevention programs for higher education students. *Prevention Science, 16*(4), 487–507. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-015-0543-1
5. Gati, I., Krausz, M., & Osipow, S. H. (1996). A taxonomy of difficulties in career decision making. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 43*(4), 510–526. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.43.4.510 (Note: Original work cited in literature review as 2011—this is the correct and widely cited version.)
6. Harvey, L. (2001). Defining and measuring employability. *Quality in Higher Education, 7*(2), 97–109. https://doi.org/10.1080/13538320120059990
7. Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development.* Prentice Hall.
8. Levine, A., & Cureton, J. S. (1998). *When hope and fear collide: A portrait of today's college student.* Jossey-Bass.

9. Misra, R., & Castillo, L. G. (2004). Academic stress among college students: Comparison of American and international students. *International Journal of Stress Management, 11*(2), 132–148. https://doi.org/10.1037/1072-5245.11.2.132
10. Patton, W., & Creed, P. A. (2007). The relationship between career variables and occupational aspirations and expectations for Australian high school adolescents. *Journal of Career Development, 34*(2), 127–148. https://doi.org/10.1177/0894845307307471
11. Savickas, M. L. (2013). Career construction theory and practice. In S. D. Brown & R. W. Lent (Eds.), *Career development and counseling: Putting theory and research to work* (2nd ed., pp. 147–183). Wiley.