International Journal of Environmental Sciences
ISSN: 2229-7359
Vol. 11 No. 14s, 2025
https://www.theaspd.com/ijes.php

A Diminutive Analysis Of Stevioside As An Alternative To Sugarcane

Anil Eknath Gore[1], Brajadulal Ghosh[2*]

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Chemistry, School of Science, Sandip University, Nashik: 422213, Maharashtra, India.
[2*]Assistant Professor & Research Consultant, Department of Chemistry, School of Science, Sandip University, Nashik: 422213, Maharashtra, India.
*Corresponding Author: Brajadulal Ghosh
*Email: bdghosh@gmail.com

Abstract
The global rise in obesity, diabetes, and other metabolic disorders has led to a growing interest in natural, non-caloric sweeteners. Stevioside, a diterpenoid glycoside extracted from the leaves of Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni, has gained significant attention as a viable and health-promoting alternative to traditional sugarcane-derived sucrose. It is approximately 250–300 times sweeter than sucrose but contributes negligible calories. This review examines the phytochemistry, health benefits, safety, metabolic effects, and industrial applications of stevioside, comparing its attributes with those of sugarcane. The implications for public health, particularly in managing diabetes and obesity, are also discussed. Challenges regarding large-scale production, sensory properties, and regulatory frameworks are explored, providing a roadmap for future applications of stevioside in food and beverage industries.

Keywords: *Stevioside, Stevia rebaudiana, sugarcane, non-caloric sweeteners, diabetes, obesity, food industry.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Sugar consumption has reached alarming levels worldwide, contributing to the increasing incidence of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as obesity, cardiovascular diseases, and type 2 diabetes mellitus [1]. Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum) has long been the predominant source of sugar (sucrose), providing sweetness but also significant caloric intake. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends reducing free sugar intake to less than 10% of total energy consumption, sparking global demand for sugar substitutes [2].
Among the natural alternatives, Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni, a plant native to Paraguay and Brazil, has emerged as a sustainable source of high-intensity sweeteners, primarily stevioside and rebaudioside A. These glycosides are stable under heat and pH variations, making them suitable for a range of food and pharmaceutical applications [3]. This review explores stevioside's advantages and limitations compared to sugarcane and evaluates its potential as a mainstream sugar alternative.

2. PHYTOCHEMISTRY AND EXTRACTION OF STEVIOSIDE

Stevioside is a steviol glycoside, consisting of a steviol backbone attached to glucose moieties. It is one of several glycosides in Stevia rebaudiana leaves, which include rebaudioside A, B, C, D, E, dulcoside A, and rubusoside [4].

2.1 Molecular Structure

The molecular formula of stevioside is $C_{38}H_{60}O_{18}$, and it has a molecular weight of 804.88 g/mol. Its sweetness is due to the presence of β-glucosyl units, which bind to sweet taste receptors on the tongue [5].

2.2 Extraction Techniques

The extraction of stevioside typically involves water or alcohol-based extraction methods, followed by purification using ion-exchange resins, membrane filtration, or chromatography [6]. Advanced methods like supercritical fluid extraction have shown promise for improved yield and purity [7].

International Journal of Environmental Sciences
ISSN: 2229-7359
Vol. 11 No. 14s, 2025
https://www.theaspd.com/ijes.php

3. COMPARISON WITH SUGARCANE-DERIVED SUCROSE

Table 1: Comparison of Stevioside and Sugarcane.

Parameter	Stevioside	Sugarcane (Sucrose)
Sweetness	250–300x sweeter than sucrose	Standard reference
Caloric Value	~0 kcal/g	4 kcal/g
Glycemic Index	~0	High (GI ~65)
Dental Impact	Non-cariogenic	Cariogenic
Thermal Stability	High	High
Natural Origin	Yes (plant-derived)	Yes (plant-derived)
Aftertaste	Bitter/metallic (masked in blends)	None
Health Concerns	None at typical intake; GRAS in many countries	Obesity, diabetes, dental caries
Environmental Impact	Low water requirement, less chemical usage	High water usage, chemical fertilizers and pesticides

4. HEALTH BENEFITS OF STEVIOSIDE

4.1 Antidiabetic Properties

Multiple studies have reported that stevioside improves insulin sensitivity and reduces blood glucose levels. Gregersen et al. demonstrated that stevioside enhances insulin secretion in healthy and diabetic subjects [8]. Animal models have also shown that stevioside helps regulate glucose transporters and hepatic gluconeogenesis [9].

4.2 Antihypertensive Effects

Stevioside exhibits vasodilatory properties. A clinical trial by Chan et al. showed that stevioside consumption led to significant reductions in systolic and diastolic blood pressure in hypertensive patients [10].

4.3 Antioxidant and Anti-inflammatory Activities

Stevioside has demonstrated antioxidant activity by inhibiting lipid peroxidation and promoting endogenous antioxidant enzymes like superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione peroxidase [11].

4.4 Weight Management

Due to its non-caloric nature, stevioside can help reduce overall energy intake and may aid in weight management. It does not stimulate insulin secretion or fat storage as sucrose does [12].

5. SAFETY AND TOXICOLOGY

Stevioside has been evaluated for safety by numerous regulatory agencies. The Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) established an acceptable daily intake (ADI) of 0–4 mg/kg body weight [13].

5.1 Genotoxicity and Carcinogenicity

Extensive studies have found no evidence of mutagenicity, genotoxicity, or carcinogenicity in stevioside at recommended dosages [14].

5.2 Reproductive Health

Some early studies raised concerns about fertility issues, but later investigations by regulatory bodies including EFSA and FDA found no adverse effects on reproductive health [15].

6. INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL APPLICATIONS

6.1 Food and Beverage Industry

Stevioside is widely used in beverages, baked goods, dairy products, tabletop sweeteners, and sauces. Coca-Cola and PepsiCo have incorporated stevia-derived sweeteners into their low-calorie beverage lines [16].

6.2 Pharmaceutical Formulations
Stevioside is employed as a flavour-masking agent in bitter medicines and as a sweetening agent in chewable tablets and syrups [17].

6.3 Agriculture and Animal Feed
Some studies suggest that stevioside may improve feed efficiency in poultry and livestock by enhancing palatability without adverse effects [18].

7. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS
7.1 Sensory Properties
The primary barrier to stevioside's acceptance is its bitter or metallic aftertaste. Blending with erythritol, isomalt, or rebaudioside A is commonly practiced to improve taste [19].

7.2 Regulatory Barriers
While stevioside is approved in countries like the US, Japan, and the EU, some regions maintain stringent regulations due to lack of local safety data [20].

7.3 Agricultural Scale-up
Stevia cultivation is currently limited by factors like plant adaptability, yield variation, and harvesting difficulties. Breeding high-yielding cultivars remains an ongoing research area [21].

8. FUTURE PROSPECTS
The rising global demand for healthier sweeteners, coupled with increasing regulatory approvals, is likely to drive further adoption of stevioside. Advances in biotechnology and sensory optimization may help overcome current limitations. The development of genetically modified strains with enhanced glycoside content and resistance to pests could boost productivity and sustainability.

9. CONCLUSION
Stevioside presents a promising, health-promoting, and environmentally sustainable alternative to sugarcane-derived sucrose. Its non-caloric nature, favorable metabolic effects, and safety profile make it an attractive candidate for widespread use in food and pharmaceutical industries. Although challenges like taste masking and agronomic limitations exist, ongoing research and innovation continue to improve its commercial viability. Substituting sugarcane with stevioside could represent a strategic move toward healthier diets and improved public health.

AUTHOR INFORMATION
Anil Eknath Gore - Department of Chemistry, School of Science, Sandip University, Nashik, Maharashtra 422213, India. Email: anilgore2404@gmail.com

Brajadulal Ghosh (corresponding author) - Department of Chemistry, School of Science, Sandip University, Nashik, Maharashtra 422213, India. Email: <brajadulal.ghosh@sandipuniversity.edu.in>, bdghosh@gmail.com

Acknowledgements

The author(s) would like to acknowledge Department of Chemistry, School of Science, Sandip University, Mahiravani, Nashik. for their valuable insights and support during the preparation of this review. Special thanks to Dr. Nissar A. Reshi, Dr. Leena Patil and Dr. Pankag Kharabe for their constructive feedback and encouragement.

Conflicts of interest

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

None.

REFERENCES
1. Malik, V.S., et al. (2010). Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care, 33(11), 2477–2483.
2. World Health Organization. (2015). Guideline: Sugars intake for adults and children.

3. Goyal, S.K., Samsher, & Goyal, R.K. (2010). Stevia (Stevia rebaudiana) a bio-sweetener: a review. Int J Food Sci Nutr, 61(1), 1–10.
4. Geuns, J.M.C. (2003). Stevioside. Phytochemistry, 64(5), 913–921.
5. Prakash, I., et al. (2008). Development of rebiana, a natural, non-caloric sweetener. Food Chem Toxicol, 46(Suppl 7), S75–S82.
6. Lemus-Mondaca, R., et al. (2012). Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni: a natural sweetener. Food Chem, 132(3), 1121–1136.
7. Smeds, A.I., & Eklund, P.C. (2017). Comparison of steviol glycosides extraction methods. J Agric Food Chem, 65(1), 41–50.
8. Gregersen, S., et al. (2004). Antihyperglycemic effects of stevioside in type 2 diabetic subjects. Metabolism, 53(1), 73–76.
9. Jeppesen, P.B., et al. (2003). Stevioside acts directly on pancreatic beta cells. Metabolism, 52(3), 372–378.
10. Chan, P., et al. (2000). Antihypertensive effect of stevioside in mild essential hypertension. Br J Clin Pharmacol, 50(3), 215–220.
11. Chatsudthipong, V., & Muanprasat, C. (2009). Stevioside and related compounds: therapeutic benefits. Pharmacol Ther, 121(1), 41–54.
12. Anton, S.D., et al. (2010). Effects of stevia on food intake, satiety and postprandial glucose. Appetite, 55(1), 37–43.
13. JECFA. (2006). Evaluation of certain food additives. WHO Technical Report Series.
14. EFSA Panel on Food Additives. (2010). Scientific opinion on the safety of steviol glycosides. EFSA Journal, 8(4), 1537.
15. FDA GRAS Notice No. 000252. (2008).
16. Tan, S.T., et al. (2008). Stevia applications in beverage industry. Food Sci Technol Int, 14(5), 409–416.
17. Sivaramakrishnan, S., et al. (2008). Natural sweeteners in pharmaceutical formulations. J Pharm Sci, 97(1), 202–210.
18. Ranjan, R., et al. (2012). Stevia as feed additive in poultry. World Poult Sci J, 68(3), 435–442.
19. Serio, M.G., & Bucci, R. (2016). Masking stevia bitterness. Food Res Int, 89, 251–258.
20. Renwick, A.G. (2008). Safety of steviol glycosides. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol, 50(1), 1–14.
21. Atteh, J.O., et al. (2011). Agronomic challenges in stevia cultivation. Afr J Agric Res, 6(12), 2766–2770.