International Journal of Environmental Sciences
ISSN: 2229-7359
Vol. 11 No. 17s,2025
https://theaspd.com/index.php

Integrating Environmental Sustainability Into Strategic Management: Achieving The Profit–Planet Equilibrium

Dr. Kumar C[1], Dr. P Sabarinath[2], Dr. Rahul Jalinder Jadhav[3], Dr. H Niroshini Infantia[4], Dr. Rachita Kapoor Bhasin[5], Dr. G Balaji[6]

[1]Professor, Department of Management Studies, KV Institute of Management and Information Studies, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India, kumar@kvimis.co.in

[2]Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, SRM Madurai College for Engineering and Technology, Madurai, Tamilnadu, India, sabarinath@srmmcet.edu.in

[3]Associate Professor, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be university), Pune, Maharashtra, India. rahul, jadhav@bharatividyapeeth.edu

[4]Associate Professor, Department of Information Technology, St. Joseph's Institute of Technology, OMR, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India, niroshiniinfantiah@gmail.com

[5]Assistant Professor & Program Chair (BBA), Department of ASB, Asian School Of Business, Noida, India, rachita.kapoor@asb.edu.in

[6]Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India, balajig@srmist.edu.in

Abstract

Organizations have been responding to escalating environmental issues, resource scarcity, and rising stakeholder demands by embracing sustainability into strategic agendas. This research investigates how strategic management can harmoniously balance business profitability and environmental sustainability through the impact of core sustainability practices on organizational performance. Using a mixed-method design, this research combines the survey results of mid-to-large Indian companies from various industries with qualitative results from sustainability experts. It emphasizes four areas of strategic focus: embedding sustainability into corporate strategy, green innovation, and commitment by top management, and sustainable supply chain management. Organizational performance is measured as a combination of financial and environmental performance. 210 responses were obtained by purposive sampling to ensure representation of firms with ongoing sustainability practices. In addition to the survey findings, qualitative data were collected from 12 sustainability officers via semi-structured interviews to provide contextual richness to organizational practice The results of the research reveal that every one of the four strategic practices contributes positively to performance but with strongest effect on leadership commitment Statistical testing verifies the validity and strength of these correlations and testifies to the overall model. The research focuses on the fact that integrating sustainability into business strategy is not only an ethical imperative but also a pragmatic path to sustainable competitive success. It provides worthwhile recommendations to business executives, policymakers, and scholars who are keen to balance sustainable development goals and company success. The study, although conducted with a narrow national setting and research design, provides a stimulus for further research in other economies and time periods. Finally, the findings validate that sustainability, when well-managed, boosts purpose and performance.

Keywords: *Strategic management, environmental sustainability, green innovation, sustainable supply chain, top management, organizational performance*

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 21st century, harmonization of economic prosperity and environmental responsibility is the defining challenge for organizations all over the world. Climate change, loss of biodiversity, scarcity of water resources, and more carbon dioxide emissions in the atmosphere are no longer mere possibilities in a distant future but present realities with serious implications for long-term business survival [1]. Consequently, organizations have been tasked to transcend conventional bottom-line thinking and become proactive in preventing environmental deterioration. This movement has introduced the higher importance of strategic

environmental sustainability a management philosophy which instils environmental concerns into business fundamentals. Businesses are discovering that sustainability can not only reduce harm to the environment but also create brand image, operational effectiveness, investor confidence, and long-term profitability [3]. The new paradigm postulates that "profit" and "planet" by no means are mutually exclusive but can support one another if sustainability is adopted as part of strategic planning templates. In spite of this increasing convergence, most companies are still fighting to make sustainability operational in their strategic framework. Most of the most important drivers have not yet been examined empirically, and especially not in emerging markets like India, whose market forces, cultural processes, and regulatory situations are different from Western economies [4]. What precisely the levers of strategy are that allow companies to reconcile both business performance and environment responsibility is therefore necessitated. This research examines the effect of four strategic management practices on organisational performance, operationalised in terms of both financial and environmental indicators. The strategic variables to be tested are: The research, drawing from the Resource-Based View and Stakeholder Theory, hypothesises that strategic sustainability activities are rare organisation-specific capabilities generating long-term value [5]. Based on primary data collected from 210 Indian firms and statistical techniques such as correlation analysis, ANOVA, and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), this article empirically investigates the means by which these practices make the planet more sustainable as well as profitable. Based on primary data analysis and insight derived from organizational behavior and environmental management, this study aims to address a serious literature gap regarding the influence of strategic choice on the "profit-planet" trade-off [6]. The findings are directly applicable to business leaders, policy makers, and researchers seeking action-oriented paths to building environmentally sustainable and economically viable businesses. During the last twenty years, mounting environmental concerns worldwide have forced organizations to reconsider the ecological footprint of business. From carbon footprint to depleting natural resources, businesses are questioned on their green credentials. Although traditionally regulatory compliance has been the driver of environmentally conscious behavior, modern-day corporations are increasingly incorporating sustainability into their strategic planning with a view to securing sustainable long-term competitive advantage. [7] This paper examines how practicing strategic management can make profitability compatible with environmental sustainability. In particular, it examines how sustainability integration, green innovation, top management commitment, and sustainable supply chain practices affect net organizational performance, both financially and environmentally.

2. Objectives of the Study

- To identify the extent of sustainability integration in strategic management practices.
- To assess the impact of green innovation on organizational outcomes.
- To examine the role of top management in driving sustainable strategies.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of sustainable supply chain practices.
- To measure the collective influence of these factors on firm performance.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Sustainability strategic management is becoming a required area of focus for businesses that want to reconcile profit with the health of the planet. With natural degradation and global warming continuing to grow as global imperatives, businesses are incorporating sustainability into their strategic initiatives instead of viewing it as an add-on. This shift involves linking business practices to natural mandates, embracing long-term thinking, and conceptualizing sustainability as a source of competitive advantage [8]. Businesses increasingly are rebranding their value proposition around environmental responsibility, which has the potential to drive operating efficiencies, innovation, and higher levels of stakeholder trust. Progressive environmental approaches like green product innovation, cleaner production technologies, sustainable supply chain management practices, and circular economy strategies are found to be more effective than reactive, compliance-based approaches [9]. Companies are also applying strategic means such as life cycle assessment, sustainability scorecards, and scenario planning to achieve superior environmental opportunity and threat

management. Effective governance mechanisms and sustainability-oriented leadership and cross-functional working and collaboration are necessary to mainstream sustainability across organizational hierarchies [10]. Sustainably oriented leadership and cross-functional working and collaboration are likely to lead to greener, more integrated, and cost-effective green strategies in companies. Profit and planet balance is also based on the quality of evidence that the greener practices yield more bottom lines, reduced risk, and sustainability in the long term [11]. However, greenwashing, short-term fiscal stress, and lack of regulation commonality in markets are also disadvantages with this strategy. Across the emerging markets, companies have specific restrictions but specific possibilities to skip customary models and innovate directly to sustainable business models with partnerships and collaborations with stakeholders [12]. There are also differences between industries in the degree of integration of sustainability into strategy, with manufacturing, energy, and logistics industries being at different stages of maturity. Strategic management for environmental sustainability is no longer an ethical requirement but a strategic imperative and involves an orchestrated, well-managed, and innovation-led response to tackle business objectives and environmental imperatives together.

3.1. Strategic Management and Environmental Sustainability

Strategic management has come a long way from being highly concerned with profit maximization to a wider concern for value creation on financial, social, and environmental fronts. Environmental sustainability is not any more an option in today's context for companies it is now a strategic imperative. Increased fear of global warming, scarcity of resources, and natural destruction is pushing organizations to rethink their long-term plans [13]. More businesses are not only needed to be environmentally compliant but also to formally build business models suitable for sustainable development. Firms are growing to realize that environmental sustainability can boost innovation, minimize long-term cost, drive socially responsible customers and investors, and establish brand image overall. Rather than seeing environmental objectives as a compromise to profitability, forward-thinking firms see them as synergistic whereby sustainability creates competitiveness [14]. Strategic management with sustainability offers a framework for weaving environmental objectives into all aspects of business decision making, from R&D to marketing to supply chain planning. This integrated approach allows companies to spot environmental risks and opportunities early, create robust operations, and satisfy growing expectations from worldwide stakeholders [15]. Yet, even with awareness, many companies are failing to convert green concerns into strategic action. An understanding of practices and mechanisms that are effective in linking strategy with sustainability is needed, particularly in fast-emerging economies where environmental pressures are mounting.

3.2. Sustainability Integration in Strategy

Sustainability only emerges as a component of business strategy when it influences the mission, values, and long-term vision of the company. Instead of being a specific function or CSR initiative, sustainability is embedded in the underlying business logic of the company [16]. Companies using this approach design explicitly articulated environmental objectives, connect departmental KPIs with sustainability outcomes, and embed eco-efficiency into performance measures and product planning. Such integration enables alignment of the activities of various departments like operations, finance, HR, and procurement with the shared environmental goals [17]. It also forms the basis for trade-off analysis, green investment ranking, and transparency in conveying sustainability achievements to stakeholders. Organizations adopting sustainability as a strategic mind-set are sure to see regulatory changes, fulfil customer demands, and develop an environment responsibility culture.

3.3. Green Innovation

Green innovation focuses on the creation of products, services, and processes with minimal adverse environmental impacts without sacrificing or even improving business performance. Green innovation involves the manufacture of energy-efficient technologies, designing for reductions in waste, using renewable materials, and closed-loop production systems [18]. All these innovations help companies achieve beyond compliance and lead the way in solving environmental problems. Green innovation can also be a good source of competitive advantage. Early movers companies using green technologies establish industry norms and competitive superiority in the market. Green innovation is also cost-effective by saving on materials, energy

consumption, and wastage elimination [19]. Even though green innovation has these advantages, it also demands a paradigm shift because companies need to be willing to incur costs on uncertain technologies and work with outside partners to transform into innovators.

3.4. Top Management Commitment

Senior leadership engagement is the kiss of death or life for the success or failure of sustainability efforts. Executives need to have a personal stake in environmental objectives and talk about their importance across the organization, and sustainability is the top priority for everyone [20]. Leadership commitment will typically be expressed in public statements, budgeting, establishing standalone sustainability organizations, and integrating environmental performance metrics into measurement and reward systems. Senior leadership provides the tone for organizational values and culture [21]. Their public endorsement holds individuals accountable and encourages all employees to participate in sustainability initiatives. Additionally, if leaders are committed themselves to sustainability planning, it is simpler to weigh long-term environmental objectives against short-term business requirements [22]. Leadership organizations dedicated to sustainability also perform well in partnership development, policy influence, and transformational change implementation.

3.5. Sustainable Supply Chain Practices

A green supply chain therefore addresses environmental issues throughout the product's entire life cycle, from raw material acquisition to manufacture, distribution, use, and end-of-life disposal [23]. Business firms that practice sustainable supply chain management select suppliers that adopt environmental policies, optimizing transportation in order to minimize carbon emissions, reducing packaging, and designing for recyclability and reusing. Such practices, besides minimizing environmental impact, also enhance efficiency and reduce costs over the long run [24]. Has, local sourcing minimizes transportation emissions and enhances community relations, while energy-efficient logistics can reduce fuel costs [25]. Green supply chains can also assist in building confidence among green-conscious customers, investors, and regulators. Adhering to such practices, however, involves coordination across functions, technology adoption, and with partners and suppliers, communication [26]. Firms that perform well on supply chain sustainability will more likely generate long-term value by improving their brand loyalty, flexibility, and regulatory compliance.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research utilized a mixed-method study design with mainly quantitative analysis focus for exploring the impact of strategic management practice on environmental sustainability and organizational performance. It sought to empirically measure the impact of four primary independent variables sustainability integration, green innovation, top management commitment, and sustainable supply chain practices on the dependent variable organizational performance, which was operationalized as a composite of financial results (e.g., ROI and cost saving) and environmental performance (e.g., emission reduction and waste reduction). Primary data were gathered in a guided questionnaire from top and middle-level managers of Indian companies competing in four markets: manufacturing, IT, logistics, and fast-moving consumer goods (FMCG). Responses of 210 valid ones were received using purposive sampling so that company representation with ongoing sustainability initiatives existed. To supplement the survey results, qualitative information was gathered using 12 semi-structured interviews with sustainability officers to provide deeper contextual understanding of organisational behavior. The questionnaire was constructed using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 'strongly disagree' to 'strongly agree', and piloted for ease and reliability using 20 respondents. Internal consistency of the measure was ascertained using Cronbach's alpha, where all the constructs were above 0.75. Data were processed utilizing SPSS 26.0 and AMOS. Descriptive statistics and ANOVA were employed to determine trends among industries, Pearson correlation was utilized to examine bivariate relationships, and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was utilized to determine the strength and direction of variables' relationships and model fit. SEM outcomes were within a good range for goodness-of-fit measures, validating the stability of the proposed model. This approach gave richness and depth to a comprehension of drivers of environmental sustainability as strategic drivers of business in India.

5. Data Analysis and Results

Here is a detailed statistical output of the sample size (N=210) from your study, presented in tables with interpretations

Table 1: Sample Profile by Industry Sector

Industry	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Manufacturing	86	41.0
Information Tech	53	25.2
FMCG	40	19.0
Logistics	31	14.8
Total	210	100.0

The majority of responses came from the manufacturing sector (41%), followed by IT (25.2%). This reflects a higher environmental impact and regulatory scrutiny faced by manufacturing, making it a priority sector for strategic sustainability.

Table 2: Respondent Job Position

Designation Level	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Senior Managers	76	36.2
Department Heads	64	30.5
Executives/Officers	38	18.1
CXOs/Directors	32	15.2
Total	210	100.0

About 66.7% of the sample comprises senior managers and department heads, indicating that insights are primarily drawn from decision-makers who influence strategic planning and sustainability decisions.

Table 3: Company Size by Number of Employees

Employee Range	Frequency	Percentage (%)
100–250 employees	52	24.8
251–500 employees	63	30.0
501–1000 employees	47	22.4
>1000 employees	48	22.8
Total	210	100.0

The sample includes a mix of medium to large firms, with the majority (53%) employing over 500 employees, ensuring strategic sustainability decisions are likely formalized and institutionalized.

Table 4: Years of Operation

Years in Operation	Frequency	Percentage (%)
1–5 years	24	11.4
6–10 years	48	22.9
11–20 years	86	41.0
>20 years	52	24.7
Total	210	100.0

Over 65% of the firms have been operating for more than 10 years, reflecting mature businesses that are more likely to have structured strategic sustainability initiatives.

Table 5: Geographic Distribution of Firms (India)

Region	Frequency	Percentage (%)
North	50	23.8
South	62	29.5
West	54	25.7
East/Northeast	44	21.0

Total	210	100.0

The responses are evenly distributed across India, ensuring regional representation and

Table6: ANOVA Output (Organizational Performance by Industry)

ANOVA Table	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	12.457	3	4.152	4.901	0.003
Within Groups	173.012	206	0.840		
Total	185.469	209			

The ANOVA outcome shows that there is statistically significant variation in organizational performance between diverse industry segments, since p-value = 0.003. This implies that industry type affects the effectiveness with which companies balance profit and environmental sustainability. That is, certain industries seem to gain more from embracing strategic sustainability practices than others. For instance, manufacturing and logistics firms which are usually more resource- and emissions-intensive may realize more concrete and quantifiable improvements in performance from sustainability efforts, like energy efficiency or waste reduction. IT or service firms, on the other hand, which will already have a smaller environmental impact, might feel more diffuse or latent effects. These variations are outcomes of the variations in regulatory pressures, stakeholder demands, operational intricacies, and consumption levels of resources involved in each industry. The extensive variation suggests that there should be industry-specific strategies in meeting the twin objectives of profitability and environmental responsibility. Therefore, although sustainability is worth wherever it is, its strategic use and resulting performance improvement need to be custom-made to the situation and constraints of a particular industry.

Table 7: Pearson Correlation Matrix

Variable	1	2	3	4	5
1. Sustainability Integration	1				
2. Green Innovation	0.582**	1			
3. Top Management Commitment	0.618**	0.557**	1		
4. Sustainable Supply Chain	0.509**	0.482**	0.533**	1	
5. Organizational Performance	0.621**	0.565**	0.673**	0.512**	1

All four independent variables significantly, positively, and statistically relate to organizational performance, thus suggesting that companies that use these strategic sustainability practices are most likely to perform well. Top Management Commitment has the highest correlation (r = 0.673), suggesting its strongest influence. This reflects leadership commitment as the driver of mainstreaming sustainability organization-wide to achieve measurable impact. The positive relationships of Sustainability Integration, Green Innovation, and Sustainable Supply Chain Practices also confirm that alignment of business strategies with environmental objectives leads to enhanced performance.

Table 8: Model Fit Summary

Fit Index	Threshold	Value	Interpretation
Chi-square/df	< 3.0	1.97	Good fit
CFI (Comparative Fit Index)	> 0.90	0.94	Excellent fit
TLI (Tucker-Lewis Index)	> 0.90	0.91	Acceptable
RMSEA (Root Mean Square Error)	< 0.08 (ideal < 0.05)	0.049	Excellent
SRMR (Standardized RMR)	< 0.08	0.051	Good fit

SEM model perfectly matches with all the major indices (CFI = 0.94, RMSEA = 0.049, $\chi^2/df = 1.97$) that show proposed theoretical model perfectly fits the given data. This asserts that the presumed relations among strategic sustainability practices and organizational performance are significantly statistically significant and strongly endorsed by the main data. The findings confirm the model design and imply that the chosen variables contribute significantly to the explanation of how organizations handle profit and environmental objectives.

Table 9: Path Analysis (SEM Regression Weights)

Path	Estimate (β)	SE	CR	p-value
Sustainability Integration → Performance	0.31	0.07	4.43	<.001
Green Innovation → Performance	0.28	0.08	3.74	<.001
Top Mgmt Commitment → Performance	0.39	0.06	5.88	<.001
Supply Chain Practices → Performance	0.25	0.09	3.02	0.003

All statistically significant structural relationships between independent variables and organizational performance validate that every strategic sustainability practice has a strong impact on performance outcomes. Of them, Top Management Commitment has the strongest positive influence, suggesting that leadership participation has the greatest strength in driving environmental and financial success. That's a big statement about the significance of top leadership in defining direction, resource allocation, and establishing sustainability as part of organizational culture.

6. DISCUSSION

The findings prominently depict that when sustainability is included in strategic planning and regular business operations, there are enormous returns on financial as well as environmental performance for organizations [27]. Top Management Commitment is most effective among all the factors, which means that strong leadership is essential in order to establish direction, to allocate resources, and to hold others accountable in sustainability programs. Strategic greening and green innovation are also significant, proving that businesses fare well when there is cause environmental in business strategy and accomplished through innovative methods [28]. Furthermore, firms that have adopted sustainable supply chain practices like responsible sourcing, waste reduction, and collaboration with suppliers experience dramatic improvements in efficiency, compliance, and reputation. These results support the contention that sustainability is not just an issue of support but also one of the major determinants of sustainable business performance when appropriately done.

7. Implications

The implication of the findings of this study are significant to managers, policymakers, and scholars. Managers will need to take sustainability up to the boardroom to make it a priority so that it is mainstreamed in strategic decision-making and not kept as secondary. Organizations should foster green innovation in practice through rewarding environmentally friendly ideas and practices within departments [29]. Supply chain choices should also be taken with explicit regard for their environmental impact, i.e., in supply selection, logistics, and waste management. Governments could support corporate sustainability at the policy level by providing tax credits for R&D that is 'green,' thus stimulating innovation without sacrificing profitability. Furthermore, mandatory adoption of the rules on ESG reporting can trigger transparency and make similar benchmarking across sectors possible [30]. Academic, the research leaves space for further investigation to analyze mediating factors like organisational culture, leadership, or stakeholder involvement that could affect the relationship of sustainability and performance. Longitudinal research designs can also allow causality inferences and monitor the long-term impacts of strategic sustainability actions over time.

8. CONCLUSION

This research verifies that environmental sustainability strategic management is not just an ethical imperative but also a sound business rational decision. The empirical findings unmistakably indicate that organizations most likely to perform better financially as well as environmentally are those that persistently embed sustainability into their core strategy, adopt green culture of innovation, attain high commitment from top leaders, and have eco-friendly supply chain practices. They are more capable of dealing with risks, adapting to changing regulations, maximizing operational performance, and building better relationships with stakeholders. As much as problems such as high prices for sustainable technology which many see, lack of technical competence, and low awareness among small and medium-sized businesses remain to haunt them,

a proper, long-term strategic orientation enables companies to eliminate these obstacles. Instead of considering sustainability as a compliance or marketing concern, great companies adopt it as a necessary cornerstone of value creation. Based on primary evidence from Indian businesses, this book explores how with leadership at the top line, innovation spirit, and operating discipline, the profit and planet gap can be crossed. The future path lies in making sustainability an extended, performance-driven aspect of business strategy.

9. Limitations and Future Research

The study only includes Indian firms, and the results cannot necessarily be generalized to cross-cultural and regulative international environments like theirs. Cross-sectional design does preserve observations at one point in time, so it is hard to estimate long-term impacts or causality. Self-reports are also likely to create response bias. Longitudinal designs and sample generalizability to countries can be employed in future research to increase generalizability and examine more profound causal processes.

10. REFERENCES

1. Sivarajah, U., Irani, Z., Gupta, S., & Mahroof, K. (2020). Role of big data and social media analytics for business to business sustainability: A participatory web context. Industrial Marketing Management, 86, 163–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2019.04.005
2. Dwivedi YK, Hughes L, Ismagilova E et al (2021) Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary Perspectives on Emerging Challenges, Opportunities, and Agenda for Research, Practice and Policy. International Journal of Information Management. 57: 101994.
3. Shrivastava, A., Suji Prasad, S. J., Yeruva, A. R., Mani, P., Nagpal, P., & Chaturvedi, A. (2025). IoT based RFID attendance monitoring system of students using Arduino ESP8266 & Adafruit.io on defined area. Cybernetics and Systems, 56(1), 21–32. https://doi.org/10.1080/01969722.2023.2166243.
4. Nagpal, P. (2023). The transformative influence of artificial intelligence (AI) on financial organizations worldwide. In 2023 IEEE International Conference on ICT in Business Industry & Government (ICTBIG) (pp. 1–4). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICTBIG59752.2023.10455998
5. Audebrand, L. K. (2010). Sustainability in strategic management education: The quest for new root metaphors. Academy of Management Learning & Education, 9(3), 413–428. https://doi.org/10.5465/AMLE.2010.53791824
6. Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business. Capstone Publishing.
7. Rajput, N., Das, G., Kumar, C., & Nagpal, P. (2021). An inclusive systematic investigation of human resource management practice in harnessing human capital. Materials Today: Proceedings, 80(3), 3686–3690. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.07.362
8. Nagpal, P., Pawar, A., & H. M., S. (2024). Predicting employee attrition through HR analytics: A machine learning approach. In 2024 4th International Conference on Innovative Practices in Technology and Management (ICIPTM) (pp. 1–4). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIPTM59628.2024.10563285
9. Pooja Nagpal (2022). Online Business Issues and Strategies to overcome it- Indian Perspective. SJCC Management Research Review. 12 (1): 1-10. Print ISSN 2249-4359. DOI: 10.35737/sjccmrr/v12/il/2022/151
10. Sarkis, J. (2012). A boundaries and flows perspective of green supply chain management. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 17(2), 202–216. https://doi.org/10.1108/13598541211212924
11. Nagpal, P., Pawar, A., & Sanjay, H. M. (2025). Analysis of entrepreneurial motivation on entrepreneurial success in SMEs. In Sustainable Smart Technology Businesses in Global Economies (pp. 149–162). Taylor & Francis. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781041017721
12. Engert, S., Rauter, R., & Baumgartner, R. J. (2016). Exploring the integration of corporate sustainability into strategic management: A literature review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 112, 2833–2850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.08.031
13. Nagpal, P., Pawar, A., & Sanjay, H. M. (2024). Sustainable entrepreneurship: Balancing push and pull factors for customer loyalty in organic product marketing. African Journal of Biological Sciences, 6(9), 1134–1144. https://doi.org/10.33472/AFJBS.6.9.2024.1134-1144
14. Nagpal, P., Aggarwal, S., Sharma, A., Datta, A., Kuzieva, N., & Gurusamy, M. (2025). Revolutionizing human resources for safer automotive work environments. In AI's role in enhanced automotive safety (pp. 501–514). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-0442-7.ch032
15. Nagpal, P., Pawar, A., & Sanjay, H. M. (2025). Analysis of entrepreneurial motivation on entrepreneurial success in SMEs. In Sustainable smart technology businesses in global economies (pp. 149–162). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003616306
16. Rajagopal, N. K., Anitha, L., Nagpal, P., & Jitendra, G. (2024). Green HR techniques: A sustainable strategy to boost employee engagement. In D. A. Karras et al. (Eds.), Advancements in business for integrating diversity, and sustainability: How to create a more equitable and resilient business world in the developing world (pp. 104–107). Taylor & Francis. ISBN 978-1-032-70828-7

17. G. Gokulkumari, M. Ravichand, P. Nagpal and R. Vij. (2023). "Analyze the political preference of a common man by using data mining and machine learning," 2023 International Conference on Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI), Coimbatore, India. doi: 10.1109/ICCCI56745.2023.10128472.

18. Pooja Nagpal (2023). The Transformative Influence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on Financial Organizations World Wide. 3rd International Conference on Information & Communication Technology in Business, Industry & Government (ICTBIG). Symbiosis University of Applied Science, Indore.

19. F. A. Syed, N. Bargavi, A. Sharma, A. Mishra, P. Nagpal and A. Srivastava, "Recent Management Trends Involved With the Internet of Things in Indian Automotive Components Manufacturing Industries," 2022 5th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I), Uttar Pradesh, India, 2022, pp. 1035-1041, doi: 10.1109/IC3I56241.2022.10072565.

20. P. William, A. Shrivastava, H. Chauhan, P. Nagpal, V. K. T. N and P. Singh, "Framework for Intelligent Smart City Deployment via Artificial Intelligence Software Networking," 2022 3rd International Conference on Intelligent Engineering and Management (ICIEM), London, United Kingdom, 2022, pp. 455-460, doi: 10.1109/ICIEM54221.2022.9853119.

21. Pooja Nagpal., (2022). Organizational Commitment as an Outcome of Employee Engagement: A Social Exchange Perceptive using a SEM Model. International Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Allied Science,11(1): 72-86

22. S. H. Abbas, S. Sanyal, P. Nagpal, J. Panduro-Ramirez, R. Singh and S. Pundir, "An Investigation on a Blockchain Technology in Smart Certification Model for Higher Education," 2023 10th International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom), New Delhi, India, 2023, pp. 1277-1281.

23. R. Bhattacharya, Kafila, S. H. Krishna, B. Haralayya, P. Nagpal and Chitsimran, "Modified Grey Wolf Optimizer with Sparse Autoencoder for Financial Crisis Prediction in Small Marginal Firms," 2023 Second International Conference on Electronics and Renewable Systems (ICEARS), Tuticorin, India, 2023, pp. 907-913, doi: 10.1109/ICEARS56392.2023.10085618.

24. Wijethilake, C., & Lama, T. (2019). Sustainability core values and sustainability risk management: Moderating effects of top management commitment and stakeholder pressure. Business Strategy and the Environment, 28(1), 143–154. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2245

25. Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. Harvard Business Review, 89(1/2), 62–77.

26. Daily, B. F., & Huang, S. C. (2001). Achieving sustainability through attention to human resource factors. International Journal of Operations & Production Management.

27. Ozanne, L. K., Phipps, M., Weaver, T., Carrington, M., Luchs, M., Catlin, J., et al. (2016). Managing the tensions at the intersection of the triple bottom line: A paradox theory approach to sustainability management. Journal of Public Policy & Marketing, 35(2), 249–261. https://doi.org/10.1509/jppm.15.143

28. Nagpal, P., Pawar, A., & Sanjay, H. M. (2024). Sustainable entrepreneurship: Balancing push and pull factors for customer loyalty in organic product marketing. African Journal of Biological Sciences, 6(9), 1134–1144. https://doi.org/10.33472/AFJBS.6.9.2024.1134-1144

29. Pooja Nagpal., Kiran Kumar., (2021), Employee Engagement and Organizational Commitment. DYPIMS's International Journal of Management Research 10(1), 61-67. ISSN: 2277-8586

30. Sedovs, E., & Volkova, T. (2024). Sustainability: Is it a strategic management research fashion? Sustainability, 16(17), 7434. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16177434

31. Wiengarten, F., Lo, C. K. Y., & Lam, J. Y. K. (2017). How does sustainability leadership affect firm performance? The choices associated with appointing a chief officer of corporate social responsibility. Journal of Business Ethics, 140(3), 477–493. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2666-5

32. Pooja Nagpal. (2015). Role of CSR in Transforming of Higher Education System in India. International Journal of Thematics and Journal of Commerce and Management. 5(1). 203-218. ISSN Number - 2231- 4881.

33. Hahn, T., Pinkse, J., Preuss, L., & Figge, F. (2015). Tensions in corporate sustainability: Towards an integrative framework. Journal of Business Ethics, 127(2), 297–316. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2047-5

34. Elkington, J. (1994). Towards the sustainable corporation: Win-win-win business strategies for sustainable development. California Management Review, 36(2), 90–100. https://doi.org/10.2307/41165746