International Journal of Environmental Sciences ISSN: 2229-7359 Vol. 11 No. 16s,2025 https://theaspd.com/index.php

Comparison Of Prevention Of Denture Stomatitis By Application Of Surface Sealant Vs Without Surface Sealant Application: A Scoping Review

Dr. Divya Nagri Bhan¹, Dr. Reva Bembi², Dr. Suruchi Sindwani³

¹Reader, Department of Prosthodontics Crown & Bridge, MRIIRS, Faridabad, India

²Reader, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Genesis Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Ferozepur, India

³Reader, Department of Prosthodontics Crown & Bridge, MRIIRS, Faridabad, India

Abstract

Oral infections, especially denture-induced stomatitis, usually occur due to contact between biofilm rich in Candida albicans formed on the inner surface of complete dentures and the mucosa. The treatment of denture-induced stomatitis includes denture cleansing and disinfection in addition to topical or systemic antifungal drugs. Although these treatments do show some efficacy, they aim to inactivate the microorganisms after denture surface colonization. As the adhesion of microorganisms to denture surfaces is a prerequisite for microbial colonization, the development of methods that can reduce C. albicans adhesion may represent a significant advance in the prevention of denture-induced stomatitis.

This study investigated historical advances in the prevention of denture stomatitis by altering the intaglio surface of acrylic dentures with different surface sealants or coatings and identifying gaps in literature.

Keywords: Denture Stomatitis, Denture-Induced Stomatitis, Surface Sealants, Acrylic Resin, Candida Albicans

INTRODUCTION

A dental prosthesis objective is to improve the patient's appearance, functionality, and quality of life by replacing some or all of their lost teeth together with the structures that surround them, thus rehabilitating the entire stomatognathic system. Maxillary complete dentures are commonly associated with denture-induced stomatitis characterized by inflammation and erythema of submucosa due to the association of Candida Albicans with the prosthesis placed intraorally, leading to biofilm formation. Biofilm is composed of mucin, proteins, and mucopolysaccharides with increased quantities of Candida albicans species. Denture fabricating materials (acrylic resins) are prone to biofilm formation due to hydrophobicity (decreased affinity to water and increased affinity to bacterial colonization), porous nature, surface roughness, and residual monomer content. Treatment of denture-induced stomatitis includes utilizing photobiomodulation therapy (low-level laser therapy) for treatment of inflammation or erythema of submucosa, antifungals like nystatin, amphotericin B, and ketoconazole¹. Although less frequently utilized products containing organic acids, titanium dioxide, and inorganic silver antibacterial agents' incorporation in acrylic resin prevent bacterial adhesion, thus preventing denture stomatitis. These surface modifications are being done with the purpose of reducing the biofilm accumulation on the intaglio surface of the prosthesis. The University of Western Ontariostudied the role of saliva in candidal colonization and found that within seconds of oral surface exposure to saliva, the initial phase of pellicle formationoccurs on the denture base, during which precursor proteins like statherinsadhere to the surface, forming a 10-20 mmthick protein layer. These salivary proteins control the adhesion of Candida albicans to polymethyl methacrylatebecause they are responsible for the development of acquired pellicle. Pavithra et al.suggested that acrylic resin wets with water and more with saliva, as it adsorbs mucopolysaccharidesand proteins from saliva². Matheus et al. stated that salivary protein adsorptionis dependent on electrostatic forces and material hydrophobicity. Thus, if the properties of acrylic resin, like hydrophobicity or electrostatic forces, are altered, then it might lead to a decrease in the salivary protein adsorption, which are the precursors for the candidal growth on the denture base, ultimately leading to denture stomatitis. There have been articles in which it is stated that surface sealants increase the smoothness, but it cannot withstand the exposure to sodium hypochlorite during disinfection.

International Journal of Environmental Sciences

ISSN: 2229-7359 Vol. 11 No. 16s,2025

https://theaspd.com/index.php

Thus, in this article, a scoping review is being conducted with the purpose of analyzing the role of surface sealants in preventing the candidial colonization on acrylic resin , thereby preventing denture stomatitis.

Review Question

To evaluate the role of surface sealant application on the intaglio surface of the denture in preventing denture-induced stomatitis.

Inclusion criteria

Population: Completely edentulous patients wearing complete dentures with or without denture-induced stomatitis

Content: Comparison of prevention of denture-induced stomatitis between complete denture wearers with surface sealant coating and without surface sealant coating.

Context: Manav Rachna Dental College, Faridabad

Types of sources

Numerous experimental and quasi-experimental study designs, such as interrupted time-series studies, randomized controlled trials, and non-randomized controlled trials, were considered in this scoping review. Additionally, prospective and retrospective cohort studies, analytical cross-sectional studies, case-control studies, and other analytical observational research were taken into consideration for inclusion.

Search strategy

In this review, a three-step search approach was applied. To find pertinent literature on the subject, a preliminary search of Google Scholar and MEDLINE (PubMed) was conducted. The index keywords used to find the articles and the key phrases in the titles and abstracts of pertinent publications were combined to create a thorough search strategy.

Study or source of evidence selection

After the investigation, duplicates were eliminated, and all citations found were gathered and entered into the bibliographic program. After a pilot test, two or more independent reviewers assessed the titles and abstracts to determine whether they matched the review's inclusion criteria. Two or more independent reviewers extensively evaluated the complete text of chosen publications pertaining to the inclusion criteria. The scoping review excluded full-text evidence sources that did not fall in accordance with the inclusion criteria. At every step of the selection process, the reviewers spoke or conferred with one or more additional reviewers to settle any differences that came up. The final scoping review provides a detailed report on the search and study inclusion process outcomes³.

RESULTS

AUTH OR	YEAR OF PUBLI	OR IGI N	AIM OR PURPOS E OF	STUD Y POPU	METHOD OLOGY OR	INTERVENTION & OUTCOME MEASURES	KEY FINDING RELATED
	CATIO	OF	STUDY	LATIO	METHOD	MEASURES	TO
	N	ST	01001	N &	S		RESEARC
		UD		SAMPL			Н
		Y		E SIZE			QUESTIO
							N.
1.	2016	Braz	The	Sample	Four groups	Surface Coat	By applying
Silvia		il	efficiency	size:	of surface	treated surfaces	Biscover LV
M 4et			of two	140	treatments	performed similarly	surface
al			commerci	Invitro	were tested:	to rough surfaces in	sealant,
			al	study	rough	all solutions and	denture-
			composite		surfaces	cycles, while	induced
			surface		treated with	Biscover_ LV-	stomatitis
			sealants		Biscover_	treated surfaces	was avoided.
			(Surface		LV, rough	were as effective	Biscover LV
			Coat_		surfaces	and had the same	is more
			and		treated with	surface integrity as	successful at
			Biscover_		Surface	smooth surfaces.	preventing

	ı	1					
			LV) in		Coat, rough		denture
			lowering		surfaces		stomatitis
			the		treated with		than Surface
			colonizati		0.3 lm of		Coat surface
			on of C.		mean		sealer.
			albicans		roughness		
			biofilm		(Ra), and		
			on		smooth		
			denture		surfaces [0.3		
			resin was		lm of Ra].		
			examined				
			in this				
			study.				
2.	2012	Tok	This study	5	Polymerizati	The findings imply	Increasing
Azuma		yo	set out to	specime	on of acrylic	that the silica	the surface
5et al		Japa	assess how	ns per	denture	coating's	hydrophilici
		n	a denture	group.	lining	hydrophilic surface	ty of a
			base		material was	modification	denture base
			material's		used to	lowers C. albicans	material was
			resistance		create the	adhesion	accomplishe
			to		specimens.		d
			Candida		A silica		successfully
			albicans		coating		by covering
			adhesion		agent was		it with silica
			was		applied to		nanoparticle
			affected		the coated		s.Hydrophili
			by a silica		group		C
			coating.		specimen		surface
			g.		three times,		modification
					and contact		with the
					angle		silica coating
					measureme		agent
					nt, scanning		decreased C.
					electron		albicans
					microscopy,		adherence to
					and energy		the denture
					dispersive		basematerial
					X-ray		Dascinaterial
					spectroscop		•
					y were used		
					to assess the		
					surface		
					characteristi		
					CS.		

21 .	2012	D	T1 · . 1	A 1:	C 1 IID	
3Lazari	2012	Braz	This study	Acrylic	S and HP coatings	TT 1
n6 et		il	examined	specimens	may be a viable	The short-
al.			the	were	preventive	term (90
			impact of	prepared	treatment for	min)
			experime	with rough	denture stomatitis	attachment
			ntal	and smooth	because they	of Candida
			photo	surfaces and	dramatically	albicans to
			polymeriz	were either	decreased the	the denture
			ed	left	adherence of	base acrylic
			coatings	untreated	Candida albicans	resin was
			with	(control) or	to the acrylic resin.	considerably
			hydrophil	coated with	to the deryne resin.	decreased by
			ic or	one of the		experimenta
			zwitterion	following		1 S and HP
				o o		
			ic	experiment		coatings,
			monomer	al coatings: 2-		which
			s on			demonstrate
			Candida	hydroxyethy		d .
			albicans	1		encouraging
			adherence	methacrylat		results It is
			and the	e (HE); 3-		yet to be
			hydropho	hydroxypro		determined
			bicity of	pyl		how these
			an acrylic	methacrylat		coatings
			resin used	e (HP); and		affect the
			as a	2-		long-term
			denture	trimethylam		developmen
			foundatio	monium		t of biofilm.
			n.	Ethyl		Before these
				methacrylat		coatings are
				e chloride		suggested for
				(T); and		clinical
				sulfobetaine		usage, their
				methacrylat		biocompatib
				e (S). The		ility and
				concentrati		resistance to
				ons		chemical
				of these		(immersion
				constituent		in denture
						cleansers)
				monomers		-
				were 25%,		and
				30% or		mechanical
				35%. Half		(brushing)
				of the		denture
				specimens		cleaning
				in each		techniques
				group		should be
				(control and		examined.
				experiment		
				al) were		
				coated with		
				saliva and		
			<u> </u>			

the other
half
remained
Uncoated.
The surface
free energy
of all
specimens
was
measured,
regardless of
the
Experiment
al
condition.
C. albicans
adhesion
was
evaluated
for all
specimens,
both saliva
conditioned
and
unconditio
ned. The
adhesion
test was
performed
by
incubating
specimens
in C.
albicans
suspensions
(1 _ 107
cell/mL) at
27 90 for
37 8C for
90 min. The
number of
adhered
yeasts
were
evaluated by
XTT (2,3-
bis[2-
methoxy-4-
nitro-5-
sulfophenyl
]-5-
[{phenylami

		I) 1 1		
				no}carbonyl		
				}		
				2H-		
				tetrazolium-		
				hydroxide)		
				method.		
4.	2014	Tok	Poly-2-	Acrylic resin	It was found that	
Takaha		yo,	methacryl	specimens	the denture base	According to
shi7eta		Japa	oyloxyeth	based on	resin polymethyl	these
1		n.	yl	PMMA	methacrylate	findings,
1		11.	phosphor	were being	coated with 2-	graft
						_
			ylcholine	made. One	methacryloyloxyeth	polymerizati
			grafting	group	ylphosphorylcholin	on of 2-
			and poly-	applied a	e polymer reduces	methacryloyl

			2- methacryl oyloxyeth yl phosphor ylcholine- co-n-butyl methacryl ate coating are two 2- methacryl oyloxyeth yl phosphor ylcholine polymer coating technique s whose durability and anti- adhesive properties are being examined in relation to biofilm formation .		PMB-based coating on PMMA, while another group applied graft polymerizati on of MPC to PMMA-based acylic resin. Using the biofilm formation assay, the antiadhesive ability against bacterial colonization was assessed for both groups.	the production of bacterial biofilm.	oxyethyl phosphorylc holine on denture surfaces may increase coating endurance and inhibit microbiologi cal retention.
5.Quei roz 8etal	2012	Brazil	This study aimed to assess the impact of covering poly (methyl methacryl ate) (PMMA) with silver nanoparti cles doped and undoped diamond-like carbon thin films on the developm ent of	Forty- five PMMA disks were obtaine d, finished , cleaned in an ultrason ic bath, and divided into three groups: Gc, no surface coating (control	Rutherford backscattering spectroscop y studies, optical profilometry, and atomic force microscopy were used to characterize the specimens and identify variations in their chemical makeup as well as morphological	C. albicans biofilm formation was significantly influenced by the films (p <0.00001), reducing the number of cfu, while not affecting the roughness parameters (p >0.05). The Tukey test showed no significant difference between Gdlc and Gag	An alternate method of preventing candidiasis in denture wearers could involve applying diamond-like carbon films that have been doped or undoped with silver nanoparticle s to the base of PMMA-based dentures.

Ī	0 1:1			
	Candida	group);	structure.	
	albicans	Gdlc,	C. albicans	
	biofilms.	coated	(ATCC	
		with	18804)	
		diamon	biofilm	
		d-like	were	
		carbon	created by	
		film;	immersing	
		and	the	
		Gag,	specimens	
		coated	in 2	
		with	milliliters of	
		diamon	Sabouraud	
		dlike	dextrose	
		carbon	broth that	
		film	had been	
		dopedw	injected	
		ith	with a	
		silver	standardize	
		nanopa	d fungal	
		rticles.	suspension	
		Tucies.	after they	
			had been	
			sterilized by	
			γ-ray	
			irradiation.	
			The	
			quantity of	
			colony	
			forming	
			units (cfu)	
			in each	
			specimen	
			was counted	
			after a 24-	
			hour	
			period.	
			ANOVA	
			and the	
			Turkey test	
			were used to	
			evaluate	
			data	
			pertaining	
			to biofilm	
			formation.	
	1	<u> </u>	iorination.	

DISCUSSION

The objective of this scoping review was to evaluate the role of surface sealant application on the intaglio surface of the denture in preventing bacterial adhesion, thus preventing denture-induced stomatitis. Five articles were included in the result, which were in vitro studies. On analyzing the results, it could be inferred that coating the intaglio surface of the denture with surface sealant reduced the hydrophobicity,

International Journal of Environmental Sciences ISSN: 2229-7359 Vol. 11 No. 16s,2025 https://theaspd.com/index.php

resulting in the decreased adhesion of Candida albicans, hence preventing denture stomatitis. According to Silvia ⁴et al., surface sealants are unfilled, low-viscosity resins that reduce surface roughness and eliminate the surface irregularities on acrylic resin. Candida albicans has a preference for hydrophobic surfaces. By application of surface sealants Biscover LV on the intaglio surface of the denture, the hydrophilic nature of the denture is enhanced, thus preventing the biofilm formation that occurs due to the adherence of Candida albicans. Azuma ⁵et al. stated that the main etiological factor for dentureinduced stomatitis is the bacterial adhesion on the acrylic resin-fabricated prosthesis. This occurs due to the hydrophobic interaction of bacterial species with the intaglio denture surface. Thus, by hydrophilic surface modification, bacterial adhesion can be prevented. In this study, the author utilized silica nanoparticles dispersed in methanol solvent as a surface sealant and concluded that coating the denture surface with silica nanoparticles prevented the chances of occurrence of denture-induced stomatitis .According to Lazarin⁶ et al., there was greater adhesion of Candida albicans to rough surfaces as compared to smooth surfaces. This can be attributed to the fact that surface roughness enhances surface area, which may act as niches for microorganisms, thus favoring adhesion. It was being stated that surface coating with 2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine decreased both water contact angles and the adhesion of Candida albicans. Takahashi⁷ et al. further improvised on this topic by stating that 2methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine coating on denture base resin polymethyl methacrylate decreases bacterial biofilm formation. According to Quieroz⁸ et al., surface modifications might be considered as a good option for preventing microbial biofilm formation. Earlier it was suggested that a rough surface has a larger surface area that increases surface energy and promotes bacterial colonization. But according to the results of this article, roughness had no significant effect on biofilm formation, suggesting that reduced Candida albicans pellicle formation is due to chemical modifications of the PMMA surface, which causes reduced hydrophobicity and hence decreases bacterial colonization.

CONCLUSION

According to different authors and their research, it could be seen that coating the intaglio surface of the denture with surface sealants like Biscover LV, silica nanoparticles dipped in methanol, carbon films, etc., leads to surface modifications in the polymethyl methacrylate. These surface modifications decreased the hydrophobicity of the PMMA surface, leading to decreased affinity of Candida albicans and hence decreased chances of biofilm formation. Hence, it could be concluded that surface modifications of PMMA with surface sealants can be considered a viable option in preventing biofilm formation and hence the occurrence of denture-induced stomatitis.

REFERENCES

1.Adriana Barbosa Ribeiro, Pillar Gonçalves Pizziolo, Lorena Mosconi Clemente, Helena Cristina Aguiar, Beatriz, Augusto A, et al. Strategies for Preventing and Treating Oral Mucosal Infections Associated with Removable Dentures: A Scoping Review. Antibiotics. 2024 Mar 18;13(3):273–3.

2. Bencharit S, Altarawneh SK, Baxter SS, Carlson J, Ross GF, Border MB, et al. Elucidating the role of salivary proteins in denture stomatitis using a proteomic approach. Molecular BioSystems. 2012;8(12):3216.

3. Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Munn Z, Tricco AC, Khalil, H. Scoping Reviews (2020). Aromataris E, Lockwood C, Porritt K, Pilla B, Jordan Z, editors. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. JBI; 2024

4.Silva MJ, Denise, Marcillo OO, Neppelenbroek KH, Lara VS, Porto VC. Effect of denture-coating composite on Candida albicans biofilm and surface degradation after disinfection protocol. International Dental Journal. 2016 Jan 10;66(2):86–92.

5.Azuma A, Akiba N, ShunsukeMinakuchi. Hydrophilic surface modification of acrylic denture base material by silica coating and its influence on... Journal of Medical and Dental Sciences [Internet]. 2012 Mar 13 [cited 2025 May 2];59(1):1–7. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/253339029

6. Lazarin AA, Machado AL, Zamperini CA, Wady AF, Spolidorio DMP, Vergani CE. Effect of experimental photopolymerized coatings on the hydrophobicity of a denture base acrylic resin and on Candida albicans adhesion. Archives of Oral Biology. 2013 Jan;58(1):1–9.

7. Sibarani J, Takai M, Ishihara K. Surface modification on microfluidic devices with 2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine polymers for reducing unfavorable protein adsorption. Colloids Surf B Biointerface2007;54:88-93.

8. Queiroz JRC, Fissmer SF, Koga-Ito CY, Salvia ACRD, Massi M, Sobrinho AS da S, et al. Effect of Diamond-Like Carbon Thin Film Coated Acrylic Resin on Candida albicans Biofilm Formation. Journal of Prosthodontics. 2013 Apr 10;22(6):451–5.