International Journal of Environmental Sciences
ISSN: 2229-7359
Vol. 12 No. 2, 2026
https://theaspd.com/index.php

Future Horizons of Biomimicry in Architectural Sustainability: Challenges, Opportunities, and Climate Change Adaptation

Mohammed Moumni [1*], Widad Ratiba Biara [2]

[1] Dept. of Architecture, Faculty of Science &Technologies, Tahri Mohamed University, Bechar, Algeria; moumni.mohammed@univ-bechar.dz ORCID: 0000-0001-6915-396X
[2] Dept. of Architecture, Faculty of Science &Technologies, Tahri Mohamed University, Bechar, Algeria; biara.wided@univ-bechar.dz ORCID: 0000-0001-6682-2021

Abstract
Biomimicry has emerged as a transformative framework for advancing sustainable and climate-resilient architecture, particularly in hot and dry regions where extreme environmental pressures demand adaptive and resource-efficient design approaches. By emulating the thermoregulatory, hydrological, and morphological strategies of desert organisms, biomimicry provides practical insights for improving thermal comfort, reducing energy use, and enhancing water management in the built environment. Recent advancements in adaptive façades, bio-derived materials, and computational design have expanded the scope of biomimetic innovation, enabling more responsive and performance-oriented architectural systems. However, challenges such as high initial costs, limited interdisciplinary collaboration, contextual mismatches, and insufficient life-cycle evaluation continue to constrain widespread adoption. Integrating vernacular intelligence, ecosystem-level thinking, and emerging AI-driven design tools presents promising pathways for bridging these gaps. This article synthesizes current trends in biomimicry and outlines key opportunities for climate-change adaptation while proposing targeted directions for future research. These recommendations emphasize the need for localized biomimetic frameworks, improved translation methodologies, and the development of accessible, low-carbon materials to support scalable and context-resilient implementation. By leveraging nature's adaptive intelligence, biomimicry provides a forward-looking paradigm that guides architecture toward ecological regeneration and long-term sustainability.
Keywords: *Adaptive façades, Bio-inspired materials, Climate change adaptation, Computational design, Hot–dry climates*

INTRODUCTION

The increasing rate of the effects of climate change, urbanization, and the depletion of resources has presented the built environment with unprecedented pressure. The buildings globally produce almost 37% of carbon emissions associated with energy and constitute a substantial part of material consumption, which is why architecture is a highly influential area of sustainability intervention (UNEP, 2024). These stresses are increased in hot and dry climates, where excessive temperatures, water scarcity, and weak ecosystems require a new design strategy that reduces environmental loads and increases adaptive capacity. In that regard, biomimicry, or the imitation of strategies that nature has tested over a long period of time, has become an innovative paradigm to reconsider the fact of architectural sustainability.

Biomimicry goes beyond just aesthetic imitation; it is a scientific and systemic approach to design that is inspired by biological structures, processes, and ecosystems to create solutions that are even more efficient, resilient, and regenerative (Pathak, 2019). Recent studies indicate that there is a growing interest in transferring the role of the functional intelligence of life forms: a desert plant, insects, and animals into thermoregulating facades, water-harvesting surfaces, and adaptive shading devices and low-energy ventilation systems (Bijari et al., 2025). Due to the harsh conditions of desert ecosystems, it is especially the case that organisms in these conditions are quite prolific inspirers since they are incredibly efficient, self-shading, thermal buffering, water retention, and adaptive morphology (Arbabzadeh et al., 2020).

In the meantime, progress in the use of computational design, the development of new materials, and performance simulation has brought new avenues of applying biomimetic ideas to buildings on several scales. The works that examine the concept of plant-inspired kinetic facades, termite-inspired ventilation networks, and beetle-inspired fog-harvesting systems confirm the increasing value of biomimicry as a performance-based design strategy, as opposed to a metaphorical one (Faragalla and Asadi, 2022; Bagheri Moghaddam et al., 2023). Also, such a synergy between biomimicry and vernacular architecture, which naturally follows the adaptive logic of nature, offers culturally appropriate, climate-responsive systems that can be used to add depth to contemporary design.

In spite of these encouraging trends, the establishment of biomimicry in regular architecture is still limited due to the economic, technical, and interdisciplinary barriers. Biological illiteracy among designers, scalability issues, and the necessity to adapt to specific climatic conditions are obstacles to embracing it on a large scale (Hensel, 2013). Additionally, new works note that the environmental impact of biomimetic technologies throughout their life-cycle should be critically evaluated to prevent ecological implications that are not intended (Adams & Allacker, 2025).

It is against this backdrop that this paper will synthesize the important knowledge in biomimicry-related architectural studies, critical challenges and opportunities, and discuss its possible contribution to climate-change adaptation. It also summarizes the future research directions to develop biomimicry as a scientifically-based, contextually specific, and regenerative design paradigm. Through analysis of the present and the future outlook of biomimicry, the article helps in the current debate concerning the ability of nature-inspired innovation to influence the future generation of sustainable buildings.

Synthesis of Key Insights from Biomimicry Research

Biomimicry has become a complex design model comprising biological form, biological functional processes, and ecosystem-level principles. Over the last few years, studies have greatly enriched our comprehension of the powers of these forms of translation to bring about the sustainability of architecture, especially at times when the environment becomes stressful. This part will be a synthesis of the key findings of modern biomimetic studies and will be used to demonstrate how it applies to the built environment.

Biomimicry as a Multi-Level Design Paradigm

Scholars widely recognize that biomimicry functions across three interconnected levels (Ortega et al., 2023; Toner et al., 2023):

Organism-Level (Form) Biomimicry

This level is concerned with morphological characteristics- geometry, pattern, and structure. Examples include:

- ribbed cactus shapes applied to minimize the solar gain by self-shading;
- inspired by termite mounds, porous passive ventilated facades;
- Lotus leaf Microtextures self-cleaning hydrophobic surfaces.

Form-based biomimicry has traditionally predominated in architectural applications as it is more natural and can be more readily adapted to design tools, including parametric modeling. Nonetheless, more recent studies have condemned the purely formal mimicry as shallow unless it is combined with measures of environmental performance (Dixit & Stefańska, 2023).

Behavior-Level (Process) Biomimicry

Designers in this level mimic biological processes and not shapes. Examples include:

- hygro-responsive materials which open and close with humidity (inspired by pinecones);
- also the regulatory skins changing albedo with solar intensity (after desert beetles or Saharan silver ants);
- convective network informed passive cooling systems in termite mounds.

With further development of computational tools, behavior-level biomimicry has become possible, allowing buildings to respond in real time to climatic conditions. Research indicates that biomimicry at the process level can help to cut energy consumption by a factor of 20-45 in hot climates when combined with adaptive facades (Khosromanesh, 2024).

Ecosystem-Level Biomimicry
This level is based on the flows of resources and feedback, as well as the circularity of nature. Regenerative architecture has developed ecosystem biomimicry with the following focus:
• closed material systems,
• combined water and energy cycles,
• biodiversity-supporting infrastructure,
• and robust urban networks.
The ecosystem-based design transcends less harm to net-positive performance, which is a necessary change in response to climate-change pressures (Pedersen & Hecht, 2020).
Desert Organisms as High-Performance Models for Sustainability
Some of the worst natural laboratories to survive in are the arid ones. Living organisms within these ecosystems have high adaptation methods, which also directly respond to the issues of architecture in hot-dry environments.
Thermoregulation
The Saharan silver ant has triangular hair microstructures that reflect solar radiation and increase infrared emissivity, and act as a natural thermal shield. These microstructures have been replicated by researchers in reflective architectural coating (Kim et al., 2025).
Self-Shading & Geometry
Cacti adopt ribbed and pleated shapes to minimize the amount of heat retained through redirection of sunlight and formation of micro-shadows. Based on this, facade systems that are pleated have shown a 15-30% decrease in solar exposure (Bagheri Moghaddam et al., 2023).
Water Harvesting
The Namib Desert beetle--which boasts a hydrophilic-hydrophobic patterned carapace--has helped to inspire platforms of meshes that would collect drinkable water available in the winds (Yu et al., 2022).
Thermal Buffering & Insulation
Insulation and heat dissipation patterns of fur and skin of camels inspire better thermal inertia roofing systems.
The adaptations are measures of graceful, resource-efficient adjustments to environmental extremes and can provide patterns to build environmental resilience.
Integration of Biomimicry with Vernacular and Traditional Architecture
Vernacular design and biomimicry have a common logic: both are developed over a long period of adaptation to the local climates. Recent literature examines the potential to use biomimicry to supplement - and be inspired by - conventional architectural techniques in arid climates.
Shared principles include:
• courtyard cooling, which imitates the microclimates caused by plant canopies;
• wind towers, which serve the same purpose as termite ventilation chimneys;
• bulky thermal-mass building, which resembles desert burrows and cushions temperature extremes;
• shading screens (mashrabiyas) which run parallel to leaf canopies and animal dermal shading.
Researchers claim that a hybrid system of vernacular climatic intelligence and biomimetic science forms culturally grounded and environmental optimization systems (Arbabzadeh et al., 2020; Abdulmajeed, 2023). This is especially important in situations in which imported high-tech solutions could be economically unfeasible or culturally incompatible.
Digital Tools as Enablers of Advanced Biomimicry
The last five years have seen a boom in computational aids that make biomimicry possible:
• Biological patterns can be translated into responsive geometries using parametric design platforms (Grasshopper, Rhino).
• Performance is validated by simulating CFD and energy before construction.
• Evolutionary algorithms are utilized to evoke natural selection to maximize building envelopes.

As an example, genetic algorithm-optimized and biomimetic kinetic facades have been developed with up to 28% of energy savings in virtual experiments (Abdel-Rahman, 2021).
With the combination of AI and bio-inspired algorithms, biomimicry is currently being driven in predictive, data-focused design models that are able to respond dynamically to climatic variability.
Challenges in Implementing Biomimicry in Architecture
Even though biomimicry in architecture has been increasingly involved in scholarly and professional discussion, it is generally experiencing a number of ongoing issues that hamper its popular use. These issues are multi-dimensional, such as economic, technical, interdisciplinary, contextual, and regulatory. Their knowledge is a key to finding significant ways of going to more scalable and impactful implementations.

Economic and Material Constraints

One of the major obstacles to the implementation of biomimicry is the costly nature of a novel biomimetic material, adaptive facade, and high-precision fabrication technology. A significant number of biomimetic envelopes demand:

- responsive or microstructured specialized materials,
- custom fabrication, e.g., 3D printing, CNC milling,
- complicated modeling systems, which raise design time and consultant fees.

The authors state that commercialization of the biomimetic technologies is not as fast as expected due to the lack of such material at low costs (Blanco et al., 2021). Moreover, the extreme price of adaptive biomimetic systems application is competing with less urgent socio-economic needs in developing economies, including those in the Global South (Arbabzadeh et al., 2020).
Although biomimetic systems can provide long-term operational subsidies, such as by consuming less energy or incurring less maintenance, the financial disparity between short-term and long-term payback is still an issue, particularly in low-income areas with no system of green financing.

Technological Readiness and Skills Gap

Biomimicry is a multidisciplinary and technologically advanced discipline. Biological strategies can be translated successfully only in the case:

- bio-informed analysis,
- parametric modeling,
- simulations of the environment (CFD, daylighting, energy modeling),
- material-science expertise,
- and more and more, AI-based optimization instruments.

Nevertheless, a great number of architectural practitioners do not have a formal background in biological sciences or data-driven design. Recent reports also observe that there is a vast skills gap between architects and biologists, which leads to incomplete or superficial translations of biological processes (Hensel, 2013; Dixit & Stefańska, 2023).
In addition, although there are advanced computing platforms, their distribution is not even. Colleges and design companies in underserved areas can have low access to:

- high-performance computing,
- simulation software,
- or fabrication labs.

In the absence of these tools, biomimicry is usually kept as a conceptual design as opposed to actual construction. This stifles the process of experimentation and prototypes for the construction of architectural systems.

Limited Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Close coordination of architects, biologists, engineers, ecologists, and material scientists is necessary in biomimicry. However, this kind of cooperation is still the exception, not the rule.
According to research, a translation gap (a lack of a connection between a biological basis and an architectural manifestation) is observed over and over again (Ortega et al., 2023). Biologists normally explain things in

evolutionary or ecological terms, whereas architects need functionally specific parameters, which can be converted to geometry, material behaviour, or energy performance.

The lack of standardized structures or common terminologies seems to offer solutions only resembling the outlook of biology without reflecting its functional smartness. It is a problem that adds to the dominance of bio-aesthetic over bio-functional results in the design practice (Pedersen & Hecht, 2020).

Contextual and Climatic Mismatch

Contextual mismatch is one of the most ignored problems of biomimicry. The biomimetic solutions engineered in temperate environments might fail to be efficient in hot-dry environments.

For example:

- Adaptive facades. The adaptive facade systems designed to work well in moderate climates might overheat or not work well in the extreme desert environment.
- Biomimetic skins that are high-tech and need a significant amount of fabrication are not realistic in the region with a lower manufacturing infrastructure.
- Water-harvesting biomimicry based on inland arid ecosystems with fog solution, which captures the moisture of fogs, may not bring enough water to the atmosphere when there is not enough moisture.

Arbabzadeh et al. (2020) warn that the biological inspiration should be screened by climate-specific adaptation in order to prevent inefficient or culturally unsuitable results. Without a strict environmental performance model and local material concerns, biomimetic designs are bound to become symbolic instead of practical.

Lifecycle Environmental Trade-Offs

Although biomimicry seems to be necessarily sustainable, new studies are placing alarming predictions on possible environmental trade-offs.

Adams & Allacker, (2025) point out that not all adaptive radiative cooling materials, even though energy-saving, can have unintended radiative forcing effects, which cause warming due to material composition and scale of deployment. This poses grave concerns as to whether some of the high-level biomimetic technologies are always long-term climate sustainable.

Similarly:

- Kinetic systems are also easy to maintain, and with this, the material turns over regularly;
- specialized materials can be either not recyclable or biodegradable;
- Complex mechanized systems can be characterized by large embodied carbon.

This highlights the necessity of including the life-cycle assessment (LCA) perspective in the field of biomimicry research so that the notion of biological inspiration would not inadvertently generate unseen environmental expenses.

Regulatory, Market, and Institutional Barriers

New biomimetic technologies do not find it easy to navigate the conventional regulatory systems:

- Adaptive membranes and bio-inspired materials are not very common in building codes.
- The certification schemes (LEED, BREEAM) also reward traditional measures of sustainability instead of the biological performance.
- Unfamiliar systems might not gain acceptance in construction markets because they are perceived to be risky.

Moreover, the lack of standardized performance criteria of biomimetic systems cannot enable the stakeholders in biomimetic systems, including engineers, contractors, and policymakers, to assess feasibility.

Most architectural practices at the institutional level lack the time and resources to attempt to apply biomimicry unless it is supported by sponsored research relationships. This limits its implementation to non-academic or high-budget experimental research.

Opportunities and Emerging Directions

Biomimicry is one of the fast-growing areas of possibilities that can affect the future of sustainable architecture greatly, as the hot and dry climate imposes an ever-increasing challenge to the conventional methods of construction, which are being questioned by the rise in temperatures, shortage of resources, and unstable climate. Overall, the merger of the biological science, computational design, and material innovation has created a new frontier where buildings are able to act with more intelligence, flexibility, and be more ecologically sensitive.

Among the most promising trends is the development of adaptive and intelligent building envelopes. As opposed to the use of static walls or mechanical systems, modern studies are looking into the use of materials and facade systems that can react autonomously to environmental fluctuations. The swellings and contractions of hygro-responsive materials in response to humidity, such as pinecones, reveal that passive, low-energy processes can control ventilation or shading without requiring any mechanical action. In the same way, organism-inspired thermochromic and reflective surfaces, including the Saharan silver ant or Namib beetle, have been demonstrated to be very effective at reducing heat absorption and increasing radiative cooling potential in hot climates (Wu et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2022). It is through these systems that the building envelope can become a dynamic interface that has the capacity to be self-regulated to enhance the thermal comfort of the building whilst reducing the use of active cooling technologies.

The opportunities are further heightened by the increased access to sophisticated computational tools. Parametric modelling environments, evolutionary computers, and AI-based optimization methods enable designers to render biological concepts into high-performance architecture. Genetic algorithm-based studies, such as the one, have shown that there can be significant increases in the efficiency of shading and energy savings by converting biological patterns into facade geometries (Soltani & Atashi, A, 2023). These methods transform biomimicry into a metaphorical process into a performance-based design process. An additional opportunity layer can be provided by the explainable AI (XAI) integration in urban and building performance modeling, allowing designers to know the effects of the morphological factor on cooling demand, solar exposure, and airflow in hot-dry areas (Eshraghi et al., 2025).

Beyond the size of single structures, biomimicry provides avenues to ecosystem-based and regenerative design, which have become extremely popular on the sustainability platform. Regenerative biomimicry moves the paradigm of environmental harm minimization to restoring ecological systems, which are inspired by nutrient cycles, water flow, symbiotic networks, and ecosystem resilience. Architecturally, this would mean closed water loop systems, bio-based materials with the ability to re-enter the natural cycle, and results or rooftops and facades that have a value as habitat. Recent research that combines vegetation and biomimetic geometries indicates that hybrid green facades can increase biodiversity, prevent heat absorption, as well as maintain urban microclimates (Bagheri Moghaddam et al., 2023). The approaches not only enable sustainable performance but also long-term ecological health.

The other potential point of convergence is the blending of the vernacular cultures with scientific biomimicry. Hot, dry countries have architectural traditions of thick earthen walls, courtyard houses, wind towers, and elaborate shading screens, which share the principles of biological systems. Modern studies indicate that applying these vernacular techniques to the prism of biomimicry and reinterpreting them with the help of computational tools can be used to provide highly efficient and culturally responsive design solutions (Arbabzadeh et al., 2020). The combination of local information and scientific abstracts allows biomimicry to be more accessible, more contextually relevant, particularly in places where the high-tech solution can be economically or technologically infeasible.

Biomimetic architecture is also widening its opportunities thanks to material science. There are bio-based polymers, lightweight microstructured coatings, 3D-printed biomorphic components, and mycelium composites that are becoming viable alternatives to the traditional and resource-consuming materials. These materials tend to provide better insulation, biodegradability, or adaptive performance by minimizing the environmental footprints and increasing building resilience. The possibility of wide-scale adoption is growing as the cost of fabrication technologies is becoming more energy-efficient and affordable.

Lastly, climate risk awareness has encouraged policymakers and sustainability systems to adopt biomimetic and nature-based solutions. The urban climate has become an integral part of the city plan of many arid cities

to include biomimetic shading, pedestrian cooling systems, and ecological restoration initiatives (UN-Habitat, 2023). This institutional interest generates a desirable environment to conduct more research, pilot projects, and finally standardization of biomimetic practices.
Overall, it can be concluded that opportunities introduced by biomimicry represent a radical change in architectural thinking, which is based on immobile resource-intensive systems, to adaptive, multi-functional, and ecologically integrated design thinking. These evolutions have a high potential to solve the environmental problems of future generations, especially in climates where resiliency and efficiency are the key factors.

Biomimicry and Climate Change Adaptation

Environmental pressures on the built environment are being aggravated by climate change, especially in hot and dry areas, where increasing temperatures, prolonged drought, and extreme heat waves are more and more devastating. Biomimicry presents a unique and most valuable way to approach climate-adaptive architectural approaches because it is based on the logic of adaptation of organisms and ecosystems that have survived through the years of millions adapting under the same adverse conditions. With cities in pursuit of resilient design solutions, the future role of biomimicry as a tool to aid in climate-change adaptation becomes clearer.
Biological Thermoregulation as a Model for Heat-Resilient Design
The issue of thermal comfort is among the most important issues in hot-dry conditions. Biological systems offer an abundance of mechanisms for controlling heat by reflectivity, self-shading, convection, evaporative cooling, and insulation. Passive thermal adaptation can be seen in organisms like the Saharan silver ant, which has triangular micro-hairs that reflect visible and near-infrared radiation. Reconstruction of such microstructures in architectural finishes demonstrates viable improvements in heat gain reduction and general improvements in radiative cooling capabilities, which are of great importance as temperatures increase (Kim et al., 2025).
Plants also offer high complexity thermoregulation. An example is the pleated ribs of cacti, which lower the exposure to the sun and allow heat to dissipate. When these geometries are generalized into building facades, they can lower the surface temperature and solar heat gain, thus lowering indoor cooling loads. These approaches are very appropriate in the context of an urgent necessity to decrease reliance on mechanical cooling systems, which are increasingly energy-demanding due to the increase in temperature (Bagheri Moghaddam et al., 2023).

Water Scarcity, Fog Harvesting, and Biomimetic Hydrology

Climate change has increased water scarcity in most areas, and water-sensitive design is a part and parcel of climate adjustment. The biomimetic water harvesting strategies offer viable and effective solutions. The Namib Desert beetle has a patterned shell that has inspired mud-harvesting nets and surface covers that are able to condense and direct atmospheric water (Yu et al., 2022). Although the mist density changes depending on location, these systems can augment traditional water sources, especially for irrigation, evaporative cooling, and non-potable domestic applications.
Biomimetic hydrology is not restricted to just the harvesting of mists. Plants in the desert, like agave and species of cactuses, show how to retain moisture, the movement of water by capillary action, and the micro-topographical features that optimize the dew-catching capabilities. To replicate these strategies, architectural researchers are testing facade materials and roof geometries that can collect, store, or redirect water more efficiently, a crucial task in the face of prolonged droughts.

Adaptive Morphologies and Climate-Responsive Urban Form

In addition to the scope of the individual buildings, biomimicry itself provides a means of enhancing urban resilience. Numerous organisms control their temperatures or water levels not only by material properties but also by morphological structures, e.g., burrow networks, plant spacing structures, or termite mound ventilation structures. Similar biological logic can guide the creation of urban morphology.

Recent examine the explainable AI (XAI) and urban building energy modeling (UBEM) has revealed that some of the urban forms, e.g., compact building clusters or courtyard-based forms, work better during extreme heat conditions due to the ability to create shade, minimize sunlight exposure, and natural ventilation (Eshraghi et al., 2025). These results can be compared to trends in desert vegetation, whereby spacing and orientation maximize resource allocation and heat regulation. These similarities suggest that biomimetic principles have a high possibility of being used to develop climate-aware urban planning.

Ecosystem-Level Insights for Regenerative Climate Adaptation

Even adaptation to climate change not only demands a decrease in vulnerability, but also the restoration of ecological systems and greater environmental resilience. Biomimicry at the ecosystem level offers a way of creating structures and neighborhoods that replicate the ecological communities in their capacity to recycle resources, be self-driven, and sustain life.

Practically, this can be in the form of buildings that recycle water and organic waste, which mimic transpiration to provide passive cooling, or plants biodiverse in character, which add to the local microclimates. Research demonstrates that ecosystem-inspired architectural features, including vegetated surfaces, rooftop ecosystems, and built-in water cycles, can help to reduce heat islands, to cool local temperatures, and to increase resilience to extreme heat events (Pedersen & Hecht, 2020).

Climate-Positive Material Cycles and Low-Carbon Innovation

Adapting to the climate also requires less embodied carbon and components that consider long-term environmental objectives. Biological systems are known to be the best at making materials lightweight, low-energy, biodegradable, and structurally efficient. Bio-polymers, microstructured coatings, bio-cement, and mycelium composites are also new low-carbon materials that take direct inspiration from biological processes. The materials are specifically applicable in climate adaptation due to their thermal stability, hygromodulating effect, and ecologically compliant nature with no high carbon footprint of conventional materials. With the ongoing focus on low-carbon construction through climate policies, biomimetic materials will be at the center of attention.

Put collectively, the input of biomimicry to climate change adaptation underscores a number of themes. Biological thermal regulation can offer low-energy thermal comfort models; bio-mimetic hydrology can offer novel strategies in water harvesting and management; the morphology of organisms and ecosystems can offer climate-responsive forms to buildings and the city, and bio-based materials can offer low-carbon and regenerative building systems and practices.

Biomimicry can not only bring technical innovation, but it can also bring a paradigm shift in the face of a growing climate uncertainty, not looking to overcome the environment but to learn and understand how nature copes with climatic challenges. This change is particularly essential in hot-dry climates, where climate resilience cannot be reached by traditional means itself. To develop these opportunities further, specific research work is necessary to address any existing gaps in knowledge, technology, and interdisciplinary collaboration, as the next section will detail.

Future Research Directions

Biomimicry of architectural sustainability needs focused research in order to address existing gaps in knowledge, refine technical potential, and improve ecological performance. It is suggested that future scholarly, professional, and interdisciplinary investigations be guided by the following research directions:

- Invent climate-specific biomimetic models in hot-dry areas, emphasizing regionally-specific biological models, local material opportunities, and local vernacular fit to provide a functional and cultural fit.
- Standardized protocols and common terminologies and cross-disciplinary tools that enhance communication between architects, biologists, engineers, and ecologists. Establish organized methodologies to translate biological mechanisms into architectural systems.
- Scale up studies of low embodied carbon biomimetic materials, including mycelium composites, bio-polymers, microstructured coats, and bioforms 3D-printed, and with a focus on durability, recyclability, and affordability at large scales.

• Add life-cycle assessment (LCA) and long-term environmental performance analysis to biomimetic building elements to determine possible trade-offs, e.g., embodied carbon, maintenance, radiative forcing, and end-of-life effects.
• Further parametric, AI-assisted, and data-driven biomimicry, such as genetic algorithm application, explainable AI (XAI), and multi-objective optimization to refine form-finding, climate responsiveness, and facade adaptability, is based on empirical performance data.
• Research biomimetic approaches to water resilience, such as fog harvesting, dew collection, hydrophilic-hydrophobic patterning, and moisture-gathering facade systems, especially in places where water is being depleted faster.
• Develop ecosystem-level biomimicry of regenerative city design, exploring how nutrient cycling, symbiosis, biodiversity assemblies, and ecosystem feedback can be applied to large-scale environmental restoration in cities.
• Test practical pilot projects and post-occupancy performance, end-user comfort with thermal performance, water conservation, energy conservation, user acceptance, and maintenance behavior to develop evidence-based knowledge of biomimetic systems in practice.
• Enhance interdisciplinary research platforms and curricula, to allow students and practitioners to acquire biological literacy, ecology design mindset, and computational capability necessary to practice high-level biomimicry.
• Evaluate policy frameworks, construction regulations, and sustainability certifications to understand how biomimetic principles can be formally ingested into the standards, incentives, green-rating systems, and climate adaptation guidelines.
• Explore biomimetic solutions to cost-effective resource-limited environments, whereby biomimicry innovation should be affordable and expandable, especially in developing areas that are most sensitive to climatic effects.

These guidelines emphasize the new scientific, technological, and ecological concerns required to take biomimicry to the next level as a serious, meaningful, and context-sensitive solution to sustainable architecture. Further study in the fields can help speed up the transition between conceptual or experimental practical usage and more extensive and regenerative design paradigms that would be able to deal with the issues of a fast-evolving climate.

CONCLUSION

Biomimicry offers an interesting and more and more necessary approach to the environmental issues of modern architecture, especially in hot and dry areas where extreme temperatures, water deficit, and the acceleration of urbanization contribute to the greater need for innovative solutions. Biomimetic architecture can also help in designing buildings and cities that are more energy efficient, resilient, and ecologically integrated by providing inspiration from the adaptive intelligence of biological forms, processes, and ecosystems. According to the article, it takes not only technological and material invention but also a more profound interdisciplinary cooperation, context-sensitive adaptation, and a critical assessment of environmental consequences throughout the entire life cycle of design to make meaningful progress in the field of biomimicry. Although other issues like economic viability, technological maturity, and regulatory compatibility are also critical, the trends in biomimicry about climate-responsive design are among the emerging opportunities that include adaptive envelopes, bio-derived materials, and ecosystem-inspired urban systems. In the future, the further development of biomimicry will demand the continuation of research activity, the careful balance of both vernacular and ecological experience, and the creation of working models to render the biological strategies available to the designers and policy-makers. Biomimicry may assist in a shift to a form of architecture that not only reduces violence but also serves to heal ecologically and provide resilience to climate change in the long term.

REFERENCES

1.Abdel-Rahman, W. S. M. (2021). Thermal performance optimization of parametric building envelope based on bio-mimetic inspiration. Ain Shams Engineering Journal, 12(1), 1133–1142.
2.Abdulmajeed, S. M. A. (2023). A qualitative assessment of biomimetic and vernacular approaches to design for extremely hot environments of the GCC countries. Texas A&M University.
3.Adams, N., & Allacker, K. (2025). Parameter sensitivity and data uncertainty assessment of the cradle-to-gate environmental impact of state-of-the-art passive daytime radiative cooling materials. Environmental Sciences Europe, 37(1), 53.
4.Ahmadian, E., Elnokaly, A., Sodagar, B., & Verhaert, I. (2022). Impact of climate on building energy performance, urban built form and urban geometry. In International Conference on Sustainability in Energy and Buildings (pp. 1–11). Springer Nature Singapore.
5.Arbabzadeh, M., Etessam, I., & Mofidi, S. S. M. (2020). Passive thermoregulation in vernacular and biomimetic architecture in hot and arid climate.
6.Bagheri-Moghaddam, F., Banihashemi, S., Bakhshoodeh, R., Mir, J. M. F., & Delgado, I. N. (2023). Biomimicry green façade: Integrating nature into building façades for enhanced building envelope efficiency.
7.Bijari, M., Aflaki, A., & Esfandiari, M. (2025). Plants inspired biomimetics architecture in modern buildings: A review of form, function and energy. Biomimetics, 10(2), 124.
8.Blanco, E., Pedersen Zari, M., Raskin, K., & Clergeau, P. (2021). Urban ecosystem-level biomimicry and regenerative design: Linking ecosystem functioning and urban built environments. Sustainability, 13(1), 404.
9.Dixit, S., & Stefańska, A. (2023). Bio-logic, a review on the biomimetic application in architectural and structural design. Ain Shams Engineering Journal, 14(1), 101822.
10. Eshraghi, P., Talami, R., Dehnavi, A. N., Mirdamadi, M., & Zomorodian, Z. S. (2025). Adopting explainable-AI to investigate the impact of urban morphology design on energy and environmental performance in dry-arid climates. Advances in Building Energy Research, 1–35.
11. Faragalla, A. M., & Asadi, S. (2022). Biomimetic design for adaptive building façades: A paradigm shift towards environmentally conscious architecture. Energies, 15(15), 5390.
12. Hensel, M. (2013). Design innovation for the built environment: Research by design and the renovation of practice. Routledge.
13. Kim, H. R., Han, W. B., Heo, S. Y., Go, Y. S., Hwang, S. W., & Song, Y. M. (2025). Bio-inspired strategy for radiation-based thermal management and utilization. Advanced Science, e02851.
14. Khosromanesh, R. (2024). Towards refining bio-inspired hydro-actuated building facades by emphasising the importance of hybrid adaptability. Sustainability, 16(3), 959.
15. Ortega Del Rosario, M. D. L. Á., Beermann, K., & Chen Austin, M. (2023). Environmentally responsive materials for building envelopes: A review on manufacturing and biomimicry-based approaches. Biomimetics, 8(1), 52.
16. Pathak, S. (2019). Biomimicry: Innovation inspired by nature. International Journal of New Technology and Research, 5(6), 34–38.
17. Pedersen Zari, M., & Hecht, K. (2020). Biomimicry for regenerative built environments: Mapping design strategies for producing ecosystem services. Biomimetics, 5(2), 18.
18. Soltani, M., & Atashi, A. (2023). Designing a kinetic façade using BB-BC algorithm with a focus on enhancing building energy efficiency. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.18650.
19. Toner, J., Desha, C., Reis, K., Hes, D., & Hayes, S. (2023). Integrating ecological knowledge into regenerative design: A rapid practice review. Sustainability, 15(17), 13271.
20. UN-Habitat. (2023). Nature-based and biomimetic solutions for resilient cities. United Nations Human Settlements Programme. https://unhabitat.org/sites/default/files/2023/06/2310564e.pdf
21. United Nations Environment Programme & Global Alliance for Buildings and Construction. (2024, March). Global status report for buildings and construction: Beyond foundations: Mainstreaming sustainable solutions to cut emissions from the buildings sector. Nairobi. https://www.unep.org/resources/report/global-status-report-buildings-and-construction-20242025
22. Wu, W., Lin, S., Wei, M., Huang, J., Xu, H., Lu, Y., & Song, W. (2020). Flexible passive radiative cooling inspired by Saharan silver ants. Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells, 210, 110512.
23. Yu, Z., Zhu, T., Zhang, J., Ge, M., Fu, S., & Lai, Y. (2022). Fog harvesting devices inspired from single to multiple creatures: Current progress and future perspective. Advanced Functional Materials, 32(26), 2200359.