International Journal of Environmental Sciences
ISSN: 2229-7359
Vol. 10 No. 6s, 2024
https://theaspd.com/index.php

Geospatial Assessment of Urban Sprawl and Its Impacts on Water Body Sustainability in a Semi-Arid Region: A Remote Sensing and GIS Perspective

Aayushi Gour*[1], Dr. Umesh Garu[1], Prachi Singh[1]
[1] Department of environmental science Mewar university Chittorgarh, Rajasthan

---

Abstract
Rapid urban expansion in semi-arid regions has emerged as a critical environmental concern due to its direct and indirect impacts on fragile hydrological systems. Urban sprawl alters natural land surfaces, increases impervious cover, disrupts drainage patterns, and accelerates the degradation of surface water bodies that are vital for ecological balance and human sustenance. This review synthesizes existing research on geospatial approaches used to assess urban sprawl and evaluate its implications for water body sustainability, with particular emphasis on remote sensing and Geographic Information System (GIS) techniques. Multi-temporal satellite imagery, spatial metrics, and land use/land cover change analyses have proven effective in detecting urban growth patterns and quantifying associated hydrological transformations. The literature indicates that unplanned urbanization in semi-arid environments intensifies runoff, reduces groundwater recharge, increases sediment and pollutant loads, and contributes to the shrinkage or disappearance of lakes, ponds, and wetlands. Despite significant methodological advances, gaps remain in integrating climatic variability, socio-economic drivers, and high-resolution temporal datasets into spatio-hydrological assessments. This review highlights current analytical frameworks, commonly used indicators, and emerging technologies such as machine learning and high-resolution imagery that can enhance monitoring accuracy. The study underscores the need for integrated geospatial assessment models to support sustainable urban planning and water resource management. Strengthening interdisciplinary approaches and policy linkages is essential for mitigating the adverse effects of urban sprawl and ensuring long-term water body sustainability in vulnerable semi-arid landscapes.

Keyword: *water body shrinkage, pollution, hydrological imbalance*

INTRODUCTION

Urbanization is one of the most significant forms of land transformation shaping environmental systems across the globe. In recent decades, the expansion of cities beyond their planned boundaries—commonly referred to as urban sprawl—has accelerated due to population growth, economic development, infrastructure expansion, and changing land-use demands (1,2). Unlike compact urban growth, sprawl is typically characterized by low-density development, fragmented landscapes, and unplanned spatial expansion into agricultural land, forests, and natural ecosystems. Such transformations substantially alter surface characteristics, hydrological processes, and ecological stability, particularly in environmentally sensitive regions (3).

Semi-arid regions are especially vulnerable to the impacts of urban sprawl because of their inherently limited water availability, high evaporation rates, and fragile ecological balance (4). Surface water bodies in these regions—such as lakes, ponds, reservoirs, and wetlands—play a crucial role in maintaining hydrological equilibrium, supporting biodiversity, regulating microclimates, and sustaining local livelihoods (5). However, rapid urban expansion often leads to encroachment, pollution, sedimentation, and hydrological disruption of these water bodies. Impervious surfaces like roads, rooftops, and pavements reduce infiltration, increase runoff, and accelerate the transport of contaminants into aquatic systems, thereby threatening their long-term sustainability (6).

Traditional methods of monitoring urban growth and water body changes rely heavily on ground surveys and statistical records, which are often time-consuming, spatially limited, and resource intensive. The emergence of geospatial technologies—particularly remote sensing and Geographic Information Systems (GIS)—has revolutionized environmental monitoring by enabling large-scale, multi-temporal, and cost-effective analysis of land surface dynamics (7). Satellite imagery allows researchers to map land use/land cover changes, detect urban expansion patterns, and quantify alterations in water spread area over time (8). GIS-based spatial analysis further supports the integration of environmental, hydrological, and socio-economic datasets, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of urbanization impacts (9).

In semi-arid urban centers such as Chittorgarh, the interaction between expanding built-up areas and shrinking water resources represents a growing sustainability challenge. These regions often depend on seasonal rainfall and limited surface storage systems, making them highly sensitive to anthropogenic disturbances. Monitoring urban sprawl and its hydrological consequences is therefore essential for informed planning, water resource management, and ecological conservation.

Recent scientific literature demonstrates increasing interest in applying geospatial techniques to analyze the relationship between urban growth and water body dynamics. Studies have utilized multi-temporal satellite datasets, spatial metrics, landscape indices, and change detection models to evaluate patterns of land transformation and associated environmental effects. While these investigations provide valuable insights, their findings are often dispersed across disciplines, methodologies, and geographic contexts, highlighting the need for a consolidated synthesis of knowledge.

This review aims to critically examine existing research on geospatial assessment of urban sprawl and its impacts on water body sustainability in semi-arid regions. It focuses on methodological approaches, commonly used indicators, analytical frameworks, and key findings reported in previous studies. Additionally, the review identifies knowledge gaps, methodological limitations, and future research directions necessary for improving assessment accuracy and policy relevance. By integrating evidence from diverse studies, this work seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how geospatial technologies can support sustainable urban planning and water resource conservation in environmentally vulnerable landscapes.

Definition of Urban Sprawl

Urban sprawl refers to the uncontrolled, low-density, and spatially dispersed expansion of urban areas into surrounding rural or natural landscapes. It is typically characterized by leapfrog development, ribbon construction along transport corridors, fragmented land parcels, and heavy dependence on private transportation. Unlike compact urban growth, sprawl often lacks coordinated planning and efficient infrastructure provision, resulting in inefficient land use patterns, environmental degradation, and increased pressure on natural resources. Scholars commonly assess sprawl through indicators such as built-up density, land consumption rate, spatial fragmentation, and urban edge expansion.

Characteristics of Semi-Arid Environments

Semi-arid regions are defined by limited and highly variable precipitation, generally receiving between 250 and 500 mm of rainfall annually. These environments exhibit high evapotranspiration rates, sparse vegetation cover, and thin or poorly developed soils, making them particularly sensitive to disturbances. Water scarcity is a defining feature, and hydrological systems in such regions depend heavily on seasonal rainfall and localized storage structures. Because of their fragile ecological balance, even minor land use changes can significantly disrupt surface runoff patterns, groundwater recharge, and soil moisture retention.

Importance of Surface Water Bodies in Dry Climates

In water-limited environments, surface water bodies—including lakes, ponds, reservoirs, wetlands, and tanks—serve as critical ecological and socio-economic assets. They regulate hydrological cycles, support biodiversity, moderate local temperatures, and act as primary water sources for domestic, agricultural, and industrial use. These water bodies also function as natural recharge zones that replenish groundwater reserves. Their degradation or disappearance can therefore lead to severe consequences, including declining water tables, loss of habitat, reduced agricultural productivity, and heightened vulnerability to drought.

Role of Geospatial Tools in Environmental Monitoring

Geospatial technologies such as remote sensing and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) have become indispensable for analyzing environmental change, particularly in regions where field-based monitoring is limited. Satellite imagery enables multi-temporal observation of land use/land cover transformations, detection of urban expansion patterns, and quantification of surface water extent over time. GIS platforms allow integration of spatial, environmental, and socio-economic datasets, facilitating advanced analyses such as change detection, spatial modeling, and impact assessment. These tools provide objective, repeatable, and large-scale monitoring capabilities, making them essential for understanding the interactions between urbanization and hydrological systems.

Urban Sprawl Dynamics

Drivers of Urban Sprawl

Urban sprawl is a complex process influenced by multiple demographic, economic, and infrastructural forces that reshape land use patterns over time. One of the primary drivers is rapid population growth, which increases demand for housing, transportation networks, and public services, often pushing development toward peri-urban and rural fringes (10). As urban populations expand, land values in city centers rise, encouraging outward expansion where land is cheaper and regulations may be weaker (11).

Industrialization is another major catalyst. The establishment of manufacturing zones, industrial corridors, and logistics hubs typically requires large land parcels, which are more readily available outside dense urban cores. Such development attracts labor migration, stimulates residential construction nearby, and accelerates spatial expansion. Closely linked to industrial growth is infrastructure expansion, including highways, bypass roads, rail networks, and utility corridors. Transportation infrastructure in particular promotes sprawl by increasing accessibility to peripheral land, thereby facilitating dispersed settlement patterns and automobile-dependent development (12).

Policy and planning frameworks also indirectly contribute to sprawl. Inadequate zoning enforcement, fragmented governance, and lack of integrated regional planning often lead to uncoordinated land conversion and scattered urban growth (13). In semi-arid regions, where ecological resilience is already limited, these drivers can rapidly transform natural landscapes and intensify pressure on water resources.

Indicators Used to Measure Urban Sprawl

Quantifying urban sprawl requires measurable spatial indicators that capture its morphological and structural characteristics. One widely used indicator is built-up density , which reflects the proportion of constructed area within a defined spatial unit. Lower density typically indicates dispersed development patterns associated with sprawl (14).

Another important metric is landscape fragmentation , which measures how continuous land covers such as vegetation or agricultural land are divided into smaller, isolated patches. Increased fragmentation suggests unplanned expansion and ecological disruption. Fragmentation indices derived from landscape ecology—such as patch density, edge density, and contagion index—are frequently used in geospatial analyses to evaluate these changes.

Edge expansion is also a key indicator, referring to outward growth occurring along the urban fringe. This type of expansion reflects incremental extension of built-up areas into adjacent rural land and is often considered an early stage of sprawl (15). In contrast, leapfrog development describes discontinuous urbanization in which new built-up patches emerge far from existing urban boundaries, leaving undeveloped land in between. Leapfrog growth is widely regarded as one of the most unsustainable forms of sprawl because it increases infrastructure costs, reduces land-use efficiency, and accelerates environmental degradation (16).

Together, these indicators provide a multidimensional framework for assessing urban expansion patterns. When integrated with remote sensing and GIS datasets, they enable researchers to quantify spatial growth trends, compare cities, and evaluate environmental impacts with high precision.

Water Body Sustainability

Hydrological Balance

Water body sustainability is fundamentally linked to the maintenance of hydrological balance within a watershed. This balance represents the equilibrium between water inputs (precipitation, surface inflow, groundwater discharge) and outputs (evaporation, seepage, withdrawal, and runoff). In stable systems, these components interact dynamically to maintain water levels, quality, and ecological function. However, land use changes—especially urban expansion—disrupt this balance by increasing impervious surfaces, reducing infiltration, and accelerating surface runoff. Such alterations can cause rapid fluctuations in water levels, sediment deposition, and long-term shrinkage of lakes, ponds, and reservoirs.

Wetland Ecology

Wetlands are among the most productive ecosystems and play a critical role in sustaining surface water systems. They act as natural filters that remove pollutants, trap sediments, and regulate nutrient cycles (17). Wetlands also support diverse flora and fauna, many of which depend on stable hydrological conditions for survival. Disturbances such as land reclamation, urban encroachment, and pollution can degrade wetland habitats, leading to biodiversity loss and reduced ecosystem resilience. Because wetlands serve as transitional zones between terrestrial and aquatic systems, their degradation often signals broader environmental instability.

Groundwater Recharge

Surface water bodies are closely connected to groundwater systems, particularly in semi-arid regions where recharge opportunities are limited (18). Lakes, tanks, and ponds often function as recharge zones that allow water to percolate through soil layers and replenish aquifers. When these water bodies are reduced in size, lined with concrete, or disconnected from natural drainage channels, recharge rates decline. This can lead to falling groundwater tables, increased pumping costs, and long-term water scarcity. Sustainable management of surface water systems is therefore essential not only for visible water resources but also for maintaining subsurface reserves.

Climate Sensitivity

Water bodies in dry and semi-arid climates are highly sensitive to climatic variability. Small changes in temperature or precipitation can significantly influence evaporation rates, water storage capacity, and seasonal persistence. Rising temperatures tend to intensify evaporation, while irregular rainfall patterns can reduce inflow and increase the frequency of drought conditions (19). Climate change further amplifies these stresses by altering hydrological cycles, making water bodies more vulnerable to drying, eutrophication, and ecological imbalance. Consequently, the sustainability of surface water systems depends on both local land management practices and broader climatic stability.

Remote Sensing Data Sources Used in Studies

Remote sensing datasets form the backbone of geospatial analyses for assessing urban sprawl and monitoring changes in water bodies. Multi-source satellite imagery enables researchers to examine spatial patterns, detect land use transitions, and quantify environmental impacts across temporal and regional scales. The most commonly used datasets in urban–hydrological studies are summarized below.

Description of Major Datasets

Landsat Series

The Landsat program provides one of the longest continuous Earth observation records, dating back to 1972, and is widely used for detecting urban expansion patterns and mapping surface water changes (20). Its moderate spatial resolution and extensive temporal archive make it particularly suitable for long-term environmental trend analysis.

Sentinel-2

Sentinel-2 satellites provide high spatial resolution multispectral imagery with frequent revisit intervals, enabling precise mapping of urban boundaries, wetlands, and seasonal land cover variations.

MODIS

MODIS data products are commonly used to monitor vegetation dynamics, evapotranspiration, land surface temperature, and climate-related environmental variables. Although its spatial resolution is coarser than Landsat or Sentinel-2, its high temporal frequency supports time-series analysis for environmental monitoring.

USGS Image Archives

Historical satellite imagery repositories maintained by the USGS provide long-term Earth observation datasets that allow retrospective analysis of landscape transformations and hydrological trends (21). These archives are essential for reconstructing baseline environmental conditions prior to rapid urbanization (22).

Advantages of Remote Sensing Datasets

Multi-Temporal Analysis

Satellite imagery enables repeated observations of the same geographic area, making it possible to track urban growth trajectories, seasonal water fluctuations, and long-term hydrological changes (23).

Cost Effectiveness

Many satellite datasets are freely accessible through open data platforms, reducing research costs and allowing large-scale environmental assessments without extensive field campaigns (24).

Regional and Large-Scale Monitoring

Remote sensing provides synoptic coverage of extensive geographic regions, supporting watershed-level and regional environmental analysis that would be difficult to achieve through ground surveys alone (25).

GIS Techniques for Urban Sprawl Analysis

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) play a central role in quantifying, visualizing, and modeling urban sprawl by integrating spatial datasets, satellite imagery, and statistical tools. GIS-based analytical methods allow researchers to detect spatial patterns of urban expansion, measure landscape transformation, and evaluate environmental impacts with high precision (26). The following techniques are commonly employed in urban sprawl assessment studies.

Land Use/Land Cover Classification

Land Use/Land Cover (LULC) classification is one of the most widely used GIS techniques for analyzing urban expansion. This method involves categorizing satellite imagery into thematic classes such as built-up area, vegetation, water bodies, barren land, and agricultural land. Supervised and unsupervised classification algorithms are commonly applied to generate classified maps that represent spatial distribution of land cover types (27). By comparing classified maps from different years, researchers can quantify urban growth rates and identify areas undergoing rapid transformation.

Change Detection Analysis

Change detection is a multi-temporal GIS technique used to analyze differences in land cover or land use over time. It involves comparing satellite images from different dates to identify spatial transitions such as conversion of vegetation or agricultural land into built-up areas (28). Techniques include post-classification comparison, image differencing, and change vector analysis. This method is essential for monitoring spatiotemporal dynamics of urban sprawl and evaluating its environmental consequences.

Spatial Metrics

Spatial metrics provide quantitative measures of landscape structure and urban morphology. Indicators such as Shannon's entropy index and fractal dimension are widely used to evaluate urban dispersion and spatial complexity. Shannon's entropy quantifies the degree of spatial concentration or dispersion of built-up areas, where higher values indicate greater sprawl (29). Fractal dimension, on the other hand, measures shape complexity and irregularity of urban boundaries, reflecting the extent of unplanned expansion (30). These metrics help distinguish between compact and dispersed growth patterns.

Buffer Analysis

Buffer analysis is a GIS operation that creates zones at specified distances around geographic features such as roads, rivers, or urban centers. In urban sprawl studies, buffers are commonly used to examine how development intensity varies with distance from city cores, highways, or water bodies. This technique helps identify growth corridors, peri-urban expansion zones, and areas vulnerable to environmental degradation.

Landscape Fragmentation Indices

Landscape fragmentation analysis evaluates how continuous natural or agricultural land is divided into smaller, isolated patches due to urbanization. GIS-based landscape metrics such as patch density, edge density, mean patch size, and contagion index are frequently used to assess fragmentation levels (31). High fragmentation indicates ecological disruption and is often associated with unsustainable urban growth patterns. These indices are particularly important in semi-arid regions where ecosystem resilience is limited.

Commonly Used GIS Software Tools

QGIS An open-source GIS platform widely used for spatial analysis, mapping, and geoprocessing. It supports numerous plugins for urban growth modeling, spatial statistics, and remote sensing integration (32).

Esri ArcGIS — A comprehensive commercial GIS suite offering advanced spatial analysis tools, geostatistical modeling, and high-level visualization capabilities frequently used in academic and professional research.

Accuracy Assessment

Accuracy assessment is a critical step in geospatial analysis to evaluate the reliability of classified satellite imagery and spatial modeling outputs. Without proper validation, land use/land cover maps and urban sprawl analyses may contain classification errors that lead to incorrect interpretations and flawed conclusions (33). Accuracy assessment methods compare classified data with reference or validation datasets to quantify agreement and identify sources of error. The most widely used techniques are described below.

Kappa Coefficient

The Kappa coefficient is a statistical measure that evaluates classification accuracy by comparing observed agreement between classified results and reference data against agreement expected by chance. It ranges from −1 to 1, where values close to 1 indicate strong agreement, values near 0 indicate random agreement, and negative values suggest systematic disagreement. Kappa statistics are especially useful for multi-class classification assessments because they account for random classification probability, making them more robust than simple overall accuracy measures (34).

Confusion Matrix

A confusion matrix, also known as an error matrix, is a tabular representation that compares classified pixels with their corresponding reference categories. Each row represents predicted classes, while each column represents actual ground truth classes. From this matrix, several accuracy metrics can be calculated, including:

Overall accuracy
Producer's accuracy (omission error)
User's accuracy (commission error)

The confusion matrix provides detailed insight into which land cover classes are most frequently misclassified and helps researchers refine classification algorithms and training datasets (35).

Ground Truth Validation

Ground truth validation involves comparing classified satellite imagery with real-world observations collected through field surveys, GPS measurements, drone imagery, or high-resolution reference maps (36). This method is considered the most reliable form of validation because it uses actual on-site data rather than secondary sources. Ground truth points are typically collected using stratified random sampling to ensure representation of all land cover classes. Accurate ground truth data significantly improves classification reliability and strengthens the scientific validity of remote sensing studies (37).

Impact of Urban Sprawl on Water Bodies

Urban sprawl has been widely documented as a major driver of hydrological alteration and aquatic ecosystem degradation across diverse geographic regions. The conversion of natural landscapes into built-up areas modifies land surface characteristics, alters drainage patterns, and introduces pollutants into aquatic environments. Studies conducted globally indicate that unplanned urban expansion affects water bodies through interconnected hydrological, ecological, and physicochemical processes (38).

Hydrological Impacts

Urbanization significantly alters the natural water cycle by replacing permeable soil and vegetation with impervious surfaces such as asphalt, concrete, and rooftops. One of the most consistent findings across studies is reduced infiltration, which limits groundwater recharge and increases surface runoff (39). As infiltration declines, rainfall that would normally seep into the ground instead flows rapidly across surfaces, leading to increased runoff volumes and shorter lag times between rainfall events and peak discharge (40).

These hydrological shifts contribute directly to elevated flooding risk, particularly in urban and peri-urban areas. High runoff rates overwhelm drainage systems, increase erosion, and transport sediments into nearby lakes, rivers, and wetlands. Over time, these processes can alter channel morphology, reduce water storage capacity, and destabilize aquatic ecosystems.

Ecological Impacts

Urban sprawl exerts substantial ecological pressure on water bodies and associated habitats. One major effect is eutrophication, a process driven by nutrient enrichment—primarily nitrogen and phosphorus—from urban runoff, sewage discharge, and agricultural inputs (41). Excess nutrients stimulate algal blooms, which reduce dissolved oxygen levels and can lead to fish mortality and ecosystem imbalance.

Another widely observed consequence is wetland loss. Urban development often encroaches on wetlands for land reclamation, infrastructure construction, or real estate expansion, resulting in habitat destruction and reduced ecological buffering capacity. Wetlands function as natural filters and flood regulators; their loss therefore amplifies both pollution levels and flood vulnerability.

Urban expansion also contributes to biodiversity decline in aquatic environments. Habitat fragmentation, pollution, altered hydrology, and temperature fluctuations reduce species richness and disrupt ecological interactions (42). Sensitive aquatic organisms—particularly amphibians, macroinvertebrates, and certain fish species—are often the first to disappear as urban pressure increases.

Physicochemical Impacts

Urban runoff carries a complex mixture of contaminants that degrade water quality. Among the most concerning are heavy metals , including lead, zinc, copper, and cadmium, which originate from vehicle emissions, industrial activities, construction materials, and road dust (43). These metals accumulate in sediments and aquatic organisms, posing long-term ecological and human health risks.

Nutrient loading is another major physicochemical impact. Fertilizers, detergents, sewage effluents, and organic waste introduce high concentrations of nitrates and phosphates into water bodies (44). Elevated nutrient levels not only drive eutrophication but also alter pH and biochemical oxygen demand, affecting overall water chemistry.

Urbanization also leads to increased turbidity , primarily due to soil erosion, construction activities, and sediment transport (45). High turbidity reduces light penetration, limiting photosynthesis in aquatic plants and disrupting food webs. Persistent turbidity can also interfere with water treatment processes and degrade potable water quality.

Synthesis

Globally, evidence consistently demonstrates that urban sprawl intensifies hydrological instability, ecological degradation, and water quality deterioration. These impacts are often cumulative and synergistic—for example, increased runoff accelerates pollutant transport, which in turn worsens ecological stress. The severity of these effects is particularly pronounced in semi-arid regions, where water bodies already operate under hydrological constraints and limited resilience.

Special Challenges in Semi-Arid Regions

Semi-arid regions are uniquely vulnerable to environmental disturbances because their natural systems operate close to ecological and hydrological thresholds. When urban sprawl occurs in such environments, its impacts on water bodies tend to be more severe and long-lasting than in humid or temperate regions. This heightened sensitivity arises from a combination of climatic constraints, ecological fragility, and hydrological dependence on limited water sources (46).

Limited Rainfall

Semi-arid regions receive relatively low and highly variable annual precipitation, often concentrated within short monsoon or seasonal rainfall periods. Because water input is already scarce, even minor disruptions to natural drainage or storage systems can significantly affect water availability. Urban expansion reduces permeable surfaces and alters runoff pathways, further limiting natural water replenishment. In such conditions, surface water bodies may fail to recharge adequately, leading to progressive shrinkage or seasonal drying (47).

High Evaporation Rates

High temperatures and intense solar radiation characteristic of semi-arid climates lead to elevated evaporation rates from both soil and open water surfaces. This accelerates water loss from lakes, ponds, and reservoirs, especially when water depth is shallow. Urbanization can intensify this effect through the urban heat island phenomenon, where built-up surfaces absorb and re-radiate heat, raising local temperatures and increasing evaporation further (48). Consequently, water bodies in expanding urban areas may lose water faster than they are replenished.

Fragile Ecosystems

Ecosystems in semi-arid regions are typically characterized by sparse vegetation, thin soils, and limited biodiversity, making them highly sensitive to disturbance. These ecosystems often lack the resilience needed to recover quickly from environmental stress such as land conversion, pollution, or hydrological alteration. When urban sprawl fragments natural landscapes, it disrupts ecological connectivity, accelerates soil erosion, and reduces habitat availability. Because biological productivity is already low, such disturbances can trigger long-term ecological degradation (49).

Dependence on Seasonal Water Bodies

Many communities and ecosystems in semi-arid regions rely heavily on seasonal or ephemeral water bodies that fill during rainy periods and gradually dry out afterward. These water bodies serve as crucial sources of water for agriculture, livestock, and domestic use, as well as temporary habitats for aquatic organisms. Urban encroachment, sedimentation, and drainage modifications can obstruct inflow channels or reduce storage capacity, threatening the viability of these seasonal systems. Since alternative water sources are limited, the loss or degradation of such water bodies can have immediate socio-economic and ecological consequences (50).

Synthesis

The combined influence of low precipitation, rapid evaporation, ecological fragility, and dependence on seasonal hydrological systems creates a context in which environmental disturbances are amplified. Urban sprawl therefore poses disproportionate risks in semi-arid regions, making sustainable land-use planning and water resource management particularly critical. Understanding these region-specific vulnerabilities is essential for designing effective monitoring strategies and mitigation policies.

Indicators Used to Measure Impact

Assessing the impact of urban sprawl on water bodies requires a multi-dimensional framework that integrates spatial, hydrological, environmental, and socioeconomic indicators. These indicators provide measurable variables that help quantify the magnitude, pattern, and consequences of urban expansion on aquatic systems. By combining geospatial datasets, field measurements, and statistical analyses, researchers can evaluate both direct and indirect effects of land transformation on water sustainability (51).

Spatial Indicators

Spatial indicators describe the physical extent and pattern of urban growth and land cover change. Built-up density is commonly used to measure the proportion of constructed land within a defined area, helping identify compact versus dispersed development patterns (52). The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is another widely applied metric derived from satellite imagery that quantifies vegetation cover and health. Declining NDVI values often indicate vegetation loss due to urban expansion, which can reduce infiltration capacity and increase runoff (3). Spatial indicators are essential for mapping urban morphology and understanding how land transformation affects nearby water bodies.

Hydrological Indicators

Hydrological indicators directly measure changes in water quantity and storage dynamics. Water spread area , obtained from multi-temporal satellite imagery, reflects variations in surface water extent over time and is particularly useful for detecting shrinkage or expansion of lakes and reservoirs (53). Storage capacity measures the volume of water a system can retain and is influenced by sedimentation, encroachment, and infrastructural modifications. Reductions in storage capacity often signal declining sustainability of water bodies, especially in regions where seasonal rainfall is the primary water source (54).

Environmental Indicators

Environmental indicators evaluate water quality and ecological condition. The Water Quality Index (WQI) is a composite indicator that integrates multiple physicochemical parameters such as pH, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, total dissolved solids, and nutrient concentrations into a single numerical value representing overall water health (55). A declining WQI indicates increasing pollution levels and ecological stress, often linked to urban runoff, sewage discharge, and industrial effluents. Environmental indicators are critical for assessing whether water bodies remain suitable for ecological, domestic, or agricultural use.

Socioeconomic Indicators

Socioeconomic indicators help explain the human drivers and pressures associated with urban sprawl. Population density is one of the most important variables because it directly influences land demand, infrastructure development, and water consumption (56). Higher population densities typically correspond to increased wastewater generation, higher pollutant loads, and intensified stress on nearby water bodies. Integrating socioeconomic data with spatial and hydrological indicators allows researchers to link environmental changes with underlying demographic and developmental trends.

Integrated Interpretation

No single indicator can fully capture the complex relationship between urban expansion and water body sustainability. Instead, a combined indicator approach is recommended, where spatial metrics reveal growth patterns, hydrological measures quantify water availability, environmental indicators assess ecological health, and socioeconomic variables explain driving forces. Such integrated assessment frameworks are increasingly used in geospatial studies to support sustainable urban planning and resource management (57).

Integrated Conceptual Framework

An integrated conceptual framework is essential for understanding how urban sprawl influences water body sustainability through interconnected environmental processes. Rather than acting in isolation, urban expansion triggers a cascade of spatial, hydrological, ecological, and physicochemical changes that collectively determine the condition of aquatic systems. The conceptual model below describes this cause–effect chain and its functional linkages.

Urban Expansion → Land Cover Change

Urban growth converts natural or agricultural land into built-up surfaces such as roads, buildings, and industrial zones. This transformation reduces vegetation cover, increases impervious surface area, and fragments landscapes. Land cover change is the first measurable manifestation of sprawl and serves as a primary driver of downstream environmental impacts (58).

Land Cover Change → Hydrological Alteration

Changes in land surface characteristics modify hydrological processes. Impervious materials prevent rainfall infiltration and accelerate runoff, while vegetation removal reduces evapotranspiration and soil water retention. These alterations disrupt natural drainage networks, increase peak discharge during storms, and reduce groundwater recharge (59). Over time, such hydrological disturbances shift the balance between inflow and outflow in nearby water bodies.

Hydrological Alteration → Water Body Degradation

When hydrological regimes are disturbed, surface water systems experience physical and chemical stress. Increased runoff transports sediments, nutrients, and pollutants into lakes and wetlands, leading to sedimentation, turbidity, and water quality deterioration. Simultaneously, reduced recharge and altered inflow patterns can cause shrinking water spread area, declining storage capacity, and seasonal drying. These processes collectively represent water body degradation.

Water Body Degradation → Ecological Consequences

Degraded water bodies often exhibit declining biodiversity, altered species composition, and reduced ecosystem services. Habitat loss, eutrophication, and contamination affect aquatic organisms and disrupt food webs. Sensitive species are typically replaced by tolerant or invasive organisms, resulting in ecological imbalance and reduced ecosystem resilience (60). Such changes can also affect human communities that depend on these water resources for agriculture, drinking water, and livelihoods.

Feedback Mechanisms

The framework also includes feedback loops. For example:

Degraded water bodies reduce groundwater recharge, intensifying water scarcity.Water scarcity encourages further land modification, such as reservoir construction or groundwater extraction.

Increased infrastructure development can accelerate additional urban expansion. These feedbacks demonstrate that urbanization–hydrology interactions are dynamic and self-reinforcing, rather than linear processes.

Knowledge Gaps Identified in Literature

Despite substantial progress in geospatial techniques for analyzing urban sprawl and its effects on water bodies, several critical gaps persist in the existing body of research. These limitations constrain the accuracy, applicability, and policy relevance of current studies, particularly in environmentally sensitive regions. Identifying these gaps is essential for guiding future research and improving methodological rigor.

Lack of High-Resolution Temporal Datasets

Many urban sprawl studies rely on satellite imagery with moderate temporal frequency, which may fail to capture rapid or short-term land use transitions (62). Urban expansion can occur quickly due to infrastructure projects or policy changes, and datasets with long revisit intervals may miss such dynamics. Although high-resolution imagery is increasingly available, long-term time series at fine spatial and temporal resolution remain limited, restricting detailed monitoring of urban growth trajectories and associated hydrological changes.

Limited Integration of Climate Variables

A significant portion of urban sprawl research focuses primarily on land cover change while overlooking climatic influences such as precipitation variability, temperature trends, and evapotranspiration rates (63). Because hydrological systems are strongly climate-dependent, excluding these variables can lead to incomplete or misleading interpretations of water body dynamics. Integrating climate datasets with geospatial analyses is therefore necessary to distinguish anthropogenic effects from natural variability.

Few Studies in Semi-Arid Small Cities

Most existing studies concentrate on large metropolitan regions, where data availability and research funding are greater (64). In contrast, small and medium-sized cities—especially those located in semi-arid regions—remain underrepresented in scientific literature. These cities often experience rapid but poorly monitored urban expansion, making it difficult to assess environmental impacts accurately. The lack of localized studies limits the generalizability of findings and creates knowledge gaps in regional planning contexts.

Weak Policy Linkage

Another limitation is the weak connection between scientific findings and urban planning or environmental policy frameworks (65). While geospatial analyses often provide detailed maps and quantitative indicators, their results are not always translated into actionable planning guidelines or decision-support tools. This disconnect reduces the practical impact of research and hinders implementation of sustainable land-use strategies.

Insufficient Validation Data

Reliable validation datasets are essential for confirming the accuracy of land cover classifications and environmental impact assessments. However, many studies lack adequate ground truth data due to logistical, financial, or accessibility constraints. Insufficient validation can introduce uncertainty into results, reduce reproducibility, and weaken confidence in conclusions. Improved field data collection, citizen science initiatives, and integration of high-resolution reference imagery could help address this limitation.

Synthesis

Overall, these knowledge gaps highlight the need for more integrated, region-specific, and methodologically robust research. Future studies should prioritize high-resolution time series data, incorporate climatic variables, expand focus to understudied semi-arid cities, strengthen links with policy frameworks, and enhance validation procedures. Addressing these limitations will improve both scientific understanding and practical management of urban growth and water resource sustainability.

Future Research Directions

Advancements in geospatial science and computational technologies are opening new avenues for improving the assessment of urban sprawl and its impacts on water body sustainability. While traditional remote sensing and GIS approaches have provided valuable insights, emerging tools and interdisciplinary methodologies can significantly enhance analytical precision, predictive capability, and decision-making support. The following research directions represent promising pathways for future investigations.

AI-Based Classification

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms are increasingly being applied to land use/land cover classification and urban pattern analysis. Techniques such as Random Forest, Support Vector Machines, Convolutional Neural Networks, and deep learning frameworks can process large volumes of satellite imagery and automatically detect complex spatial patterns with high accuracy (1). AI-based classification reduces human bias, improves detection of subtle land transformations, and enables rapid analysis of multi-temporal datasets. Future research should focus on developing hybrid AI–GIS models capable of integrating spatial, spectral, and temporal data simultaneously.

UAV Imagery Integration

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), commonly known as drones, offer ultra-high spatial resolution imagery that complements satellite datasets. UAV data can capture fine-scale features such as small water bodies, narrow drainage channels, and localized encroachments that may be undetectable in medium-resolution satellite imagery (66). Integrating UAV observations with satellite data can improve classification accuracy, validate remote sensing outputs, and support detailed environmental monitoring. Further research is needed to standardize UAV data processing protocols and integrate them seamlessly into geospatial workflows.

Real-Time Monitoring Systems

Real-time environmental monitoring systems combining remote sensing, Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, and cloud-based GIS platforms represent a major advancement in urban environmental management. Continuous monitoring of parameters such as water level, turbidity, rainfall, and land surface temperature can provide early warning signals of environmental stress. Such systems can help authorities respond quickly to flooding, pollution events, or illegal encroachments. Developing cost-effective and scalable real-time monitoring frameworks remains an important research priority, particularly for resource-constrained regions.

Urban Planning Simulation Models

Simulation models that predict urban growth patterns under different policy or development scenarios are becoming valuable decision-support tools. Cellular automata models, agent-based models, and spatial logistic regression approaches can simulate future urban expansion based on demographic, economic, and environmental variables. These models allow planners to evaluate potential impacts of infrastructure projects, zoning regulations, or conservation strategies before implementation. Integrating such predictive models with geospatial datasets can strengthen evidence-based planning and reduce environmental risks.

Coupling Hydrological and Urban Growth Models

One of the most promising research directions involves integrating hydrological models with urban growth simulations. Traditionally, urban expansion studies and hydrological assessments have been conducted separately. Coupled models can simulate how projected urban development will affect runoff, infiltration, groundwater recharge, and water quality (68). This integrated approach provides a more realistic representation of system dynamics and supports sustainable land-use planning. Future research should prioritize developing interoperable modeling frameworks that combine spatial, climatic, and hydrological datasets.

Synthesis

Overall, future research should move toward interdisciplinary, technology-driven frameworks that combine advanced computational tools, high-resolution datasets, and predictive modeling techniques. Such integrated approaches will enable more accurate monitoring, improved forecasting, and more effective management of urban growth and water resources—especially in environmentally vulnerable regions. By embracing emerging technologies and collaborative research strategies, scholars and planners can better address the complex challenges posed by rapid urbanization.

Policy and Planning Implications

Scientific evidence derived from geospatial analyses of urban sprawl and water body dynamics provides critical insights for policymakers, planners, and environmental managers. Translating these findings into practical strategies can significantly enhance sustainability outcomes, particularly in environmentally sensitive and water-scarce regions.

Sustainable Urban Planning

Geospatial assessments help identify patterns of unplanned expansion, land fragmentation, and encroachment on natural drainage systems. Such information can guide zoning regulations, urban growth boundaries, and land-use optimization strategies that minimize environmental degradation (69). Integrating spatial indicators into urban master plans allows planners to balance infrastructure development with ecological preservation, ensuring long-term sustainability.

Watershed Management

Urbanization alters watershed hydrology by increasing impervious surfaces and disrupting natural flow regimes. GIS-based watershed mapping and hydrological modeling enable planners to delineate catchment areas, monitor runoff patterns, and design effective stormwater management systems. These tools support the implementation of sustainable drainage systems, rainwater harvesting infrastructure, and watershed restoration programs.

Wetland Protection Policies

Wetlands play a crucial role in maintaining hydrological balance, filtering pollutants, and supporting biodiversity. Spatial monitoring of wetland extent and condition using satellite imagery can help authorities detect encroachment, illegal land conversion, and ecological degradation (70). Policymakers can use such evidence to establish conservation zones, enforce buffer regulations, and prioritize restoration initiatives.

Smart City Planning

Smart city frameworks emphasize data-driven governance, efficient infrastructure, and environmental resilience. Integrating remote sensing, IoT sensors, and GIS platforms into urban management systems enables real-time monitoring of land use, water resources, and environmental indicators (71). These technologies can support early warning systems for floods, optimize water distribution, and enhance urban resilience to climate variability.

Synthesis

Overall, geospatial approaches provide a powerful decision-support foundation for policy formulation and planning interventions. By embedding spatial intelligence into governance processes, authorities can design adaptive strategies that reduce environmental risks, protect water bodies, and promote sustainable urban development.

CONCLUSION

Urban sprawl represents one of the most significant anthropogenic pressures affecting environmental sustainability, particularly in semi-arid regions where water resources are inherently limited. This review has examined the application of remote sensing and GIS techniques in assessing urban expansion and evaluating its impacts on water body sustainability. Evidence from global studies demonstrates that rapid, unplanned urban growth alters hydrological processes, degrades water quality, reduces groundwater recharge, and threatens wetland ecosystems.

Geospatial technologies have emerged as indispensable tools for monitoring land use change, detecting environmental impacts, and supporting evidence-based planning. Multi-temporal satellite imagery, spatial metrics, and integrated modeling approaches enable researchers to quantify urban dynamics and link them with hydrological and ecological processes. However, challenges remain, including limited high-resolution temporal datasets, insufficient integration of climatic variables, and inadequate validation data.

Future research should prioritize interdisciplinary approaches that combine advanced computational methods, high-resolution imagery, and predictive modeling frameworks. Strengthening the interface between scientific research and policy implementation is equally important for translating analytical findings into effective planning strategies. Ultimately, sustainable management of urban growth and water resources requires coordinated efforts among scientists, planners, policymakers, and local communities.

In conclusion, geospatial assessment provides a robust and scalable framework for understanding the complex interactions between urban expansion and water body sustainability. Leveraging these technologies can support informed decision-making, promote ecological resilience, and ensure the long-term sustainability of urban environments in water-limited landscapes.

CONCLUSION

Urban expansion in semi-arid regions presents significant environmental challenges, particularly concerning the sustainability of surface water bodies that play a crucial role in maintaining ecological balance and supporting human livelihoods. This review highlights that rapid and often unplanned urban sprawl significantly alters natural landscapes by increasing impervious surfaces, modifying drainage systems, and intensifying pressure on fragile hydrological environments. Such transformations contribute to increased surface runoff, reduced groundwater recharge, higher sediment and pollutant loads, and the gradual degradation or disappearance of lakes, ponds, and wetlands.

The synthesis of existing literature demonstrates that geospatial technologies, especially remote sensing and Geographic Information System (GIS) tools, have become indispensable for monitoring and analyzing urban growth and its hydrological consequences. Multi-temporal satellite data, spatial metrics, and land use/land cover change analyses provide effective means to detect urban expansion patterns and evaluate their impacts on water resources over time. These approaches enable researchers and planners to identify vulnerable areas, assess trends in water body shrinkage, and understand the spatial dynamics of urban development.

Despite these advancements, several limitations remain in current research frameworks. Many studies still lack comprehensive integration of climatic variability, socio-economic drivers, and long-term high-resolution datasets that could improve the accuracy and reliability of urban–hydrological assessments. Emerging technologies such as machine learning algorithms, high-resolution satellite imagery, and advanced spatial modeling techniques offer promising opportunities to enhance monitoring precision and predictive capability.

Overall, the findings emphasize the necessity of adopting integrated geospatial assessment models that combine environmental, socio-economic, and climatic factors to support sustainable urban planning and effective water resource management. Strengthening interdisciplinary collaboration between geographers, urban planners, hydrologists, and policymakers is essential to develop informed strategies that mitigate the negative impacts of urban sprawl. In semi-arid landscapes, where water resources are inherently limited, proactive planning and scientifically informed decision-making are crucial to ensure the long-term sustainability of water bodies and the resilience of urban ecosystems.

REFERENCES

1. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. World Urbanization Prospects 2018: Highlights . New York: UN; 2019.
2. Ewing R, Pendall R, Chen D. Measuring Sprawl and Its Impact . Washington DC: Smart Growth America; 2002.
3. Grimm NB, Faeth SH, Golubiewski NE, Redman CL, Wu J, Bai X, et al. Global change and the ecology of cities. Science . 2008;319(5864):756-60.
4. Scanlon BR, Jolly I, Sophocleous M, Zhang L. Global impacts of conversions from natural to agricultural ecosystems on water resources. Global Change Biology . 2007;13(10):2060-83.
5. McKinney ML. Urbanization, biodiversity, and conservation. BioScience . 2002;52(10):883-90.
6. Weng Q. Remote sensing of impervious surfaces in the urban areas: Requirements, methods, and trends. Remote Sensing of Environment . 2012;117:34-49.
7. Jensen JR. Introductory Digital Image Processing: A Remote Sensing Perspective . 4th ed. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall; 2015.
8. Foley JA, DeFries R, Asner GP, Barford C, Bonan G, Carpenter SR, et al. Global consequences of land use. Science . 2005;309(5734):570-4.
9. United Nations. World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision . New York: UN; 2019.
10. Angel S, Parent J, Civco DL, Blei AM. Making room for a planet of cities. Lincoln Institute of Land Policy ; 2011.
11. Seto KC, Güneralp B, Hutyra LR. Global forecasts of urban expansion to 2030 and direct impacts on biodiversity and carbon pools. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA . 2012;109(40):16083-8.
12. Ewing R. Characteristics, causes, and effects of sprawl: A literature review. Environ Urban Issues . 1997;21:1-15.
13. Torrens PM, Alberti M. Measuring sprawl. CASA Working Paper . 2000;27:1-55.
14. Schneider A, Woodcock CE. Compact, dispersed, fragmented, extensive? A comparison of urban growth in twenty-five global cities using remotely sensed data. Urban Stud . 2008;45(3):659-92.
15. Herold M, Couclelis H, Clarke KC. The role of spatial metrics in the analysis and modeling of urban land use change. Comput Environ Urban Syst . 2005;29(4):369-99.
16. Bhatta B. Analysis of Urban Growth and Sprawl from Remote Sensing Data . Berlin: Springer; 2010.
17. Wilson EH, Hurd JD, Civco DL, Prisloe MP, Arnold C. Development of a geospatial model to quantify, describe and map urban growth. Remote Sens Environ . 2003;86(3):275-85.
18. Dingman SL. Physical Hydrology . 3rd ed. Long Grove: Waveland Press; 2015.
19. Paul MJ, Meyer JL. Streams in the urban landscape. Annu Rev Ecol Syst . 2001;32:333-65.
20. Mitsch WJ, Gosselink JG. Wetlands . 5th ed. Hoboken: Wiley; 2015.
21. Zedler JB, Kercher S. Wetland resources: status, trends, ecosystem services, and restorability. Annu Rev Environ Resour . 2005;30:39-74.
22. Sophocleous M. Interactions between groundwater and surface water: the state of the science. Hydrogeol J . 2002;10(1):52-67.
23. Foster S, Chilton J. Groundwater: the processes and global significance of aquifer degradation. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci . 2003;358(1440):1957-72.
24. IPCC. Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis . Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2021.
25. Trenberth KE. Changes in precipitation with climate change. Clim Res . 2011;47(1-2):123-38.
26. Jensen JR. Remote Sensing of the Environment: An Earth Resource Perspective . 2nd ed. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall; 2007.
27. Wulder MA, Masek JG, Cohen WB, Loveland TR, Woodcock CE. Opening the archive: How free data has enabled the science and monitoring promise of Landsat. Remote Sens Environ . 2012;122:2-10.
28. Drusch M, Del Bello U, Carlier S, Colin O, Fernandez V, Gascon F, et al. Sentinel-2: ESA's optical high-resolution mission for GMES operational services. Remote Sens Environ . 2012;120:25-36.
29. Justice CO, Townshend JRG, Vermote EF, Masuoka E, Wolfe RE, Saleous N, et al. An overview of MODIS Land data processing and product status. Remote Sens Environ . 2002;83(1-2):3-15.
30. US Geological Survey. Landsat Data Users Handbook . Sioux Falls: USGS; 2019.
31. Lu D, Weng Q. A survey of image classification methods and techniques for improving classification performance. Int J Remote Sens . 2007;28(5):823-70.
32. Longley PA, Goodchild MF, Maguire DJ, Rhind DW. Geographic Information Systems and Science . 3rd ed. Hoboken: Wiley; 2011.
33. Lillesand T, Kiefer RW, Chipman J. Remote Sensing and Image Interpretation . 7th ed. New York: Wiley; 2015.
34. Singh A. Digital change detection techniques using remotely sensed data. Int J Remote Sens . 1989;10(6):989-1003.
35. Yeh AG, Li X. Measurement and monitoring of urban sprawl in a rapidly growing region using entropy. Photogramm Eng Remote Sens . 2001;67(1):83-90.
36. Batty M, Longley P. Fractal Cities: A Geometry of Form and Function . London: Academic Press; 1994.
37. Malczewski J. GIS and Multicriteria Decision Analysis . New York: Wiley; 1999.
38. McGarigal K, Marks BJ. FRAGSTATS: Spatial Pattern Analysis Program for Quantifying Landscape Structure . Portland: USDA Forest Service; 1995.

39. QGIS Development Team. QGIS Geographic Information System User Guide . Open Source Geospatial Foundation; 2023.
40. Environmental Systems Research Institute. ArcGIS Desktop Documentation . Redlands: Esri; 2022.
41. Foody GM. Status of land cover classification accuracy assessment. Remote Sens Environ . 2002;80(1):185-201.
42. Cohen J. A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educ Psychol Meas . 1960;20(1):37-46.
43. Congalton RG. A review of assessing the accuracy of classifications of remotely sensed data. Remote Sens Environ . 1991;37(1):35-46.
44. Stehman SV, Czaplewski RL. Design and analysis for thematic map accuracy assessment: Fundamental principles. Remote Sens Environ . 1998;64(3):331-44.
45. Paul MJ, Meyer JL. Streams in the urban landscape. Annu Rev Ecol Syst . 2001;32:333-65.
46. Walsh CJ, Roy AH, Feminella JW, Cottingham PD, Groffman PM, Morgan RP. The urban stream syndrome: current knowledge and the search for a cure. J North Am Benthol Soc . 2005;24(3):706-23.
47. Booth DB, Jackson CR. Urbanization of aquatic systems: degradation thresholds, stormwater detection, and the limits of mitigation. J Am Water Resour Assoc . 1997;33(5):1077-90.
48. Shuster WD, Bonta J, Thurston H, Warnemuende E, Smith DR. Impacts of impervious surface on watershed hydrology: A review. Urban Water J . 2005;2(4):263-75.
49. Smith VH, Tilman GD, Nekola JC. Eutrophication: impacts of excess nutrient inputs on freshwater, marine, and terrestrial ecosystems. Environ Pollut . 1999;100(1-3):179-96.
50. Davidson NC. How much wetland has the world lost? Long-term and recent trends in global wetland area. Mar Freshw Res . 2014;65(10):934-41.
51. Dudgeon D, Arthington AH, Gessner MO, Kawabata ZI, Knowler DJ, Lévêque C, et al. Freshwater biodiversity: importance, threats, status and conservation challenges. Biol Rev . 2006;81(2):163-82.
52. Göbel P, Dierkes C, Coldewey WG. Storm water runoff concentration matrix for urban areas. J Contam Hydrol . 2007;91(1-2):26-42.
53. Bilotta GS, Brazier RE. Understanding the influence of suspended solids on water quality and aquatic biota. Water Res . 2008;42(12):2849-61.
54. Middleton N, Thomas D. World Atlas of Desertification . London: UNEP; 1997.
55. Reynolds JF, Smith DM, Lambin EF, Turner BL, Mortimore M, Batterbury SP, et al. Global desertification: building a science for dryland development. Science . 2007;316(5826):847-51.
56. Scanlon BR, Jolly I, Sophocleous M, Zhang L. Global impacts of conversions from natural to agricultural ecosystems on water resources. Global Change Biol . 2007;13(10):2060-83.
57. Oke TR. The energetic basis of the urban heat island. Q J R Meteorol Soc . 1982;108(455):1-24.
58. Whitford WG. Ecology of Desert Systems . San Diego: Academic Press; 2002.
59. Tooth S. Process, form and change in dryland rivers: a review of recent research. Earth Sci Rev . 2000;51(1-4):67-107.
60. Herold M, Couclelis H, Clarke KC. The role of spatial metrics in the analysis and modeling of urban land use change. Comput Environ Urban Syst . 2005;29(4):369-99.
61. Schneider A, Woodcock CE. Compact, dispersed, fragmented, extensive? A comparison of urban growth in twenty-five global cities using remotely sensed data. Urban Stud . 2008;45(3):659-92.
62. Tucker CJ. Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation. Remote Sens Environ . 1979;8(2):127-50.
63. McFeeters SK. The use of the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) in the delineation of open water features. Int J Remote Sens . 1996;17(7):1425-32.
64. Morris GL, Fan J. Reservoir Sedimentation Handbook . New York: McGraw-Hill; 1998.
65. Horton RK. An index number system for rating water quality. J Water Pollut Control Fed . 1965;37(3):300-6.
66. Seto KC, Güneralp B, Hutyra LR. Global forecasts of urban expansion to 2030 and direct impacts on biodiversity and carbon pools. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA . 2012;109(40):16083-8.
67. Foley JA, DeFries R, Asner GP, Barford C, Bonan G, Carpenter SR, et al. Global consequences of land use. Science . 2005;309(5734):570-4.
68. Shuster WD, Bonta J, Thurston H, Warnemuende E, Smith DR. Impacts of impervious surface on watershed hydrology: A review. Urban Water J . 2005;2(4):263-75.
69. Bilotta GS, Brazier RE. Understanding the influence of suspended solids on water quality and aquatic biota. Water Res . 2008;42(12):2849-61.
70. Dudgeon D, Arthington AH, Gessner MO, Kawabata ZI, Knowler DJ, Lévêque C, et al. Freshwater biodiversity: importance, threats, status and conservation challenges. Biol Rev . 2006;81(2):163-82.
71. Wulder MA, Masek JG, Cohen WB, Loveland TR, Woodcock CE. Opening the archive: How free data has enabled the science and monitoring promise of Landsat. Remote Sens Environ . 2012;122:2-10.