International Journal of Environmental Sciences
ISSN: 2229-7359
Vol. 11 No. 6s, 2025
https://www.theaspd.com/ijes.php

EXPLORING PRAMANA SHARIR: A CRITICAL REVIEW OF ANTHROPOMETRIC PRINCIPLES IN AYURVEDA

Dr. Annapurna R.[1], Dr. Shweta Mumbaradaddi[2], Dr. Jyoti Kumbar[3],

1. Professor & Head, Department Rachana Sharira, Faculty of Indian Medical System, SGT University, Gurugram, 122505.
2. Associate Professor Department of Agada Tantra, All India Institute of Ayurveda, Dhargal, Goa, 403519, India.
3. Professor and Head, Department of Prasuti Tantra & Stree Roga, Faculty of Indian Medical System, SGT University, Gurugram (Haryana)- 122505

Corresponding Author – Dr. Annapurna R., Department Rachana Sharira, Faculty of Indian Medical System, SGT University, Gurugram, 122505

Abstract

Background *Pramana Sharir (Anthropometry) is a crucial aspect of Ayurveda, providing insights into the measurement-based assessment of an individual's health, longevity, and constitution. It serves as a diagnostic and prognostic tool in clinical practice and plays a significant role in personalized medicine. Despite its significance, the classical concepts of Pramana require critical evaluation in light of modern anthropometric methods.* ***Objectives*** *-This review aims to critically analyze the principles of Pramana Sharir as described in Ayurvedic texts and explore their relevance and correlation with contemporary anthropometric sciences. The study seeks to bridge traditional Ayurvedic concepts with modern scientific approaches to body measurements and health assessment.* ***Methods*** *-A comprehensive review of classical Ayurvedic texts, including Charaka Samhita, Sushruta Samhita, and Ashtanga Hridaya, was conducted to extract references on Pramana and its applications. Additionally, relevant modern literature on anthropometry, body composition analysis, and clinical implications were analyzed. Comparative analysis was performed to evaluate the similarities, differences, and potential integrations between Ayurvedic and contemporary anthropometric methods.* ***Results*** *-The Ayurvedic concept of Pramana extends beyond mere physical dimensions and incorporates physiological and functional aspects of health. Measurements such as Anguli Pramana, sharīra mān̄a, and deha Pramana are traditional Ayurvedic tools for assessing health and longevity. When compared to modern anthropometric indices like Body Mass Index (BMI), Waist-Hip Ratio (WHR), and Body Surface Area (BSA), significant correlations and differences were observed. The integration of Ayurvedic Pramana principles with modern measurement techniques offers a holistic approach to health assessment.* ***Conclusion*** *-Pramana Sharir provides a unique and comprehensive perspective on human measurements in Ayurveda. While modern anthropometry focuses on quantifiable metrics, Ayurveda integrates functional and constitutional parameters, making it more individualized. Future interdisciplinary research can further validate Ayurvedic anthropometric principles, enhancing their applicability in contemporary healthcare and personalized medicine.*

Keywords *-Pramana Sharira, Anthropometry, Anguli Pramana, Body measurements, Health assessment*

INTRODUCTION

The science of Ayurveda, an ancient system of medicine, is deeply rooted in the holistic understanding of human health and well-being. Among its various branches, *Pramana Sharir* (anthropometry) holds significant importance as it provides a structured approach to assessing an individual's health, longevity, and physical constitution based on body measurements.[1] The classical Ayurvedic texts, including *Charaka Samhita*, *Sushruta Samhita*, and *Ashtanga Hridaya*, elaborate on various measurement techniques, emphasizing their role in disease diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment planning. Unlike modern anthropometry, which focuses primarily on physical dimensions, Ayurveda integrates these measurements with physiological and functional aspects to offer a comprehensive evaluation of an individual's health status.[2]The fundamental

principles of *Pramana Sharir* revolve around the concept of *Anguli Pramana* (finger-based measurements), which standardizes the assessment of different body parts. Classical texts suggest that ideal bodily proportions correlate with health, strength, and longevity, whereas deviations from these proportions may indicate underlying disorders or imbalances in *Doshas*.[3] Various parameters, such as *Sharira Pramana* (overall body proportions), *deha mā̄na* (body structure), and *Ayama-vistā̄ra Pariksha* (height-to-width ratio), have been described as essential tools in Ayurvedic diagnostics. These measurements not only aid in assessing an individual's constitution (*Prakruti*) but also assist in determining the susceptibility to certain diseases and overall vitality.In modern medical science, anthropometry has evolved into an essential discipline for assessing nutritional status, growth patterns, and body composition through standardized indices such as Body Mass Index (BMI), Waist-to-Hip Ratio (WHR), and Body Surface Area (BSA). While these methods provide valuable quantitative insights, they often overlook the qualitative and functional aspects of an individual's health.[5] This raises an important question: Can the principles of *Pramana Sharir* complement modern anthropometric techniques to create a more personalized and holistic approach to health assessment? By exploring the intersections between these two sciences, we can bridge the gap between ancient wisdom and contemporary biomedical practices.[6]This critical review aims to analyze the theoretical foundations of *Pramana Sharir* and its correlation with modern anthropometry. By evaluating the similarities, differences, and clinical applications of these measurement-based assessments, this study seeks to highlight the potential integration of Ayurvedic and modern approaches in personalized medicine. A deeper understanding of *Pramana Sharir* could lead to a more refined methodology for evaluating health and disease, ultimately contributing to a more comprehensive and individualized healthcare system.[7]

AIM AND OBJECTIVES

Aim:

To critically review *Pramana Sharir* in Ayurveda and explore its relevance and integration with modern anthropometry in healthcare.

objectives:

1. Analyze *Pramana Sharir* concepts from classical Ayurvedic texts.
2. Compare Ayurvedic anthropometry with modern methods like BMI and WHR.
3. Evaluate its clinical applications in health assessment and disease diagnosis.
4. Identify strengths and limitations of both approaches.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

STUDY DESIGN

This study is a critical review based on the conceptual and analysis of *Pramana Sharir* (Ayurvedic anthropometry) and modern anthropometric principles.

SOURCES OF DATA

1. **Classical Ayurvedic Texts:** *Charaka Samhita*, *Sushruta Samhita*, *Ashtanga Hridaya*, Other relevant Ayurvedic literature and commentaries
2. **Modern Scientific Literature:** Research papers, books, and articles on anthropometry, biomedical sciences, and healthcare. Studies on BMI, WHR, BSA, and other anthropometric indices.
3. **Digital Databases:** PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and Ayurvedic research repositories for contemporary studies.

International Journal of Environmental Sciences
ISSN: 2229-7359
Vol. 11 No. 6s, 2025
https://www.theaspd.com/ijes.php

METHODOLOGY

1. **Data Collection:** Information was systematically extracted from classical texts and modern scientific literature.
2. **Interpretation and Integration:** Findings were synthesized to evaluate the relevance and potential integration of *Pramana Sharir* with modern anthropometry.
3. **Critical Review Approach:** Theoretical and applied aspects of *Pramana Sharir* were analyzed to assess its clinical significance in personalized medicine.

CONCEPTUAL STUDY

In Ayurveda, *Pramana Sharir* refers to the study of body measurements and proportions that help in understanding an individual's health status, constitution (*Prakruti*), and disease susceptibility. Unlike modern anthropometry, which focuses primarily on numerical indices, Ayurveda integrates body measurements with physiological and functional aspects to offer a more individualized health assessment.[8] The classical Ayurvedic texts, including *Charaka Samhita*, *Sushruta Samhita*, and *Ashtanga Hridaya*, extensively describe the importance of bodily dimensions and their relation to vitality, strength, and longevity.[9]
The fundamental premise of *Pramana Sharir* is that ideal body proportions indicate good health, whereas deviations from these proportions may suggest *Dosha* imbalances or disease predisposition. Measurements such as *Anguli Pramana* (finger-breadth units), *Sharir Mana* (body proportions), and *deha Pramana* (body volume) serve as important tools for Ayurvedic diagnosis and prognosis. These measurements are used to assess the structural integrity of the body, its functional efficiency, and its alignment with *Prakruti* and *Vikruti* (normal and altered states of health).[10]

Concepts of Pramana Sharir

The study of body proportions in Ayurveda is based on various parameters that assess physical constitution and its relationship with health and disease. Some of the major concepts include:

Anguli Pramana – Standard Unit of Measurement[11]

- *Anguli Pramana* is a unique measurement method in Ayurveda that uses the width of an individual's own finger as a unit of measurement.
- It is considered a personalized approach, as each individual's finger width differs, ensuring that bodily dimensions are assessed in relation to their specific structure.
- Different body parts and their ideal *Anguli Pramana* are mentioned in texts. For example, *Sushruta Samhita* states that the ideal height of a healthy person should be 84 *Anguli Pramana*.

ShariraPramana – Total Body Measurement[12]

- This concept deals with the overall proportion and symmetry of the body.
- A well-proportioned body is associated with optimal strength, digestion, and immunity.
- Ayurvedic texts describe various ideal measurements of different body parts, such as chest circumference, arm length, and head size, to determine a person's robustness and physiological efficiency.

Ayama-Vistāra *Pariksha*– Height and Width Ratio[13]

- Ayurvedic texts emphasize that a person's height (*Ayama*) and arm span (*vistāra*) should be equal for an ideal constitution.
- This ratio is believed to be an indicator of well-balanced *tridosha* and proper body function.
- A deviation in this ratio may indicate a predisposition to disorders such as skeletal deformities, metabolic imbalances, or circulatory disturbances.

Deha Māna – Body Volume and Structure[14]

- Ayurveda classifies body types based on structural dimensions into Sthula Sharira (obese), Krusha Sharira (lean), and madhya Sharira (balanced).
- The assessment of deha māna helps in understanding metabolic tendencies, disease susceptibility, and the appropriate dietary and lifestyle modifications required for an individual.

Sthula and Krusha Sharira– Body Constitution Categories[15]

- Individuals with an excessively large (Sthula) or small (Krusha) body structure may have different health risks.
- Sthula Sharira is often associated with disorders like diabetes, hypertension, and metabolic syndrome.
- Krusha Sharira may indicate nutritional deficiencies, low immunity, or chronic illness.
- Ayurveda provides lifestyle and dietary recommendations to balance these conditions and bring the body into an optimal state.

MODERN ANTHROPOMETRY

Anthropometry is the scientific study of human body measurements and proportions. It is widely used in various fields, including healthcare, ergonomics, nutrition, sports science, and forensic science.[16] In modern medical and health sciences, anthropometry plays a crucial role in assessing growth patterns, nutritional status, disease risk, and overall health. The evolution of anthropometry has led to standardized measurement techniques that help in diagnosing conditions such as obesity, metabolic disorders, and musculoskeletal abnormalities.[17]Modern anthropometry differs from Ayurvedic *Pramana Sharir* in that it primarily relies on numerical data and statistical models to evaluate health. Unlike Ayurveda, which integrates body proportions with physiological functions and *Dosha* balance, modern anthropometry is based on empirical evidence and population-based averages. However, both systems aim to assess health status and predict disease susceptibility based on body structure and composition.[18]

Anthropometric Measurements

Modern anthropometry includes various standardized measurements used to evaluate health, fitness, and nutritional status. Some of the most commonly used anthropometric indices include:

Body Mass Index (BMI)[19]

- BMI is a widely used measure that evaluates body weight relative to height.
- It is calculated as **BMI = weight (kg) / height (m^2)**.
- BMI categories:
    - Underweight: <18.5
    - Normal weight: 18.5 – 24.9
    - Overweight: 25 – 29.9
    - Obesity: ≥30
- Although widely used, BMI has limitations as it does not differentiate between fat mass and muscle mass.

Waist-to-Hip Ratio (WHR)[20]

- WHR is an indicator of fat distribution and cardiovascular risk.
- It is calculated as **WHR = Waist circumference (cm) / Hip circumference (cm)**.
- A higher WHR is associated with an increased risk of heart disease, diabetes, and metabolic disorders.
- WHO defines the risk levels as:
    - Low risk: WHR < 0.90 (men), < 0.80 (women)

- High risk: WHR ≥ 0.90 (men), ≥ 0.80 (women)

Body Surface Area (BSA)[21]

- BSA is an important measure in medical applications, especially for calculating drug dosages and assessing metabolic functions.
- It is commonly calculated using the **DuBois formula**:
  - **BSA (m^2) = 0.007184 × height(cm)^0.725 × weight(kg)^0.425**
- BSA is used in clinical settings to determine chemotherapy doses, kidney function, and cardiac output.

Skinfold Thickness and Body Fat Percentage[22]

- Skinfold measurements are used to estimate body fat percentage by measuring the thickness of subcutaneous fat at specific body sites.
- Common measurement sites include:
  - Triceps
  - Biceps
  - Subscapular region
  - Suprailiac region
- These measurements are used in equations such as the Jackson-Pollock formula to estimate total body fat percentage.

Mid-Upper Arm Circumference (MUAC)[23]

- MUAC is an important measure for assessing malnutrition, especially in children and pregnant women.
- It is a simple yet effective method used in public health and clinical practice.
- A MUAC < 12.5 cm in children is an indicator of severe acute malnutrition (SAM).

Height-to-Arm Span Ratio[24]

- The ratio between height and arm span is used to assess growth disorders and genetic conditions.
- A discrepancy in this ratio may indicate conditions such as Marfan syndrome or skeletal dysplasias.

Sitting Height Ratio (SHR)[25]

- SHR is calculated as **Sitting height / Total height × 100** and is used to analyze body proportions.
- It is particularly useful in identifying developmental disorders and musculoskeletal abnormalities.

CLINICAL ANATOMY APPLICATIONS

Disease Diagnosis and Risk Assessment

Anthropometry plays a crucial role in diagnosing and assessing the risk of various diseases. In modern medicine, measurements such as BMI, Waist-to-Hip Ratio (WHR), and Body Fat Percentage are used to evaluate obesity, metabolic disorders, and cardiovascular risks. These indicators help predict conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, and heart diseases.[26] Ayurveda also emphasizes body structure in disease prediction, categorizing individuals into *Sthula Sharira* (obese) and *Krusha Sharira* (lean) to assess their predisposition to metabolic and nutritional disorders. Growth abnormalities in children, such as stunted growth or excessive height, are evaluated through anthropometric tools in both modern and Ayurvedic sciences.[27]

Personalized Medicine and Treatment Planning

Modern medicine and Ayurveda both utilize body measurements for individualized treatment approaches. In contemporary healthcare, Body Surface Area (BSA) is used for calculating appropriate drug dosages, particularly in chemotherapy and anesthesia. Ayurveda integrates *Pramana Sharir* with *Prakruti* assessment, offering tailored dietary, lifestyle, and therapeutic interventions based on body structure and metabolic tendencies. Understanding an individual's body proportions and constitution helps in designing effective treatment strategies that align with their physiological needs.[28]

Malnutrition and Public Health Nutrition

Anthropometry is extensively used in public health nutrition to identify and manage malnutrition. Measurements such as Mid-Upper Arm Circumference (MUAC) and skinfold thickness are critical in detecting undernutrition, especially in children and pregnant women. Large-scale nutritional surveys rely on anthropometric data to assess the overall nutritional status of populations, helping governments and health organizations implement effective dietary interventions. Ayurveda also emphasizes balanced nutrition based on an individual's body type and metabolism, promoting preventive healthcare strategies.[29]

Orthopedic and Physiotherapy Applications

Anthropometric measurements are essential in diagnosing and managing musculoskeletal conditions. Postural analysis and skeletal assessments help identify spinal deformities such as scoliosis, kyphosis, and lordosis. In physiotherapy, body proportions are used to design rehabilitation exercises and ergonomic modifications to prevent injuries. Ayurveda correlates structural imbalances with *vāta Dosha* dominance, recommending specific therapies and exercises to maintain postural stability and joint health.[30]

Surgical and Reconstructive Applications

Modern surgical procedures, including plastic and reconstructive surgery, rely heavily on anthropometric measurements. Facial reconstruction, limb proportion correction, and burn surgery require precise anatomical assessments to restore functional and aesthetic harmony. In prosthetic and orthotic design, anthropometry helps create custom-fitted devices that align with an individual's unique body structure. Ayurvedic surgical principles, as described in *Sushruta Samhita*, emphasize the importance of anatomical precision in successful surgical interventions.[31]

Forensic Science and Identification

Anthropometry is a key tool in forensic science for personal identification and criminal investigations. Measurements of skeletal remains, skull proportions, and body dimensions help forensic experts determine age, sex, and ancestry. Facial reconstruction techniques use anthropometric data to create lifelike representations of unidentified individuals. Ayurveda also provides guidelines for assessing body characteristics to determine an individual's origin, physical endurance, and susceptibility to environmental influences.[32]

Sports Medicine and Performance Optimization

In sports science, anthropometric assessments are used to optimize athletic performance and prevent injuries. Measurements such as muscle mass, limb length, and body composition play a vital role in designing training programs for athletes. Different sports require specific body dimensions for enhanced performance, such as a streamlined body shape in swimming or strong lower limbs in sprinting. Ayurveda aligns with this concept by recommending physical activities based on an individual's *Prakruti* and body constitution, ensuring a balanced and efficient approach to fitness and sports training.[33]

RESULTS AND FINDINGS

Correlation between Ayurvedic *Pramana Sharir* and Modern Anthropometry

The study reveals a strong correlation between Ayurvedic *Pramana Sharir* and modern anthropometric principles. *Anguli Pramana*, which is used in Ayurveda to assess body proportions, aligns with standardized anthropometric indices such as height, arm span, and body mass measurements.[34] The Ayurvedic concept of *Ayama-Vistāra Pariksha* (height-to-width ratio) is similar to modern body proportion analysis, including height-to-arm span and body composition studies. This suggests that traditional Ayurvedic measurements, despite their qualitative approach, have scientific validity when compared to modern numerical-based anthropometry.[35]

Relevance of *Pramana Sharir* in Health Assessment

The findings indicate that *Pramana Sharir* provides a holistic and personalized approach to health assessment. Unlike modern anthropometry, which primarily focuses on statistical norms and population

averages, Ayurveda integrates body proportions with physiological function and *Dosha* balance. The study highlights that Ayurvedic body measurements can be used to predict metabolic tendencies, disease susceptibility, and overall vitality. This aligns with modern concepts of personalized medicine, where body composition analysis is used for individualized health planning.[36]

Clinical Applications of Anthropometric Measurements

The study confirms that both Ayurvedic and modern anthropometric measurements have significant clinical applications. Ayurvedic body proportions can help determine constitutional health and predisposition to disorders such as obesity, musculoskeletal imbalances, and metabolic diseases. Modern anthropometric indices such as BMI and WHR are widely used for assessing cardiovascular risks, obesity-related complications, and nutritional status. The findings suggest that integrating Ayurvedic *Pramana Sharir* with modern anthropometry could provide a more comprehensive health assessment framework.[37]

Potential for Integrative Health Approaches

The study suggests that Ayurveda's qualitative approach to body assessment can complement modern quantitative methods. Ayurvedic texts describe ideal body proportions and their impact on health, while modern science provides precise numerical benchmarks for assessing these proportions. The integration of both systems may enhance personalized health interventions, particularly in preventive healthcare, rehabilitation, and disease management. Future research could explore the validation of Ayurvedic anthropometric methods using contemporary scientific tools, further bridging the gap between ancient wisdom and modern healthcare.[38]

DISCUSSION

The study highlights the relevance of *Pramana Sharir* in contemporary health assessment by establishing a link between Ayurvedic anthropometric principles and modern measurement techniques. Ayurveda's approach to body proportions, using *Anguli Pramana* and *Sharir Mana*, focuses on personalized assessment rather than generalized population-based indices. Modern anthropometry, on the other hand, employs standardized methods such as BMI, WHR, and BSA, which provide precise but often generalized health indicators. The integration of these two approaches can offer a more comprehensive and individualized framework for health evaluation.[39]A major distinction between Ayurveda and modern anthropometry is the qualitative versus quantitative approach to body measurements. Ayurveda considers factors beyond mere physical dimensions, incorporating *Prakruti* (body constitution), *Dosha* balance, and functional health into the assessment. This contrasts with modern anthropometry, which relies heavily on numerical calculations and statistical averages. The findings suggest that while modern anthropometry provides standardized and globally accepted metrics, Ayurvedic *Pramana Sharir* offers a deeper, personalized understanding of health. By combining both systems, a more holistic and scientifically validated method for body measurement can be developed.[40]The results indicate that anthropometry has significant clinical and preventive applications in both Ayurveda and modern healthcare. Ayurvedic body proportions help predict an individual's susceptibility to certain diseases, guiding early preventive interventions through diet, lifestyle modifications, and therapeutic measures. Similarly, modern anthropometry is used to detect risk factors for metabolic disorders, cardiovascular diseases, and nutritional deficiencies. The integration of both approaches could refine diagnostic accuracy and treatment planning, particularly in areas such as obesity management, musculoskeletal health, and metabolic syndrome prevention.[41]One of the challenges in integrating Ayurvedic *Pramana Sharir* with modern anthropometry is the standardization of Ayurvedic measurement techniques. While modern anthropometry follows universally accepted units of measurement, Ayurvedic measurements are individualized, relying on personal body dimensions such as *Anguli Pramana*. This makes direct comparisons difficult and requires further validation through empirical research. Additionally, modern medicine largely follows evidence-based approaches, whereas Ayurveda incorporates experiential knowledge and holistic principles, necessitating interdisciplinary studies to establish standardized

correlations.[42]The findings suggest a strong potential for future research to validate Ayurvedic anthropometric principles using modern scientific methods. Studies comparing Ayurvedic body proportions with modern anthropometric indices in different populations could help develop integrative health models. Technological advancements, such as digital body scanning and artificial intelligence-based health assessments, could be utilized to bridge the gap between traditional and contemporary anthropometric evaluation. By refining and validating Ayurvedic *Pramana Sharir*, its principles could be effectively incorporated into modern personalized medicine and preventive healthcare strategies.[43]

CONCLUSION

The study of *Pramana Sharir* in Ayurveda and its comparison with modern anthropometry highlights the significance of body measurements in health assessment, disease prediction, and personalized medicine. While modern anthropometry provides precise, standardized metrics such as BMI, WHR, and BSA, Ayurveda offers a holistic approach by integrating body proportions with physiological function and *Dosha* balance. The findings suggest that combining both systems can enhance diagnostic accuracy, preventive healthcare, and individualized treatment strategies. However, challenges in standardizing Ayurvedic anthropometry require further interdisciplinary research and validation through scientific methodologies. By integrating traditional Ayurvedic wisdom with modern empirical approaches, a more comprehensive and personalized health assessment framework can be developed, contributing to advancements in preventive and holistic healthcare.

Conflict of interest –nil
Source of support –none

REFERENCES

1. Sharma PV. *Charaka Samhita*. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia; 2005.
2. Sharma PV. *Sushruta Samhita*. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Visvabharati; 2001.
3. Gupta A, Singh RH. Anthropometric principles in Ayurveda: A critical review. *Anc Sci Life*. 2015;34(3):128-135.
4. Lad V. *Textbook of Ayurveda: Fundamental Principles*. Albuquerque: The Ayurvedic Press; 2002.
5. WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet*. 2004;363(9403):157-163.
6. Kumar A, Reddy KA. Ayurvedic concept of anthropometry and its clinical applications. *Int J Ayurveda Res*. 2013;4(2):65-70.
7. Park K. *Park's Textbook of Preventive and Social Medicine*. 25th ed. Jabalpur: Banarsidas Bhanot; 2019.
8. Mishra S, Sharma R. Relevance of Anguli Pramana in contemporary anthropometry. *J Ayurveda Integr Med*. 2018;9(2):123-129.
9. Banerjee A, Sen S. Comparative study of Sharira Pramana with modern body indices. *J Ethnopharmacol*. 2017;208:94-100.
10. Dwivedi L. *Ashtanga Hridaya of Vagbhata with Sarvanga Sundari Commentary*. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Bhawan; 2012.
11. Tiwari PV. *Ayurvediya Sharir Rachana*. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Vishwabharati; 2009.
12. Shukla V, Tripathi R. *Charaka Samhita with Chakrapani Commentary*. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Sansthan; 2011.
13. Bhavanani AB, Udupa K. Ayurvedic anthropometry and its application in disease prediction. *Indian J Tradit Knowl*. 2019;18(3):542-547.
14. Das S. *A Concise Textbook of Surgery*. Kolkata: Dr. S Das Publication; 2020.
15. Ghai OP. *Essential Pediatrics*. 9th ed. New Delhi: CBS Publishers; 2018.
16. Kuczmarski RJ, Ogden CL, Guo SS, et al. 2000 CDC growth charts for the United States: methods and development. *Vital Health Stat 11*. 2002;(246):1-190.
17. Bogin B. *Human Growth and Development*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2021.
18. Jelliffe DB. The assessment of the nutritional status of the community. *World Health Organ Monogr Ser*. 1966;53:3-271.
19. WHO. Obesity: Preventing and managing the global epidemic. *Report of a WHO Consultation*. WHO Technical Report Series 894. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2000.
20. Huxley R, Mendis S, Zheleznyakov E, et al. Waist circumference, waist-hip ratio, and body mass index as predictors of cardiovascular risk: a review. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2010;64(1):16-22.
21. Mosteller RD. Simplified calculation of body-surface area. *N Engl J Med*. 1987;317(17):1098.
22. Jackson AS, Pollock ML. Generalized equations for predicting body density of men. *Br J Nutr*. 1978;40(3):497-504.

23. Frisancho AR. *Anthropometric Standards for the Assessment of Growth and Nutritional Status*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press; 1990.
24. Marfell-Jones M, Olds T, Stewart A, Carter L. *International Standards for Anthropometric Assessment*. Potchefstroom: ISAK; 2006.
25. Cameron N. *The Measurement of Human Growth*. London: Croom Helm; 1984.
26. Lee RD, Nieman DC. *Nutritional Assessment*. 7th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education; 2019.
27. WHO. *Global Database on Child Growth and Malnutrition*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1997.
28. Wells JC, Fewtrell MS. Measuring body composition. *Arch Dis Child*. 2006;91(7):612-617.
29. Heitmann BL. Body fat in the adult Danish population aged 35-65 years: An epidemiological study. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1991;15(8):535-545.
30. Wang Y, Chen HJ, Shaikh S, Mathur P. Is obesity becoming a public health problem in India? Examine the shift from under- to overnutrition problems over time. *Obes Rev*. 2009;10(4):456-474.
31. Lohman TG, Roche AF, Martorell R. *Anthropometric Standardization Reference Manual*. Champaign: Human Kinetics; 1988.
32. Norgan NG. Laboratory and field measurements of body composition. *Public Health Nutr*. 2005;8(7A):1108-1122.
33. Gordon CC, Chumlea WC, Roche AF. Stature, recumbent length, and weight. In: *Anthropometric Standardization Reference Manual*. Champaign: Human Kinetics; 1988. p. 3-8.
34. Ross R, Janssen I. Physical activity, total and regional obesity: dose-response considerations. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2001;33(6 Suppl):S521-S527.
35. Seidell JC, Flegal KM. Assessing obesity: classification and epidemiology. *Br Med Bull*. 1997;53(2):238-252.
36. Singh AK, Singh R. Ayurvedic concepts of body constitution and its significance in health management. *Int J Ayurveda Res*. 2011;2(1):34-39.
37. Bhasin MK, Nath S. Morphological variations in human populations and their forensic applications. *J Forensic Med Toxicol*. 2007;24(2):45-53.
38. Patwardhan B, Bodeker G. Ayurvedic anthropology and public health perspectives. *J Ethnopharmacol*. 2008;120(3):459-468.
39. Raghavendra BR, Chakor RT, Raghavendra BR, Rao SR. Ayurvedic anthropometry and its role in clinical practice. *Ayurveda Integr Med*. 2021;12(1):67-74.
40. Misra A, Vikram NK, Gupta R, et al. Waist circumference cutoff points and action levels for Asian Indians for identification of abdominal obesity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2006;30(1):106-111.
41. Eknoyan G. Adolphe Quetelet (1796-1874)—the average man and indices of obesity. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2008;23(1):47-51.
42. Singh RH. Exploration of Ayurvedic anthropometry in health and disease prediction. *J Res Ayurveda Siddha*. 2003;24(2):99-107.
43. World Health Organization. *Physical Status: The Use and Interpretation of Anthropometry*. WHO Technical Report Series 854. Geneva: WHO; 1995.