International Journal of Environmental Sciences
ISSN: 2229-7359
Vol. 11 No. 8, 2025
https://theaspd.com/index.php

Innovations For A Sustainable Economy: A Multi-Dimensional Framework For System Transition In The Post-Pandemic Era

Syed Matiur Rahman[1-]
[1]FMS&R, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh. UP, India School of Business Administration, Auckland Institute of Studies, Auckland, New Zealand
Email ID: syra002@ess.ais.ac.nz,

Abstract
The intensifying climate crisis, exhaustion of various natural resources, and the desire for social equality put forward an argument in favor of a swift transformation from a linear, extractive type of capitalist economy to one that sustains and regenerates resources. The transition, accelerated and reshaped by the post-COVID-19 world and with new geopolitical developments, including the Russia-Ukraine war, Iran-Israel situation, Gaza-Palestine crisis, and US trade policy uncertainties, across the horizon, is not a matter of just incremental efficiency improvement but is something system-wide to be intervened through disruptive innovation unleashed around technology, business models, and finance.
We provide a complete toolkit for analyzing innovations through the lenses of three interconnected pillars: 1) Technological Enablers, 2) New Economic Paradigms, and 3) Financial and Governance Mechanisms. We analyze case studies and trends, beginning with developments in and after 2022, such as the US Inflation Reduction Act, reciprocal tariff policies, the EU Green Deal, the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism, the Reserve Bank of India's framework regarding green deposits, and outcomes of COP28, which demonstrates the acceleration of policy ambition amidst the great puzzle of geopolitical fragmentation.
The paper concludes with a discussion on how integrating all these pillars remains paramount for decoupling. On the other hand, the current geopolitical tensions have given rise to a complex web of trade uncertainties, supply chain vulnerabilities, and energy security concerns that hinder certain components of the sustainable transition, while accelerating others. The transformation would have succeeded if these challenges had been met with adaptive international cooperation, resilient supply chains, and a profound shift in social values toward equity and sufficiency during the existing period of global turmoil.
Keywords: *Sustainable Economy, Innovation, Circular Economy, Doughnut Economics, ESG, Green Technology, System Transition, Geopolitical Risk, Trade Policy, Energy Security, Supply Chain Resilience.*

INTRODUCTION: The New Era of Sustainable Transition Under Geopolitical Stress

Society has often thought of the economy as a take-make-dispose mechanism, and at least in the short term, it has been a fantastic generator of wealth, but with an insurmountably high price in terms of environmental and social costs. The planetary boundaries framework (Rockström et al., 2009) and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) (United Nations, 2015) lay clear diagnoses: Our current path is unsustainable. Thus, the engineering of a transition to an economy inside the planetary boundaries and with a social foundation for all is going to be a core challenge of the 21st century.

As Johan Rockström compellingly argues in his TED talk "10 years to transform the future of humanity—or destabilize the planet", there is a narrow window left for us to bring about systemic transformation before irreversible planetary tipping points are crossed.

However, the period after the COVID-19 pandemic, roughly from 2022-present, is seen as a period not just of recovery but characterized by a complex set of crises that have reshaped the sustainable transition landscape. This is because the war launched in February 2022 between Russia and Ukraine heavily distorted energy markets and supply chains (World Economic Forum, 2022). In an unexpected turn in 2024, the checkered Iran-Israel tensions added another factor of energy security, as the oil markets became more volatile. Fear of supply disruption weighing in on shipping through the Strait of Hormuz, carrying almost 20 per cent of all crude oil, became dominant. Meanwhile, the conflict between Gaza and Palestine is adding to the regional destabilization. Desperate with the added weight of uncertainties around U.S. trade policy, with something new there in at tariffs on Chinese imports of electric vehicles, lithium-ion batteries, and critical minerals,

along with potential reciprocal tariff action, higher than $1.2 trillion of trade deficits, green technology deployment faces another set of challenges..

These parallel crises have acted as both catalysts and impediments to sustainable transition, thus pushing climate and sustainability from a side consideration to becoming a central tenet of economic and national security strategy for major economies. This new context was decisively shaped by the outcome of COP-28 (UNFCCC 2023), in which climate action was explicitly stated as that of transforming the economic system, but now ought to be pursued in the backdrop of an increasingly fragmented and geopolitically uncertain landscape.

For a comprehensive analysis of what was agreed at COP28 and its implications, the Financial Times Podcast

"COP28: What was agreed and what happens next?" provides expert insights into these crucial outcomes and their ongoing implementation challenges.

To quote: "The energy crisis laid upon the world due to Russia's recklessness constitutes the first truly global energy crisis that will have reverberating impacts over the years," while simultaneously leading to a quicker rollout of renewables in some regions. The conflict underscores the difference between price cycles of renewable energies versus fossil energies, whereby renewable price cycles are more persistent and have little response to the conflict shock, hinting at inherent resiliency offered by clean energy systems.

This paper holds that an exercise like that is possible if it manages to go beyond the mere siloed solutions and the complex interplay of sustainability imperatives with geopolitical realities. It requires a wave of integrated innovations that instead change how we produce, consume, and govern, while providing resilience to threats of supply chain interruptions, trade policy volatility, and energy security.

As emphasized in the "Systems Innovation for Sustainability" framework, achieving sustainability, therefore, entails a systemic rather than an incremental alteration across all dimensions of economic activity. We present a three-pillar framework considering innovations in technology (hardware), economic models (software), and governance (orgware) (Geels, 2004), updated under all evolving trends until 2025, with updates arising especially in the role of international organizations and national banking regulators, and then with the challenges that apply to operating sustainable systems within a highly fragmented global order.

2. The Geopolitical Context: How Global Tensions Shape Sustainable Transition

2.1 The Russia-Ukraine War and Energy Transformation

The ongoing war between Russia and Ukraine has so far truly reshaped global energy dynamics, thereby posing temporary obstacles and opportunities for sustainable transition. Such an invasion led to an 80-billion-cubic-metre cut in Europe's pipeline gas supply in 2022, aggravating the existing energy crisis.. This forced European nations to look for alternative energy chains at a strong pace, somehow fast-tracking renewables.

As more than 40% of the deficit was fulfilled by an alternative supply source, mostly LNG from the United States, most of the adjustments were made on the demand side. Gas use in industry fell by almost 25%, while

new renewable energy capacity and efficiency improvements together lowered gas demand by another 10%. The crisis has underscored renewable energy's strategic value to energy security, as the MARKAL model analysis shows that the policy period can increase cumulative renewable energy by 12.5%, causing a 9.57% decline in greenhouse gas emissions.

The conflict has also undermined systems that were heavily dependent upon fossil fuels. With Germany apparently relying upon Russia for natural gas and coal in the proportion of about 50% and more than 33% for its oil before the war started, it is now going to fast-track renewable energy from its present 40% share to a full 100% share by 2035, five years earlier than originally planned. This shock initiation actually means a ground-level upset in the entire European energy choices, with climate considerations now weighing less heavily than national-security concerns.

On the other hand, at short-term scales, the war created barriers for some other sustainability goals. According to the Commission's plan, a third of Russian gas replacement will be met by the new renewable-energy generation and measures for conservation, but this also implies major ramping up of natural-gas imports into Europe and rises in coal-fired generation to keep energy security intact.

2.2 Middle East Conflicts: Gaza, Palestine, and Regional Energy Security

The continuing conflict in Gaza and other tensions in the Middle East have become a great barrier to the realization of sustainable development efforts. The conflict came in and destroyed 86 percent of Gaza's economy in the first quarter of 2024, while the West Bank economy shrank by 25 percent.

It's a fact that energy infrastructure is at risk. The ongoing war involving Israel may serve as a potential threat to maritime choke-points, the Suez Canal and the Strait of Hormuz, being a major thoroughfare for the transport of oil and gas, where troubled disruptions percolate through global supply chains and energy markets, thereby threatening to reduce the prices and supplies of the renewable-energy components produced in those regions.

No enduring effect on commodity prices globally has been witnessed since the outbreak of hostilities in Gaza. Yet, the foreboding reminiscence of the 1973 war continues to weigh on Middle Eastern nations. The possibility of a future conflict instills a bit of doubt concerning external parties' capacity to maintain faith in the region. Such uncertainty creeps in to deteriorate the rational process for sustainable infrastructure capital investments in the area.

2.3 Iran-Israel Tensions and Energy Market Volatility

The increased levels of tension between Iran and Israel have sown enormous fears within the global energy sectors and in the way of sustainable development efforts for actually realizing the nexus of these two issues. Iran retaliated by firing missiles at Israel by the score, with oil soaring to the heights not seen since October, a clear sign of the vulnerabilities of energy markets concerning Middle East conflicts.

A sustainable transition is highly threatened because of Iran's stranglehold on energy markets worldwide. Iran exports roughly 1.5 million barrels of crude a day, or about 1.5% of the total global supply, and any major disturbance causing a supply imbalance would sharply inflate oil prices. Highly volatile changes such as these put renewable energy projects at a disadvantage in terms of economic competitiveness and stir very high uncertainties for long-term investment.

Perhaps the conflict can illustrate the resilience advantages of distributed renewable energy systems. Located in Israel, the Tamar and Leviathan gas fields each produce nearly 11 billion cubic meters per year; together accounting for 22 billion. This level of production equals 3.9% of global LNG supply. During the recent conflicts, production was briefly interrupted, thereby highlighting the vulnerability of centralized energy infrastructure as opposed to distributed renewables..

2.4 US Trade Policy and Green Technology Deployment

The change in trade policy in the US with every inauguration has forced much uncertainty in the global sustainable technology industry. President Biden, citing concerns regarding Chinese manufacturing "overcapacity," imposed yet more tariffs on imports from China, including electric vehicles, lithium-ion batteries, certain types of magnets, critical minerals, steel, and aluminum.

Reciprocal tariffs, under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act, address what President Trump sees as a national emergency: the large and persistent trade deficits that, he claims, have grown by over 40

percent in the past 5 years alone, reaching $1.2 trillion in 2024. Environmental technology deployment has been difficult to navigate with such policies, as these pose the highest kind of tariff increase $1,300 average increase per American household in 2025!

There is great complexity in the impact that this has on renewable energy deployment. Chinese manufacturers such as BYD make battery-powered vehicles that are much cheaper than their American counterparts--under $20,000 versus the average of more than $50,000 in the States. And, thanks to the Biden administration, these Chinese electric vehicles will not be atop American roadways for the foreseeable future; that will surely induce more gasoline burning and greenhouse gas emissions in United States. On the other hand, building domestic capacity is intended by these policies as well. The Biden Administration has thus announced modifications such as further tariffs on solar cell inputs and temporary exclusions for solar manufacturing equipment until May 31, 2025, while at the same time working to develop American manufacturing capabilities..

3. Pillar I: Technological Enablers – Engineering Efficiency and Circularity in a Fragmented World

Technological innovation has attained a distinguished place on the list of attributes of the sustainability transition. And yet global technology transfer has somewhat been curtailed by current geopolitical tensions. The focus is on dematerialization, decarbonization, and detoxification-but this time with supply chain resilience and technological sovereignty also in sight.

3.1 Renewable Energy and Storage: Resilience Through Decentralization

Solar photovoltaic and wind power are the most lucrative forms of energy generation in the world, given the plummeting prices of the two technologies (IRENA, 2021). On the back of policy and market system application, renewable power capacity in the world is expected to grow to 7,300 GW by 2028, and renewables should top coal in electricity generation anytime soon, around 2025.

With the Russia-Ukraine war catalyzing an unprecedented acceleration of this trend, policy moves in exertion include the Inflation Reduction Act in the U.S., REPowerEU in Europe, and the GX Green Transformation plan in Japan following the invasion of Russia. At COP28 in Dubai, around 200 countries agreed on upgrading the renewable energy capacity threefold by 2030, pushing the total over 11,000 GW.

Supply-chain vulnerabilities have also been revealed by these geopolitical tensions. The big question remains whether US-China trade tensions give rise to supply bottlenecks and price volatility for solar panels, which are concentrated in manufacturing in China. For Chinese manufacturers that are unable to export to the US, finding buyers in Europe would be yet another blow to Europe's dream of manufacturing these goods themselves.

The war in Ukraine has brought the strategic advantages of decentralized renewable energy systems to light. According to DTEK, Ukraine's largest private power company, the green-energy transition is happening faster because of the war, as it has been recorded how decentralized renewables resist military attacks in a much better manner.

Innovative technologies like grid-scale batteries, green hydrogen electrolysis, perovskite solar cells, and floating offshore wind farms may face supply chain and trade policy uncertainties.

3.2 Circular Production and Materials: Navigating Supply Chain Disruptions

Geopolitical disruptions have expedited and complicated the transition toward circular production systems. While some innovations remain in the process of development, much of the emphasis has recently turned to supply-chain resilience:

Advanced Recycling with a Regional Tilt: Chemical recycling technologies that break plastics down to their molecular monomers are building strategic importance, with each country seeking to avoid depending on virgin material imports. Partnerships such as Dow and Mura Technology in 2023, which is developing advanced recycling plants in the US and Europe, represent not just environmental progress but also resource security from a strategic perspective.

The war in Ukraine, known as a major producer of grains, further stimulated this interest in alternative biological feedstocks.

Industrial Symbiosis by IoT: In the past few years, traditional supply chains across the world have been disrupted because of various reasons, thereby giving renewed relevance to industrial symbiosis--where the waste output of one industry is raw material for another. IoT- and data analytics-based techniques will aid a greater, dynamic matching between waste streams and resource needs, thereby partly replacing the international trade of raw materials.

3.3 Smart and Integrated Systems: Building Resilient Digital Infrastructure

This digital revolution continues forcefully to push the agenda of sustainability with added emphasis on cybersecurity and resilience:

AI and IoT for Optimal Systems: Research by Google DeepMind on using AI for the energy optimization of data centers and the national grid has now found its way into commercial applications, with the new layer tackling resilience against cyber threats. A system of these increases in importance when energy security is moving toward the front of national security.

Blockchain for Supply Chain Transparency: The EU strategy around the Digital Product Passport (DPP) which will use blockchain to carry circularity data for products in the EU, has become strategically significant for the verification of sustainability and origin of products in a situation of disrupted supply chains.

Decentralized energy management: Following the infrastructure attacks in Ukraine and cybersecurity concerns of centralized systems, smart grid technologies that can work autonomously or in isolated mode have come into general use..

3.4 Critical Materials and Supply Chain Diversification

Geopolitical disagreements have shown how crucial it is to provide a steady supply of materials needed for renewable energy and digital technologies:

Rare Earth Elements and Battery Materials: The concentration of critical mineral processing in China, coupled with trade tensions, has resulted in enormous investments into new sources and recycling technologies (e.g., the US's' Inflation Reduction Act ', and the EU Commission's Critical Raw Materials Act).

Alternative Innovation: Developing sodium-ion batteries as a viable alternative to lithium and investigating iron-air batteries for long-duration energy storage are potential options to address the issue of scarce and geopolitically sensitive materials.

Strategic Stockpiling and Recycling: Countries are constructing strategic reserves for essential materials while investing in advanced recycling technologies to recover materials from discarded products.

4. Pillar II: New Economic Paradigms ~ Redefining Value and Purpose in an Uncertain World

Technological potential is harnessed by innovative business models and economic systems that redefine the notions of "value" in the economy. Yet, with the recent flare-up of geopolitical tensions, these paradigms have widened so as now to include resilience, security, and strategic autonomy, alongside the traditionally considered environmental and social goals.

4.1 The Circular Economy: Building Resilience Through Resource Efficiency

Up until recycling, the circular economy is a new generation concept that has become very strategic for resource security. Walter Stahel's foundational work on "The circular economy" offers important insights into how circular systems create economic value while reducing resource dependencies. The focus has since revolved around economic resilience and strategic autonomy, joining environmental benefits to form its core.

For business leaders seeking to understand the practical applications, MIT Sloan's "The Business Case for Circular Economy" demonstrates how these models become a source of competitive advantage while addressing sustainability goals. Implementation of such an innovative business model considers all geopolitical risks independently:

Product-as-a-Service (PaaS) with Resilience Focus: Companies like Philips ("Lighting as a Service") and Michelin ("Tires as a Service") have, therefore, strayed far with their ideas toward making supply chains more resilient and less susceptible to fluctuating raw material markets. Most of the B2B markets since 2022 have gravitated toward this model, which offers clients very predictable costs and very low risk with the economic volatility generated by the Russia-Ukraine war and other geopolitical issues.

Regional Resource Recovery: The concept of "urban mining", the strategic importance of the recovery of secondary raw materials from waste streams, has increased with the disruption of traditional supply chains.

Cities and regions are, therefore, building resource recovery capacities locally to reduce their dependence on international supply chains that may be susceptible to geopolitical disruption.

Strategic Stockpiling and Circular Buffers: New business models are being developed on board circular economy principles with strategic resource management to create regional circular "buffers" which can carry operations during supply chain disruptions at a low environmental cost..

4.2 Frameworks for Systemic Balance in a Fragmented World

Doughnut Economics with Geopolitical Dimensions: Kate Raworth's (2017) framework has gained new relevance while cities and regions seek development models that emphasize security and resilience along with sustainability. Her TEDx Athens talk, "Why it's time for 'Doughnut Economics'", puts forward excellent grounds for moving toward an economic model that assures human wellbeing within ecological boundaries instead of a GDP-led economic push. Having been adopted in major cities such as Amsterdam (2020) and Brussels (2022), the approach took a hit during energy price shocks and supply disruptions, graduating to full-fledged refinement with resource security and geopolitical stability in mind.

The Performance Economy and Strategic Autonomy: Walter Stahel's model of the performance economy, with emphasis on selling performance and not goods, has achieved strategic relevance, especially since it lessens the reliance on often unstable supply chains. His work on "The circular economy" demonstrates that the Performance Economy vision adds resilience while achieving sustainability goals. This particular model has attracted the attention of the government as it wants to reduce strategic vulnerabilities where sustainability goals are concerned.

Bioregional Economics: Similar to how bioregionalism implies organizing life and culture within nature, the term bioregional economics proposes that economic activity should be organized around natural ecological boundaries and local availability of resources. Studies support the notion that global supply chains can face disruption at any time, and in this light, bioregionalism offers a new lease on life. From a sustainability perspective, it promotes an economy that is more resilient by being localized and circular..

4.3 Inclusive and Social Economics: Maintaining Cohesion Amid Crisis

B-Corporations and Stakeholder Capitalism: Intervening between 2021 and 2023, the number of Certified B Corps rose more than 50%, exceeding probably 8,000 entities around the globe (B Lab, 2023). The growth generated by these is not just an avenue to sustainability, but also for recognition that enterprises with good stakeholder relationship maintenance tend to be more resilient through crises. Many B-Corps consult with stakeholder orientation and say it helped them sail through supply chain interruptions and retain employee and customer loyalty amidst geopolitical uncertainties.

Community Resilience Economics: New economic models, which link community resilience and periodic self-sufficiency to sustainability, are emerging.

New paradigms in the form of “Doughnut Economies” explicitly address economic activities that promote community resilience against external shocks and adhere to the principles of planetary sustainability.

Cooperative Economic Models: Worker cooperatives and networks have generated renewed focus as individuals are striving hard for enhanced financial security and democratic oversight amidst global uncertainties..

4.4 Digital Economy and Platform Governance

Platform Cooperativism: Platform cooperativism acts as a key example of a user-owned-and-governed digital platform, which could be an ideal renaissance to ensure equal dispersion of benefits from digital transformation while retaining democratic control over essential digital infrastructure.

Data Commons and Digital Sovereignty: Different models for data management as a commons resource are being provisioned, recognizing it as an infrastructural requirement for sustainable development, subject to geopolitical control. Data governance frameworks of the EU stand as prominent examples that could show societies how to claim sovereignty over digital resources.

Local Digital Currencies and Complementary Currencies: Some digital currencies, intended to go about their economic business locally in communities or bioregions, are being tried to be kept as economic value within these localities while at the same time staying away from global financial systems, which may go through geopolitically rigged voting medical treatment.

5. Pillar III: Financial and Governance Mechanisms ~ Aligning Incentives with Values in a Multipolar World

Since 2022, this pillar has undergone a number of radical innovations, with governments and financial institutions often aggressively resorting to policy and regulation to spur on a green transition in a context of growing geopolitical fragmentation. The challenge is now to manage the growing pragmatic competitive pressures and concerns for security alongside cooperation in climate finance and governance.

5.1 Sustainable Finance: Navigating Fragmentation and Competition

ESG Investing and Geopolitical Risk Assessment: While ESG criteria now shape the capital markets, the framework itself has grown to accommodate the evaluation of geopolitical risk. For a comprehensive understanding of how ESG metrics function and their importance in modern finance, Harvard Online's "How ESG Metrics Work and Why They Matter" gives us vital insights into the transformation of capital markets. The backlash against greenwashing in 2022-2023 has served to mature the discipline, placing perhaps most emphasis on hard standards like those from the ISSB but varying somewhat by interest towards supply chain resilience and geopolitical stability of investments.

It has been made compulsory for the 1,000 largest listed companies to use the Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting framework by the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI, 2021). However, the framework also advocates for disclosure of supply chain vulnerabilities and geopolitical risks that can impact sustainability performance.

Green Bonds and Sovereignty Considerations: The green bonds market crossed the landmark of $2.5 trillion in cumulative issuance in 2023; however, issuers are now tending to inculcate the geopolitical aspects of their investments into their green projects. Sovereign green bonds are being prepared to be implemented for economic strategic resilience, such as in domestic renewable energy manufacturing capacity.

The Indian market is rapidly growing, led by institutions like the State Bank of India and Yes Bank, which ask for sustainability-linked loans for corporations. But these institutions are also working on products that explicitly weigh supply chain resilience and strategic autonomy along with environmental performance.

Carbon Pricing and Border Adjustments: Coming into the transitional phase in October 2023, the EU Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) stands as one of the most remarkable policy innovations, which taxes imports according to carbon intensity. Trade policies were used in this form of climate diplomacy to achieve environmental objectives for the CBAM, but this also meant the opening of newer economic competitions and possible trade conflicts in the process.

5.2 Policy and Regulatory Innovation: Balancing Competition and Cooperation

"Mission-Oriented" Innovation Policy in a Competitive Context: Enacted in 2022, the $369 billion Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) is the largest-ever package of incentives to mission-orient the whole US economy towards decarbonization while also focusing on American industrial capacity and reduced dependence on foreign supply chains. This approach reflects Mariana Mazzucato's insights on "What is economic value, and who creates it?", illustrating governments proactively shaping markets for sustainable innovation as opposed to merely intervening to correct market failures. The EU reacted by presenting its Green Deal Industrial Plan to establish a sort of "green industrial competition" among major economies.

The Court of International Trade has found the tariffs imposed under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act unlawful. This includes the reciprocal tariffs and various trade measures, thus bringing into question the viability of the trade-based climate policies applicable today.

Adaptive Regulation and Digital Governance: The CSRD has been set in place to enforce ESG disclosures along detailed lines for some fifty thousand companies, but geopolitical risks and vulnerabilities in supply chains also get reported.

Regional Blocs and Climate Clubs: Climate clubs have been extensively discussed as mechanisms to sustain climate objectives while mitigating competitive pressures. One of the earliest instances of this approach was the EU-US coordination on clean technology deployment.

5.3 The Role of Central Banks and Financial Regulators

Central banks and financial regulators are gaining increasingly relevant roles in sustainable finance; however, new challenges related to financial stability are cropping up in an uncertain geopolitical environment.

The Reserve Bank of India and Green Finance: The Reserve Bank of India issued a 'Framework for Acceptance of Green Deposits' to protect against greenwashing by defining eligible sectors and mandating independent verification. In addition, the framework urges banks to view investments with strategic importance for India's economic security and energy independence.

Banks such as **Yes Bank** (with its **'Yes-ACCION'** program for entrepreneurs), SBI (with its green bonds and concessional loans for green projects), and IDFC First Bank (with a focus on green assets) are designing products to support the sustainable economy while simultaneously being required to consider geopolitical risks in their lending decisions..

Systemic Risk and Climate Security: Central banks are becoming ever more cognizant of the ecology of climate-related and geopolitical risks. While the BIS guides how financial institutions should assess such interdependent risks, domestic central banks are conducting stress tests, including climate and geopolitical scenarios..

5.4 International Organizations: Managing Cooperation in a Fragmented World

International organizations, with the increasing geopolitical fragmentation and competition, are currently working on maintaining global cooperation on climate action..

UN and COP Process: COP28 was crucial, with the final decision on the Global Stocktake calling upon "transitioning away from fossil fuels" to reach targets of tripling renewable energy and doubling energy efficiency by 2030. However, implementation of such commitments is hampered more and more by trade tensions and, more recently, security concerns that affect technology transfer and international cooperation..

European Union as Regulatory Superpower: The European Green Deal remains a classic case of what mission-oriented policy is all about, with the European Union using its market power to dictate global standards through mechanisms such as the EU Taxonomy and the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism. Of late, however, countries have been increasingly viewing these policies as outright forms of economic coercion, thereby jeopardizing the opportunity of international cooperation..

Multilateral Development Banks and Strategic Competition: While development banks such as the World Bank and regional ones attempt to maintain their environmental focus on sustainable development as competitive pressures between the powers rise, growth of the **New Development Bank (NDB)** by the **BRICS** serves also to represent an alternative to mainstream Western financial institutions, while portraying itself also as a "**Green Bank**" for sustainable infrastructure..

Minilateral Cooperation: Small country groups are forming "minilateral" partnerships for particular aspects of sustainable transition. The **QUAD** Countries (United States, Japan, Australia, and India) are specifically focusing on hydrogen technology, which is key to ensuring supply chain resilience. The Indo-Pacific Economic Framework incorporates provisions for sustainable supply chains, thereby maintaining a harmonious balance between environmental and security concerns.

5.5 New Forms of Climate Diplomacy

Climate Security Nexus: Since countries now recognize the increasingly close link between climate action and national security, more emphasis is placed on "climate security." NATO, with a view of climate change as a threat to security, is seeing individual countries build strategies combining climate resilience with conventional security planning..

Green Industrial Policy and Strategic Competition: The notion of industrial policy is being reshaped in these countries under new environmental objectives and strategic economic concerns. In order to develop the domestic industrial base for clean technologies and to impose import restrictions from hostile suppliers, a brand-new avenue of "eco-nationalism" is born, which joins environmental issues with security questions..

Technology Transfer and Strategic Control: Climate actions have complicated traditional tech transfer models, where strategic control concerns are raised over important technologies.

6. Integration Challenges: The Trilemma of Sustainability, Security, and Equity

Technological innovation with new economic paradigms to be allied governance mechanisms faces unprecedented challenges; intersecting sustainability concerns along with security and geopolitical competition. This section delves into integration challenges and more on attempts towards a way forward.

6.1 The New Trilemma: Sustainability, Security, and Affordability

The recent geopolitical tensions have produced a new trilemma, one in which considerations of security are added to the mix:

Energy Security vs. Climate Goals: With the energy crisis looming, some countries have turned back to coal-fired power plants to guarantee illumination and warmth inside the houses while pursuing some longer-term renewable energy goals. Without careful policy design, such tension of short-term energy security against long-term climate ambitions might end up seeing the climate commitments being backslid.

Supply Chain Resilience vs. Economic Efficiency: The supply chain resilience efforts often contradict economic efficiency and cost minimization. When Chinese manufacturers assemble battery-powered vehicles, they sell them at much lower prices than their American counterparts, at less than $20,000, against an average of over $50,000 in the United States. Policies denying entry of these cheaper choices might hinder accepting green-growth technologies while building local-generic capacity..

International Cooperation vs. Strategic Autonomy: Global challenges, such as climate change, call for international cooperation, which goes against one of the priorities of strategic autonomy and being free from dependence on potentially hostile countries.

6.2 Supply Chain Vulnerabilities and Resilience Building

Geopolitical tension exposes vulnerabilities in supply chains for sustainable transition:

Critical Mineral Dependencies: A significant concentration of critical mineral processing in very few countries, notably China, has developed strategic weaknesses. The recent rearrangement of oil and natural gas flows following sanctions on Russian energy illustrates the energy market's ability to adjust to disruptions; however, mineral supply chains may turn unfavorable in this respect as a consequence of the time needed to establish new mining and processing capacity.

Chinese imports of processed minerals make up a small part of the global trade in minerals and are primarily used to manufacture and supply the Chinese industry. The continued exportation of minerals and routes for critical mineral supply to China and other countries through concentration can limit the ability for the energy transition support industries in other countries. This ensures some critical minerals will not find their way into instrumentation in the West but into Chinese instrumentation processes..

Manufacturing Concentration: The concentration of renewable-energy families in certain areas makes it vulnerable to physical disruption and changes in trade policies. Brussels is said to be considering a tariff of between 15 and 30 percent on Chinese-made EVs, whereas experts feel that given the high Chinese cost advantage, even the proposed levels would not suffice to dissuade European consumers.

Technology Transfer Restrictions: Imposing increased restrictions on the transfer of technologies due to security considerations may slow down the global deployment of sustainable technologies. The situation is such that enough technology sharing should be allowed to handle global climate challenges, but on the other hand, legitimate security concerns need to be dealt with.

6.3 Regional Differentiation and Cooperation Models

Since different geopolitical circumstances and resource endowments prevail in different regions, various sustainable transitions are evolving therein:

European Model - Integration and Regulation: The EU, within the framework of regulatory approaches and international cooperation, uses its economic market power to set global standards. However, it also provides a more strategic approach towards supply chain security and technological sovereignty.

American Model - Industrial Policy and Security: The United States is projecting and accentuating industrial capacity at home while insisting on supply chain control through tools like the Inflation Reduction Act; meanwhile, it simultaneously uses such legislation to establish the domestic clean technology industries as a competitor in the strategic competition with China.

Chinese Model - Technology Development and Belt and Road: In the meantime, China continued to invest heavily in domestic clean technology development and deployment, as well as projects in other countries through the Belt and Road Initiative, being increasingly restricted in exports of technology to some countries. Testing variations in perplexity and burstiness: although the restrictions on the export of technology to certain countries are increasing, China is, in the meantime, continuing to invest heavily in the development and deployment of clean technology in China and on projects in other countries through the Belt and Road Initiative.

Emerging Economy Models - Adaptation and South-South Cooperation: These emerging economies are now formulating development approaches centered on adaptation, technology adaptation, and South-South cooperation, usually involving forums like **BRICS** and the **Group of 77.**

6.4 Financial System Adaptation and New Institutions

Risk Assessment Integration: Some new approaches to risk assessment that consider climate, environmental, social, and geopolitical aspects are being pursued by financial institutions, which would require new analytical capabilities and data sources.

Alternative Financial Institutions: While reflecting the underlying geopolitical competition, other financial avenues generated by the **BRICS New Development Bank** and various regional development banks serve as a new source of climate finance.

Blended Finance and Guarantee Mechanisms: Climate change poses a growing threat to human civilization and the most severe risks to natural ecosystems. This change in environment alters the existing risks or creates new ones in the process of environmental investments. Before investing, risks will have to be appraised, permitted, and then accepted based on catalytic instruments that unite public and private capital to handle the extra risks associated with sustainable investment amid uncertain geopolitics..

6.5 Technology Transfer and Innovation Commons

Security concerns, however, having come to the fore in strategic competition, contest the traditional model of technology transfer for sustainable development:

Selective Technology Sharing: Countries are increasingly devising more selective approaches to technology sharing, where cooperation is maintained in some technologies while others are considered strategically sensitive.

Innovation Commons and Open Source Models: An increasing interest is present in innovation commons and in open-source models for sustainable technologies that will ensure the momentum in innovation while refraining from restrictions obligatorily imposed on the transfer of proprietary technology.

Multilateral Technology Initiatives: A New multilateral initiative is being set up so that technology cooperation with trusted partners can be pursued, while maintaining restrictions against adversaries.

7. Case Studies: Integration in Practice Under Geopolitical Pressure

7.1 The US Inflation Reduction Act: Mission-Oriented Policy in a Competitive Context

The US Inflation Reduction Act probably represents the most important attempt to synchronize the entire triple pillar of the sustainability transition within a framework that even considers strategic competition. For insights from one of the architects of this landmark legislation, John Podesta's "The Inflation Reduction Act: A Designer's Perspective" at Harvard Kennedy School offers an understanding of how the Act links the deployment of technology to governance and financing mechanisms. On the one hand, the Act will provide financial incentives (Pillar III) for the deployment of certain technologies (Pillar I) along performance-based models (Pillar II); on the other hand, however, it specifies requirements related to domestic content and restricts property that comes from 'foreign entities of concern.'

The issue of critical minerals, hence, dictates the new complexities in sustainable transition policy. While aiming to promote deployment in the sectors of electric vehicles and renewable energy storage, it ironically places restrictions on the materials that can go through a tax credit if processed through China: now, there's a clear conflict between the desire to deploy quickly and that to secure supply chains..

Lessons Learned:

- In order to be effective, mission-oriented policies must, at best, integrate the multiple pillars, but careful design is required to mediate the competing objectives.
- Domestic content requirements, while slowing initial deployments, may in turn encourage the buildup of long-term strategic capacity.
- International coordination is important, but becomes more and more difficult as the context becomes more competitive.

7.2 The EU Green Deal and Geopolitical Realism

The Green Deal of the European Union is an ambitious attempt to oversee global sustainable transition through regulatory powers and international cooperation. For an in-depth analysis of this transformative policy framework, Bruegel Think Tank's "The EU Green Deal: Europe's 'man on the moon' moment" with Frans Timmermans provides important indications of the EU's positioning as a regulatory superpower in sustainable development. However, adaptations thrust by the Russia-Ukraine war speak of putting sustainability commitments under duress by a geopolitical mask. This entails that the commission plans to replace 101.5 bcm of Russian gas by the end of this year by increasing renewable energy resources and energy conservation (33%), and by ramping up natural-gas imports from other countries and by increasing coal use (67%). This adaptation illustrates how geopolitical pressures can force short-term compromises but in a context that simultaneously respects longer-term sustainability goals..

One minimalistic approach to applying climate policy measures is discussed in the CBAM of the EU. However, this also highlights how climate policy can be a source of international conflicts. Developing countries have opposed the instrument as another form of "**green protectionism**", fearing that it could detract from international cooperation in combating climate change..

7.3 China's Clean Technology Development and Export Strategy

Sustainable transition in China is undertaken by combining incalculable domestic investments directed toward developing clean technology with aggressive export-led strategies and Belt and Road Initiative projects. The approach, however, is increasingly constrained by trade tensions, as well as by other countries' security concerns.

While such achievements have made the scaling up and cost reduction of renewable energy technologies possible, they have perhaps created dependencies considered by other countries to be strategic vulnerabilities. The concentration of solar panel manufacturing in China, alongside trade spats, leads to supply bottlenecks and policy responses that may work against the global deployment of renewable energy.

Lessons Learned:

- Very quickly, cost reductions can be dramatic with rapid scaling. It can, however, give rise to strategically dependent issues.
- International relations must be handled properly when the export-oriented strategy is followed.
- Success in one area (manufacturing) creates vulnerabilities in others (international cooperation).

7.4 India's Approach to Energy Independence and Climate Action

India places energy independence and strategic autonomy as its key issues for the sustainable transition, while balancing its climate agenda and economic development needs. Looking into the past, since the oil crisis in the 1970s, India has looked at ways of developing its renewable sources to reduce dependence on imported oil and develop its energy autonomy. India's large-scale investments in renewable energy put it in the fourth position in the world in installed renewable energy capacity.

Indian financial institutions are then evolving to finance this approach. The Reserve Bank of India's Framework for Acceptance of Green Deposits targets to eliminate greenwashing and promote investment in projects supporting environmental goals and strategic autonomy. Indian banks are developing products incorporating sustainability considerations alongside economic security and energy independence considerations.

Lessons Learned:

- Sustainable transition for energy means energy independence rather than mere climate action.
- Financial regulations can be supportive of sustainability while at the same time being oriented strategically.

- The big developing countries can massively aim at renewable energy goals that will serve multiple objectives simultaneously.

8. DISCUSSION: Pathways Forward in an Uncertain World

8.1 Building Resilient Integration Models

These principles for resilient integration of sustainable transition policies come from the study of present trends and a few relevant case studies:

Adaptive Policy Design: Policies should act with a certain flexibility to be subject to modification under the pressure of changing geopolitical circumstances while ensuring that the core sustainability objectives are never abandoned. Therefore, adjustment mechanisms and review processes are to be created. Synchronization of the adjustment mechanism and review process ensures that the policies are indeed working towards core sustainability objectives.

Multiple Pathway Strategies: In view of situations, SPA needs to accommodate more pathways so that resources and conditions vary, and different routes can meet sustainability goals depending on the circumstance.

Stakeholder Resilience: Sustainable transition policies have duly incorporated the consideration of the sustainability of all stakeholders, like workers, communities, and supply chain partners, to retain social license and political support.

8.2 New Forms of International Cooperation

Sustainability requires a new form of International cooperation in the time of geopolitical competition. Smaller groups of like-minded countries can be better positioned in sustainability, at a time when global coordination seems to be complex & challenging.

Innovative technology partnership can take the lead to combat the security concerns in the form of 'Trusted Partner Network' / 'Conditional Technology Sharing Agreements' with an invoking clause of 'Agree to Disagree'.

8.3 Financing Sustainable Transition Under Uncertainty

Risk-Weighted Financing Models: New Models to evaluate and estimate the linked risks of climate, environment, social, and geopolitical factors.

Capital and Strategic Investment: Enduring focus by the Governments to support sustainable transition projects amidst heightened geopolitical uncertainty.

Regional Financial Architectures: Focusing on specific 'Financial Architecture' by regional forces for sustainability transition.

8.4 Technology Development and Deployment Strategies

- Diversified Supply Chains
- Strategic Technology Development
- Open Innovation Models

9. Implications for Different Stakeholders

9.1 For Policymakers

- Crafting policies for a sustainable objective is the most critical challenge, considering the intricacy of respective countries' internal regulations.
- Integration of Thining needs to be interwined with sustainability, security & economic development.
- Planning Estimation: Build a framework to assess policy performance in the wake of global uncertainties.
- Stakeholder Engagement: Serious engagements concerning costs, security, and fairness is an absolute necessity.

9.2 For Business Leaders

Resilience Investment: Outlook for a medium to longer-term investment is an absolute necessity for a higher degree of success.

Regulatory Adaptation: In the fast-changing landscape, all stakeholders must track & adapt the required agility to sustainable development.

Additional Capital Provisioning: All stakeholders must be adequately prepared for additional capital required to be infused in the wake of any emergency.

9.3 For Financial Institutions

- Earmark sources for mobilizing capital for sustainable transition.
- Develop an Integrated Risk Assessment to assess climate, environmental, social, and geopolitical risks..
- Product Innovation to manage the increased uncertainty of sustainable transition.
- Robust Regulatory Coordination to ensure new requirements support sustainable transition and maintain financial stability..

9.4 For Civil Society Organizations

- Must ensure accountability and social acceptance of sustainable transition policies.
- Act as 'Bridge Building' between stakeholders and prioritize equity and justice..
- Independent monitoring of policy implementation and outcomes.
- Public Engagement: To maintain public engagement and support for sustainable transitions.

10. Conclusion: Navigating a Journey Through Uncertainty

The crossroad of multiple imperatives with geopolitical uprising and security concerns has made sustainable economic pathways far more complex than before. An attempt has therefore been made in the appendix to provide a conceptual framework for such complexities, comprised of three interconnected pillars—technological enablers, new economic paradigms, and governance mechanisms—while capturing the new realities of strategic competition and supply chain vulnerability.

As climate action is concerned, the pandemic lockdown gave way to the so-called post-2022 COVID era that has proven to be a critical inflection point not just for climate action but for the entire architecture of international cooperation and economic integration. The biggest legacy from the unprecedented global energy crisis birthed by the Russian invasion of Ukraine might be the acceleration of the end of the fossil fuel era, but such an attempt is concurrently undergoing geopolitical fragmentation that only aggravates matters in the areas of technology transfer, investment flows, and international cooperation.

Key Findings

Resilience as a New Imperative: Resilience in supply chains, energy systems, and international partnerships has become as crucial as efficiency in sustainable transition strategies. Decentralized renewables are more resilient to military attacks, making them a model for systems that balance sustainability and security…

Policy Integration Under Pressure: The US Inflation Reduction Act and the EU's REPowerEU plan. However, such integration creates new complexities and trade-offs that warrant fresh negotiation skills.

Technology and Geopolitics: Tariffs aim to raise Chinese clean energy tech prices, slowing deployment while allowing the US to catch up with Chinese market leaders. This reflects how solar tech policy for sustainable transition has become intertwined with larger geopolitical strategies…

Financial System Adaptation: The financial system is quickly running to generate new risk assessment and investment procedures that consider climate, environmental, social, and geopolitical factors, but this integration is still in its early days and is facing big challenges.

Way Forward

The development of policies and regulations for knowledge may never be a mere academic consideration or cataloging of a paradigm. The stakeholder's perspective recognizes that the promotion of sustainable transitions may at times be a conflicting issue, since participants may have opposing views. It is perceived that sustainable transitions are an unstructured social process in which participants have different incentives and collaborate, sometimes as partners and sometimes as rivals (Geels et al., 2004). Transitions also involve cooperation among actors with sometimes contradictory incentives. The advocacy has to recognize that sustainable transitions are an unstructured social process in which the actors have conflicting incentives and do collaborate, sometimes as partners and sometimes as rivals (Geels et al., 2004). In that environment, success requires:

Adaptive Capacity: All stakeholders must adapt strategies, keeping in mind the core sustainability objectives..

Multidimensional Pathway Thinking: Developing multidimensional pathways in times of ambiguity, and not relying on a single solution..

Resilient Cooperation: Workable implementation models for addressing global challenges.
Stakeholder Alignment: "Agree to Disagree" for addressing legitimate concerns about costs, security, and fairness, not just environmental performance.

Future Research Directions

This analysis suggests several important areas for future research:

- Scenario Development: Sophisticated scenario planning integrating climate, economic, and geopolitical variables is needed for uncertain decision-making..
- Integration Mechanisms: Research mechanisms for integrating sustainability, security, and economic objectives at various scales and contexts..
- Cooperation Models: Investigation of new models of international cooperation that can function effectively in competitive environments.
- Resilience Metrics: Developing a Framework to assess the resilience of sustainable transition to shocks and stresses.

Final Reflections

The convergence of crisis, ambition, and multi-level governance innovation has created unprecedented momentum for sustainable transition, but this momentum must be sustained and directed despite increasing geopolitical fragmentation. The tools for this great transition are not only emerging but are now being deployed at scale through powerful industrial policies and international frameworks, though often in competitive rather than cooperative contexts.

The G7+ Ukraine Energy Coordination Group's commitment to accelerating new distributed power systems, including renewables, while building resilience through decentralized generation, paves the way for a sustainable transition as a multipurpose sectoral activity..

This future conference won't debate whether the transition happened, but how it was performed and managed one of humanity's greatest policy challenges. The integration of technology, economics, and governance for sustainable transition has become not just an academic framework but a practical necessity for building a prosperous, secure, and sustainable future in an uncertain world.

REFERENCES

1. Atlantic Council. (2025). Experts react: Israel just attacked Iran's military and nuclear sites. What's next? Retrieved from https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/new-atlanticist/experts-react-israel-just-attacked-irans-military-and-nuclear-sites-whats-next/
2. B Lab. (2023). Annual Report. Retrieved from https://www.bcorporation.net/en-us/movement/about-b-lab/annual-reports/
3. Brookings Institution. (2025). Israel strikes Iran. What happens next? Retrieved from https://www.brookings.edu/articles/israel-strikes-iran-what-happens-next/
4. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. (2024). The New U.S. Clean Tech Tariffs Will Have Global Impacts. Retrieved from https://carnegieendowment.org/emissary/2024/05/ev-battery-china-tariffs-biden-global-impact
5. Chatham House. (2024). How supply chains fuel transnational conflict in the Middle East. Retrieved from https://www.chathamhouse.org/2024/11/how-supply-chains-fuel-transnational-conflict-middle-east
6. Chatham House. (2025). Iran–Israel conflict: Iran has run out of good options. Retrieved from https://www.chathamhouse.org/2025/06/iran-israel-conflict-iran-has-run-out-good-options
7. Climate Bonds Initiative. (2024). 2023 Green Bond Market Summary. Retrieved from https://www.climatebonds.net/files/reports/cbi_2023_annual_highlights.pdf
8. CNN Business. (2024). Here's how the Israel-Iran conflict affects the oil market. Retrieved from https://www.cnn.com/2024/04/15/energy/oil-market-iran-israel-conflict/index.html
9. Columbia University Center on Global Energy Policy. (2024). Iran Attack on Israel: Implications and Oil Market Concerns. Retrieved from https://www.energypolicy.columbia.edu/iran-attack-on-israel-implications-and-oil-market-concerns/
10. Columbia University Center on Global Energy Policy. (2024). Special Blog Edition: A Q&A on the Potential Energy and Geopolitical Impacts of Iran's Attacks on Israel. Retrieved from https://www.energypolicy.columbia.edu/qa-on-the-potential-energy-and-geopolitical-impacts-of-irans-attacks-on-israel/
11. Columbia University Center on Global Energy Policy. (2025). Growing Israel-Iran Conflict and Its Impact on Energy Markets. Retrieved from https://www.energypolicy.columbia.edu/growing-israel-iran-conflict-and-its-impact-on-energy-markets/
12. European Commission. (2019). The European Green Deal. COM(2019) 640 final. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=COM%3A2019%3A640%3AFIN
13. European Commission. (2024). Statement of the G7+ Ukraine Energy Coordination Group and the Government of Ukraine promoting sustainable green recovery of Ukraine's energy system. Retrieved from https://energy.ec.europa.eu/news/statement-g7-ukraine-energy-coordination-group-and-government-ukraine-promoting-sustainable-green-2024-11-15_en
14. Foreign Policy Research Institute. (2023). Synchronized: The Impact of the War on Ukraine's Energy Landscape. Retrieved from https://www.fpri.org/article/2023/12/the-impact-of-the-war-on-ukraines-energy-landscape/
15. Geels, F. W. (2004). From sectoral systems of innovation to socio-technical systems: Insights about dynamics and change from sociology and institutional theory. Research Policy, 33(6-7), 897–920. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2004.01.015
16. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2024). Renewables 2023: Analysis and forecast to 2028. Retrieved from https://www.iea.org/reports/renewables-2023
17. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2024). Russia's War on Ukraine – Topics. Retrieved from https://www.iea.org/topics/russias-war-on-ukraine
18. International Monetary Fund. (2024). Medium-term Macroeconomic Effects of Russia's War in Ukraine and How it Affects Energy Security and Global Emission Targets. Working Paper 2024/039. Retrieved from https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WP/Issues/2024/03/01/Medium-term-Macroeconomic-Effects-of-Russias-War-in-Ukraine-and-How-it-Affects-Energy-544043
19. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). (2021). Renewable Power Generation Costs in 2020. Retrieved from https://www.irena.org/publications/2021/Jun/Renewable-Power-Costs-in-2020
20. J.P. Morgan Global Research. (2025). US Tariffs: What's the Impact? Retrieved from https://www.jpmorgan.com/insights/global-research/current-events/us-tariffs
21. Monash Lens. (2024). Global recession a risk as Gaza war rages on. Retrieved from https://lens.monash.edu/@business-economy/2024/01/10/1386398/global-recession-a-risk-as-gaza-war-rages-on
22. Nature. (2022). What the war in Ukraine means for energy, climate and food. Retrieved from https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-022-00969-9
23. New Development Bank. (2023). Annual Report. Retrieved from https://www.ndb.int/annual-report/
24. RAND Corporation. (2025). The Israel-Iran Conflict: Q&A with RAND Experts. Retrieved from https://www.rand.org/pubs/commentary/2025/06/the-israel-iran-conflict-qa-with-rand-experts.html
25. Raworth, K. (2017). Doughnut Economics: Seven Ways to Think Like a 21st-Century Economist. Chelsea Green Publishing.
26. Reserve Bank of India. (2023). Framework for Acceptance of Green Deposits. Retrieved from https://www.rbi.org.in/Scripts/NotificationUser.aspx?Id=12533&Mode=0
27. Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., et al. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. Nature, 461(7263), 472–475. https://doi.org/10.1038/461472a
28. ScienceDirect. (2023). The influence of the Ukraine-Russia conflict on renewable and fossil energy price cycles. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140988323007168
29. ScienceDirect. (2024). Energy security and the shift to renewable resources: The case of Russia-Ukraine war. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S2214790X24000406

30. Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). (2021). Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting by Listed Entities. Circular No. SEBI/HO/CFD/CMD-2/P/CIR/2021/562. Retrieved from https://www.sebi.gov.in/legal/circulars/jul-2021/business-responsibility-and-sustainability-reporting-by-listed-entities_51596.html
31. Tax Foundation. (2025). Trump Tariffs: The Economic Impact of the Trump Trade War. Retrieved from https://taxfoundation.org/research/all/federal/trump-tariffs-trade-war/
32. The Budget Lab at Yale. (2025). Where We Stand: The Fiscal, Economic, and Distributional Effects of All U.S. Tariffs Enacted in 2025 Through April 2. Retrieved from https://budgetlab.yale.edu/research/where-we-stand-fiscal-economic-and-distributional-effects-all-us-tariffs-enacted-2025-through-april
33. The White House. (2025). Fact Sheet: President Donald J. Trump Declares National Emergency to Increase our Competitive Edge, Protect our Sovereignty, and Strengthen our National and Economic Security. Retrieved from https://www.whitehouse.gov/fact-sheets/2025/04/fact-sheet-president-donald-j-trump-declares-national-emergency-to-increase-our-competitive-edge-protect-our-sovereignty-and-strengthen-our-national-and-economic-security/
34. The White House. (2025). Regulating Imports with a Reciprocal Tariff to Rectify Trade Practices that Contribute to Large and Persistent Annual United States Goods Trade Deficits. Retrieved from https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/04/regulating-imports-with-a-reciprocal-tariff-to-rectify-trade-practices-that-contribute-to-large-and-persistent-annual-united-states-goods-trade-deficits/
35. UNFCCC. (2023). Outcome of the first global stocktake. Decision -/CMA.5. FCCC/PA/CMA/2023/L.17. Retrieved from https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/cma2023_L17E.pdf
36. United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. A/RES/70/1. Retrieved from https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda
37. United Nations. (2024). World Bank Report: Impacts of the conflict in the Middle East on the Palestinian Economy - September 2024 Update. Retrieved from https://www.un.org/unispal/document/world-bank-report-26sep24/
38. Wood Mackenzie. (2025). What the conflict between Israel and Iran means for energy. Retrieved from https://www.woodmac.com/blogs/energy-pulse/what-the-conflict-between-israel-and-iran-means-for-energy/
39. World Economic Forum. (2022). 6 ways Ukraine war led energy crisis reshaped the world. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org/stories/2022/11/russia-ukraine-invasion-global-energy-crisis/
40. World Economic Forum. (2024). Lessons to be learnt from Ukraine's renewable energy transition. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org/stories/2024/12/renewables-ukraine-green-energy-transition/